#### QMI Lesson 8: The Chain Rule & Marginal Analysis

#### C C Moxley

#### Samford University Brock School of Business

22 September 2014

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The Chain Rule is a differentiation rule used to take the derivative of composite functions.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Say  $f'(x_0)$  is 4, i.e. the rate of change of f at  $x_0$  is 4.

- Say  $f'(x_0)$  is 4, i.e. the rate of change of f at  $x_0$  is 4.
- Moreover, say  $f(x_0) = y_0$  and that  $g'(y_0)$  is 3.

- Say  $f'(x_0)$  is 4, i.e. the rate of change of f at  $x_0$  is 4.
- Moreover, say  $f(x_0) = y_0$  and that  $g'(y_0)$  is 3.
- Then, the rate of change of *h*(*x*<sub>0</sub>) is the rate of change of *g*(*f*(*x*<sub>0</sub>)).

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- Say  $f'(x_0)$  is 4, i.e. the rate of change of f at  $x_0$  is 4.
- Moreover, say  $f(x_0) = y_0$  and that  $g'(y_0)$  is 3.
- Then, the rate of change of h(x<sub>0</sub>) is the rate of change of g(f(x<sub>0</sub>)).

What, then, is this rate?

- Say  $f'(x_0)$  is 4, i.e. the rate of change of f at  $x_0$  is 4.
- Moreover, say  $f(x_0) = y_0$  and that  $g'(y_0)$  is 3.
- Then, the rate of change of h(x<sub>0</sub>) is the rate of change of g(f(x<sub>0</sub>)).

What, then, is this rate? We would expect  $h'(x_0)$ 

- Say  $f'(x_0)$  is 4, i.e. the rate of change of f at  $x_0$  is 4.
- Moreover, say  $f(x_0) = y_0$  and that  $g'(y_0)$  is 3.
- Then, the rate of change of *h*(*x*<sub>0</sub>) is the rate of change of *g*(*f*(*x*<sub>0</sub>)).

What, then, is this rate? We would expect  $h'(x_0) = g'(y_0) \cdot f'(x_0)$ , i.e.

$$\frac{dh}{dx_0} = \frac{dh}{df} \cdot \frac{df}{dx_0},$$

since  $f(x_o) = y$ .

- Say  $f'(x_0)$  is 4, i.e. the rate of change of f at  $x_0$  is 4.
- Moreover, say  $f(x_0) = y_0$  and that  $g'(y_0)$  is 3.
- Then, the rate of change of *h*(*x*<sub>0</sub>) is the rate of change of *g*(*f*(*x*<sub>0</sub>)).

What, then, is this rate? We would expect  $h'(x_0) = g'(y_0) \cdot f'(x_0)$ , i.e.

$$\frac{dh}{dx_0} = \frac{dh}{df} \cdot \frac{df}{dx_0},$$

since  $f(x_o) = y$ . Then,  $h'(x_0)$ 

- Say  $f'(x_0)$  is 4, i.e. the rate of change of f at  $x_0$  is 4.
- Moreover, say  $f(x_0) = y_0$  and that  $g'(y_0)$  is 3.
- Then, the rate of change of h(x<sub>0</sub>) is the rate of change of g(f(x<sub>0</sub>)).

What, then, is this rate? We would expect  $h'(x_0) = g'(y_0) \cdot f'(x_0)$ , i.e.

$$\frac{dh}{dx_0} = \frac{dh}{df} \cdot \frac{df}{dx_0},$$

since  $f(x_o) = y$ . Then,  $h'(x_0) = 4 \cdot 3$ 

- Say  $f'(x_0)$  is 4, i.e. the rate of change of f at  $x_0$  is 4.
- Moreover, say  $f(x_0) = y_0$  and that  $g'(y_0)$  is 3.
- Then, the rate of change of h(x<sub>0</sub>) is the rate of change of g(f(x<sub>0</sub>)).

What, then, is this rate? We would expect  $h'(x_0) = g'(y_0) \cdot f'(x_0)$ , i.e.

$$\frac{dh}{dx_0} = \frac{dh}{df} \cdot \frac{dt}{dx_0},$$

since  $f(x_o) = y$ . Then,  $h'(x_0) = 4 \cdot 3 = 12$ .

#### Motivation for the Chain Rule: Image



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへぐ

#### Theorem (The Chain Rule)

If h(x) = g(f(x)), then

$$h'(x) = g'(f(x)) \cdot f'(x).$$

#### Theorem (The Chain Rule)

If h(x) = g(f(x)), then

$$h'(x) = g'(f(x)) \cdot f'(x).$$

We may write equivalently

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dy}{du} \cdot \frac{du}{dx},$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

where h(x) = y = g(u) and u = f(x).

The chain rule is used so often with composite functions in which the outer function is a power function that it is useful to state a theorem for the combination of these two rules.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The chain rule is used so often with composite functions in which the outer function is a power function that it is useful to state a theorem for the combination of these two rules.

Theorem (The Generalized Power Rule)

If  $h(x) = [f(x)]^n$  where  $n \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , then

 $h'(x) = n[f(x)]^{n-1} \cdot f'(x).$ 







• 
$$f(x) = (4x+1)^3$$



• 
$$f(x) = (4x+1)^3 \implies f'(x) =$$

• 
$$f(x) = (4x+1)^3 \implies f'(x) = 3(4x+1)^{3-1} \cdot \frac{d}{dx}[4x+1] =$$

• 
$$f(x) = (4x+1)^3 \implies f'(x) = 3(4x+1)^{3-1} \cdot \frac{d}{dx}[4x+1] = 3(4x+1)^2(4) =$$

• 
$$f(x) = (4x+1)^3 \implies f'(x) = 3(4x+1)^{3-1} \cdot \frac{d}{dx}[4x+1] = 3(4x+1)^2(4) = 12(4x+1)^2.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

 $f(x) = \sqrt{\sqrt{x} + 1}$ 

• 
$$f(x) = (4x+1)^3 \implies f'(x) = 3(4x+1)^{3-1} \cdot \frac{d}{dx}[4x+1] = 3(4x+1)^2(4) = 12(4x+1)^2.$$

• 
$$f(x) = \sqrt{\sqrt{x+1}} \implies f'(x) =$$

• 
$$f(x) = (4x+1)^3 \implies f'(x) = 3(4x+1)^{3-1} \cdot \frac{d}{dx} [4x+1] = 3(4x+1)^2(4) = 12(4x+1)^2.$$

• 
$$f(x) = \sqrt{\sqrt{x} + 1} \implies f'(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{x} + 1)^{0.5 - 1} \cdot \frac{d}{dx}[\sqrt{x} + 1] =$$

• 
$$f(x) = (4x+1)^3 \implies f'(x) = 3(4x+1)^{3-1} \cdot \frac{d}{dx} [4x+1] = 3(4x+1)^2(4) = 12(4x+1)^2.$$

• 
$$f(x) = \sqrt{\sqrt{x} + 1} \implies f'(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{x} + 1)^{0.5 - 1} \cdot \frac{d}{dx}[\sqrt{x} + 1] = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{x} + 1)^{-0.5} \frac{1}{2}x^{-0.5} =$$

• 
$$f(x) = (4x+1)^3 \implies f'(x) = 3(4x+1)^{3-1} \cdot \frac{d}{dx} [4x+1] = 3(4x+1)^2(4) = 12(4x+1)^2.$$

$$f(x) = \sqrt{\sqrt{x} + 1} \implies f'(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{x} + 1)^{0.5 - 1} \cdot \frac{d}{dx}[\sqrt{x} + 1] = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{x} + 1)^{-0.5} \frac{1}{2}x^{-0.5} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{x}\sqrt{\sqrt{x} + 1}}.$$

Using the Chain Rule, find the derivative of the following function.

Using the Chain Rule, find the derivative of the following function.

$$f(x) = (x^2 + 1)^3 (-2x + 1)^2$$

Using the Chain Rule, find the derivative of the following function.

$$f(x) = (x^2 + 1)^3 (-2x + 1)^2 \implies f'(x) =$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}[(x^2+1)^3] \cdot [(-2x+1)^2] + [(x^2+1)^3]\frac{d}{dx}[(-2x+1)^2] =$$

Using the Chain Rule, find the derivative of the following function.

$$f(x) = (x^2 + 1)^3 (-2x + 1)^2 \implies f'(x) =$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}[(x^2+1)^3] \cdot [(-2x+1)^2] + [(x^2+1)^3]\frac{d}{dx}[(-2x+1)^2] = 3(x^2+1)^2(2x)(-2x+1)^2 + (x^2+1)^3(2)(-2x+1)(-2) =$$

Using the Chain Rule, find the derivative of the following function.

$$f(x) = (x^2 + 1)^3 (-2x + 1)^2 \implies f'(x) =$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}[(x^2+1)^3] \cdot [(-2x+1)^2] + [(x^2+1)^3]\frac{d}{dx}[(-2x+1)^2] = 3(x^2+1)^2(2x)(-2x+1)^2 + (x^2+1)^3(2)(-2x+1)(-2) =$$

$$2(x^{2}+1)^{2}(-2x+1)[3x(-2x+1)-2(x^{2}+1)] =$$

Using the Chain Rule, find the derivative of the following function.

$$f(x) = (x^2 + 1)^3 (-2x + 1)^2 \implies f'(x) =$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}[(x^2+1)^3] \cdot [(-2x+1)^2] + [(x^2+1)^3]\frac{d}{dx}[(-2x+1)^2] = 3(x^2+1)^2(2x)(-2x+1)^2 + (x^2+1)^3(2)(-2x+1)(-2) =$$

$$2(x^2+1)^2(-2x+1)[3x(-2x+1)-2(x^2+1)] =$$

$$2(x^{2}+1)^{2}(-2x+1)[-8x^{2}+3x-2].$$

Using the Chain Rule, find the derivative of the following function.

Using the Chain Rule, find the derivative of the following function.

$$f(x) = \left(\frac{2x+1}{3x+2}\right)^3$$

Using the Chain Rule, find the derivative of the following function.

$$f(x) = \left(\frac{2x+1}{3x+2}\right)^3$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

First, let's calculate

g'(x) =
Using the Chain Rule, find the derivative of the following function.

$$f(x) = \left(\frac{2x+1}{3x+2}\right)^3$$

First, let's calculate

$$g'(x) = rac{d}{dx}\left[rac{2x+1}{3x+2}
ight] =$$

Using the Chain Rule, find the derivative of the following function.

$$f(x) = \left(\frac{2x+1}{3x+2}\right)^3$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

First, let's calculate

$$g'(x) = rac{d}{dx} \left[ rac{2x+1}{3x+2} 
ight] = rac{(3x+2)(2) - (2x+1)(3)}{(3x+2)^2} =$$

Using the Chain Rule, find the derivative of the following function.

$$f(x) = \left(\frac{2x+1}{3x+2}\right)^3$$

First, let's calculate

$$g'(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \frac{2x+1}{3x+2} \right] = \frac{(3x+2)(2) - (2x+1)(3)}{(3x+2)^2} = \frac{1}{(3x+2)^2}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

So we have

So we have

$$f'(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \left( \frac{2x+1}{3x+2} \right)^3 \right] =$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

So we have

$$f'(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \left( \frac{2x+1}{3x+2} \right)^3 \right] =$$
$$3 \cdot \left( \frac{2x+1}{3x+2} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{(3x+2)^2} =$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

So we have

$$f'(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \left( \frac{2x+1}{3x+2} \right)^3 \right] = 3 \cdot \left( \frac{2x+1}{3x+2} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{(3x+2)^2} = 3 \cdot \frac{(2x+1)^2}{(3x+2)^4}.$$

$$f(x)=\frac{1}{(3x+2)^2}=$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(3x+2)^2} = (3x+2)^{-2} \implies$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(3x+2)^2} = (3x+2)^{-2} \implies$$
$$f'(x) =$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(3x+2)^2} = (3x+2)^{-2} \implies$$
$$f'(x) = -2(3x+2)^{-2-1}(3) =$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(3x+2)^2} = (3x+2)^{-2} \implies$$
$$f'(x) = -2(3x+2)^{-2-1}(3) = \frac{-6}{(3x+2)^3}$$

When an economist studies a quantity like the unemployment rate, she's not just interested in the actual value of the unemployment rate.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Similarly, a factory owner isn't solely interested in the number of units his factory is producing.

Similarly, a factory owner isn't solely interested in the number of units his factory is producing. He's also interested in the rate of change in cost of production relative to the number of units being produced.

Similarly, a factory owner isn't solely interested in the number of units his factory is producing. He's also interested in the rate of change in cost of production relative to the number of units being produced.

These concepts form the basis of marginal analysis.



#### Definition (Marginal Cost)

The **actual** cost incurred by producing an additional unit of a certain commodity is the marginal cost.



#### Definition (Marginal Cost)

The **actual** cost incurred by producing an additional unit of a certain commodity is the marginal cost.

#### Definition (Marginal Cost Function)

This function is used to approximate the true marginal cost. It is the first derivative of the cost function.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●



### Definition (Marginal Cost)

The **actual** cost incurred by producing an additional unit of a certain commodity is the marginal cost.

#### Definition (Marginal Cost Function)

This function is used to approximate the true marginal cost. It is the first derivative of the cost function.

The marginal cost function does not give **exactly** the marginal cost, but it is a good approximation in most smooth, i.e. differentiable, cases.



$$C(x) = 7084 + 150x - 0.25x^2$$
  $(0 \le x \le 644).$ 

$$C(x) = 7084 + 150x - 0.25x^2$$
  $(0 \le x \le 644).$ 

What is the actual cost of producing 251 ovens rather than 250 ovens?

$$C(x) = 7084 + 150x - 0.25x^2$$
  $(0 \le x \le 644).$ 

What is the actual cost of producing 251 ovens rather than 250 ovens? Well, C(251) - C(250) = 24.75.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

$$C(x) = 7084 + 150x - 0.25x^2$$
  $(0 \le x \le 644).$ 

What is the actual cost of producing 251 ovens rather than 250 ovens? Well, C(251) - C(250) = 24.75.

What is the rate of change at x = 250?

$$C(x) = 7084 + 150x - 0.25x^2$$
  $(0 \le x \le 644).$ 

What is the actual cost of producing 251 ovens rather than 250 ovens?

Well, C(251) - C(250) = 24.75. What is the rate of change at x = 250? Well C'(250) = 150 - 0.5(250) = 25.



Another concept of concern to producers is the average cost of producing a good.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

## Definition

Another concept of concern to producers is the average cost of producing a good. Below, C(x) is a total cost function.

#### Definition (Average Cost)

The average cost function, denoted  $\overline{C}(x)$  is given by

$$\bar{C}(x) = \frac{C(x)}{x}.$$

## Definition

Another concept of concern to producers is the average cost of producing a good. Below, C(x) is a total cost function.

#### Definition (Average Cost)

The average cost function, denoted  $\overline{C}(x)$  is given by

$$\bar{C}(x) = \frac{C(x)}{x}.$$

#### Definition (Marginal Average Cost)

The marginal average cost function, denoted  $\overline{C}'(x)$  is given by

$$\bar{C}'(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \frac{C(x)}{x} \right]$$



The total cost of producing x units of a certain commodity is given by C(x) = 400 + 20x (in dollars). Find  $\overline{C}(x)$  and  $\overline{C}'(x)$  then discuss the economic implications of these results.

The total cost of producing x units of a certain commodity is given by C(x) = 400 + 20x (in dollars). Find  $\overline{C}(x)$  and  $\overline{C}'(x)$  then discuss the economic implications of these results.

Well,  $\bar{C}(x) = \frac{400+20x}{x} = \frac{400}{x} + 20.$ 

The total cost of producing x units of a certain commodity is given by C(x) = 400 + 20x (in dollars). Find  $\overline{C}(x)$  and  $\overline{C}'(x)$  then discuss the economic implications of these results.

Well,  $\bar{C}(x) = \frac{400+20x}{x} = \frac{400}{x} + 20.$ And  $\bar{C}'(x) = -\frac{400}{x^2}.$ 

The total cost of producing x units of a certain commodity is given by C(x) = 400 + 20x (in dollars). Find  $\overline{C}(x)$  and  $\overline{C}'(x)$  then discuss the economic implications of these results.

Well, 
$$\bar{C}(x) = \frac{400+20x}{x} = \frac{400}{x} + 20.$$
  
And  $\bar{C}'(x) = -\frac{400}{x^2}.$ 

Notice, the marginal average cost is *always* negative!

The total cost of producing x units of a certain commodity is given by C(x) = 400 + 20x (in dollars). Find  $\overline{C}(x)$  and  $\overline{C}'(x)$  then discuss the economic implications of these results.

Well, 
$$\bar{C}(x) = \frac{400+20x}{x} = \frac{400}{x} + 20.$$

And 
$$\bar{C}'(x) = -\frac{400}{x^2}$$
.

Notice, the marginal average cost is *always* negative! So, producing an extra unit always lowers the average cost,

The total cost of producing x units of a certain commodity is given by C(x) = 400 + 20x (in dollars). Find  $\overline{C}(x)$  and  $\overline{C}'(x)$  then discuss the economic implications of these results.

Well, 
$$\bar{C}(x) = \frac{400+20x}{x} = \frac{400}{x} + 20x$$

And 
$$\bar{C}'(x) = -\frac{400}{x^2}$$
.

Notice, the marginal average cost is *always* negative! So, producing an extra unit always lowers the average cost, meaning that  $\overline{C}(x)$  must be a decreasing function.

The total cost of producing x units of a certain commodity is given by C(x) = 400 + 20x (in dollars). Find  $\overline{C}(x)$  and  $\overline{C}'(x)$  then discuss the economic implications of these results.

Well, 
$$\bar{C}(x) = \frac{400+20x}{x} = \frac{400}{x} + 20$$

And 
$$\bar{C}'(x) = -\frac{400}{x^2}$$
.

Notice, the marginal average cost is *always* negative! So, producing an extra unit always lowers the average cost, meaning that  $\bar{C}(x)$  must be a decreasing function. Moreover, notice that  $\lim_{x\to\infty} \bar{C}(x) = 20$ .
## Example

The total cost of producing x units of a certain commodity is given by C(x) = 400 + 20x (in dollars). Find  $\overline{C}(x)$  and  $\overline{C}'(x)$  then discuss the economic implications of these results.

Well, 
$$\bar{C}(x) = \frac{400+20x}{x} = \frac{400}{x} + 20$$

And 
$$\bar{C}'(x) = -\frac{400}{x^2}$$
.

Notice, the marginal average cost is *always* negative! So, producing an extra unit always lowers the average cost, meaning that  $\bar{C}(x)$  must be a decreasing function. Moreover, notice that  $\lim_{x\to\infty} \bar{C}(x) = 20$ . This makes sense, because the fixed cost of producing any units (\$400) becomes "swallowed up" in the variable cost of producing large x number of units.

# Example: Graph



Well, 
$$\bar{C}(x) = 0.0001x^2 - 0.08x + 40 + \frac{5000}{x}$$
.

Well, 
$$\bar{C}(x) = 0.0001x^2 - 0.08x + 40 + \frac{5000}{x}$$

And  $\bar{C}'(x) = 0.0002x - 0.08 - \frac{5000}{x^2}$ .

Well, 
$$\bar{C}(x) = 0.0001x^2 - 0.08x + 40 + \frac{5000}{x}$$

And 
$$ar{C}'(x) = 0.0002x - 0.08 - rac{5000}{x^2}$$
.

The graph of  $\overline{C}(x)$  follows with analysis of the results.

# Example: Graph



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Because  $\bar{C}'(500) = 0$ , we know that there is a horizontal tangent line at the point (500, 35) on the graph of  $\bar{C}(x)$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Because  $\bar{C}'(500) = 0$ , we know that there is a horizontal tangent line at the point (500, 35) on the graph of  $\bar{C}(x)$ . The graph of  $\bar{C}(x)$  becomes arbitrarily large as  $x \to 0^+$  and as  $x \to \infty$ .

Because  $\bar{C}'(500) = 0$ , we know that there is a horizontal tangent line at the point (500, 35) on the graph of  $\bar{C}(x)$ . The graph of  $\bar{C}(x)$ becomes arbitrarily large as  $x \to 0^+$  and as  $x \to \infty$ . The average cost is at a minimum when x = 500, and it is decreasing before that point and increasing after. Because  $\overline{C}'(500) = 0$ , we know that there is a horizontal tangent line at the point (500, 35) on the graph of  $\overline{C}(x)$ . The graph of  $\overline{C}(x)$ becomes arbitrarily large as  $x \to 0^+$  and as  $x \to \infty$ . The average cost is at a minimum when x = 500, and it is decreasing before that point and increasing after. This situation is typical when the marginal cost increases at some point on as production increases.

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー シック

If R(x) = xp(x) is a revenue function with price per unit given by p(x), then the marginal revenue function is given by R'(x) = p(x) + xp'(x).

If R(x) = xp(x) is a revenue function with price per unit given by p(x), then the marginal revenue function is given by R'(x) = p(x) + xp'(x).

Note:

If R(x) = xp(x) is a revenue function with price per unit given by p(x), then the marginal revenue function is given by R'(x) = p(x) + xp'(x).

Note: Sometimes, it is easier to calculate R' directly by performing the multiplication xp(x) first and *then* taking the derivative.

If R(x) = xp(x) is a revenue function with price per unit given by p(x), then the marginal revenue function is given by R'(x) = p(x) + xp'(x).

Note: Sometimes, it is easier to calculate R' directly by performing the multiplication xp(x) first and *then* taking the derivative. It's up to you.

$$R(x) = xp(x) = -0.02x^2 + 400x$$

$$R(x) = xp(x) = -0.02x^2 + 400x$$
. And  $R'(x) = -0.04x + 400$ .

 $R(x) = xp(x) = -0.02x^2 + 400x$ . And R'(x) = -0.04x + 400. Thus, R'(2000) = -0.04(2000) + 400 = 320.

 $R(x) = xp(x) = -0.02x^2 + 400x$ . And R'(x) = -0.04x + 400. Thus, R'(2000) = -0.04(2000) + 400 = 320. Thus, the actual revenue realized by the sale of the 2001st loudspeaker is approximately \$320.

#### Definition (Marginal Profit)

If P(x) = R(x) - C(x) is a revenue function with R(x) and C(x) being revenue and cost functions respectively, then the marginal profit function is given by P'(x) = R'(x) - C'(x).

Well, 
$$P(x) = (-0.02x^2 + 400x) - (100x + 200000) = -0.02x^2 + 300x - 200000$$
,

Well, 
$$P(x) = (-0.02x^2 + 400x) - (100x + 200000) = -0.02x^2 + 300x - 200000$$
, so  $P'(x) = -0.04x + 300$ ,

Well, 
$$P(x) = (-0.02x^2 + 400x) - (100x + 200000) = -0.02x^2 + 300x - 200000$$
, so  $P'(x) = -0.04x + 300$ , and  $P'(2000) = -0.04(2000) + 300 = 220$ .

Well,  $P(x) = (-0.02x^2 + 400x) - (100x + 200000) = -0.02x^2 + 300x - 200000$ , so P'(x) = -0.04x + 300, and P'(2000) = -0.04(2000) + 300 = 220. Thus, the actual profit realized from the sale of the 2001st loudspeaker is approximately \$220.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)へ(C)

### Often, it is useful to think of a change in a **relative** sense.

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Often, it is useful to think of a change in a **relative** sense. Imagine the cost of a bottle of water increased by \$0.25.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Often, it is useful to think of a change in a **relative** sense. Imagine the cost of a bottle of water increased by 0.25. If the bottle already cost 1.25, then the change represents a 20% increase.

Often, it is useful to think of a change in a **relative** sense. Imagine the cost of a bottle of water increased by \$0.25. If the bottle already cost \$1.25, then the change represents a 20% increase. But if the bottle cost \$5, then the change represents only a 5% increase.

Often, it is useful to think of a change in a **relative** sense. Imagine the cost of a bottle of water increased by 0.25. If the bottle already cost 1.25, then the change represents a 20% increase. But if the bottle cost 5, then the change represents only a 5% increase.

This notion can be generalized to the derivative of a function in the following way.

Often, it is useful to think of a change in a **relative** sense. Imagine the cost of a bottle of water increased by 0.25. If the bottle already cost 1.25, then the change represents a 20% increase. But if the bottle cost 5, then the change represents only a 5% increase.

This notion can be generalized to the derivative of a function in the following way. The relative change of f with respect to x at x is

Often, it is useful to think of a change in a **relative** sense. Imagine the cost of a bottle of water increased by \$0.25. If the bottle already cost \$1.25, then the change represents a 20% increase. But if the bottle cost \$5, then the change represents only a 5% increase.

This notion can be generalized to the derivative of a function in the following way. The relative change of f with respect to x at x is

$$\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}$$
 or  $\frac{100 \cdot f'(x)}{f(x)} \%$ 

If f(p) is a demand function, then the relative rate of change of f with respect to p at p is

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

If f(p) is a demand function, then the relative rate of change of f with respect to p at p is

$$\frac{f'(p)}{f(p)}$$
 or  $\frac{100 \cdot f'(x)}{f(x)}$ %.

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

If f(p) is a demand function, then the relative rate of change of f with respect to p at p is

$$\frac{f'(p)}{f(p)}$$
 or  $\frac{100 \cdot f'(x)}{f(x)}$ %.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Now, because the relative rate of change of p, the price of the commodity, with respect to itself is
$$\frac{f'(p)}{f(p)}$$
 or  $\frac{100 \cdot f'(x)}{f(x)}$ %.

Now, because the relative rate of change of p, the price of the commodity, with respect to itself is  $\frac{p'}{p} = \frac{1}{p}$  or  $\frac{100}{p}\%$ , we have that

$$\frac{f'(p)}{f(p)}$$
 or  $\frac{100 \cdot f'(x)}{f(x)}$ %.

Now, because the relative rate of change of p, the price of the commodity, with respect to itself is  $\frac{p'}{p} = \frac{1}{p}$  or  $\frac{100}{p}\%$ , we have that

$$\frac{\frac{f'(p)}{f(p)}}{\frac{1}{p}} = \frac{pf'(p)}{f(p)},$$

$$\frac{f'(p)}{f(p)}$$
 or  $\frac{100 \cdot f'(x)}{f(x)}$ %.

Now, because the relative rate of change of p, the price of the commodity, with respect to itself is  $\frac{p'}{p} = \frac{1}{p}$  or  $\frac{100}{p}$ %, we have that

$$\frac{\frac{f'(p)}{f(p)}}{\frac{1}{p}} = \frac{pf'(p)}{f(p)},$$

which is the ratio of the relative rate of change of f to the relative rate of change of p.

$$\frac{f'(p)}{f(p)}$$
 or  $\frac{100 \cdot f'(x)}{f(x)}$ %.

Now, because the relative rate of change of p, the price of the commodity, with respect to itself is  $\frac{p'}{p} = \frac{1}{p}$  or  $\frac{100}{p}$ %, we have that

$$\frac{\frac{f'(p)}{f(p)}}{\frac{1}{p}} = \frac{pf'(p)}{f(p)},$$

which is the ratio of the relative rate of change of f to the relative rate of change of p. The *negative* of this quantity is called the elasticity of demand by economists.

If f is a differentiable demand function defined by x = f(p), then the elasticity of demand at price p is given by  $E(p) = -\frac{pf'(p)}{f(p)}$ .

<ロト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 回 の Q (O)</p>

If f is a differentiable demand function defined by x = f(p), then the elasticity of demand at price p is given by  $E(p) = -\frac{pf'(p)}{f(p)}$ .

#### Definition (Intervals of Elasticity)

E(p) > 1 defines elastic demand.

If f is a differentiable demand function defined by x = f(p), then the elasticity of demand at price p is given by  $E(p) = -\frac{pf'(p)}{f(p)}$ .

#### Definition (Intervals of Elasticity)

E(p) > 1 defines elastic demand. E(p) = 1 defines unitary demand.

If f is a differentiable demand function defined by x = f(p), then the elasticity of demand at price p is given by  $E(p) = -\frac{pf'(p)}{f(p)}$ .

### Definition (Intervals of Elasticity)

E(p) > 1 defines elastic demand. E(p) = 1 defines unitary demand. E(p) < 1 defines inelastic demand.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

$$1 > E(p) = -\frac{pf'(p)}{f(p)} \implies \frac{1}{p} > -\frac{f'(p)}{f(p)}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

$$1 > E(p) = -rac{pf'(p)}{f(p)} \implies rac{1}{p} > -rac{f'(p)}{f(p)}$$

This means a small (positive) relative change in price results in a smaller relative (negative) change in quantity demanded for a price corresponding to an inelastic demand.

$$1 > E(p) = -rac{pf'(p)}{f(p)} \implies rac{1}{p} > -rac{f'(p)}{f(p)}$$

This means a small (positive) relative change in price results in a smaller relative (negative) change in quantity demanded for a price corresponding to an inelastic demand. What are the corresponding interpretations of unitary and elastic demands?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

$$R(p) = px = pf(p) \implies R'(p) = f(p) + pf'(p)$$
$$= f(p) \left[ 1 + \frac{pf'(p)}{f(p)} \right] = f(p)[1 - E(p)]$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

$$R(p) = px = pf(p) \implies R'(p) = f(p) + pf'(p)$$
$$= f(p) \left[ 1 + \frac{pf'(p)}{f(p)} \right] = f(p) [1 - E(p)]$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

So, for a price at which demand is elastic, R'(p) would be negative.

$$R(p) = px = pf(p) \implies R'(p) = f(p) + pf'(p)$$
$$= f(p) \left[ 1 + \frac{pf'(p)}{f(p)} \right] = f(p) [1 - E(p)]$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

So, for a price at which demand is elastic, R'(p) would be negative. Thus, R(p) would be decreasing at that price,

$$R(p) = px = pf(p) \implies R'(p) = f(p) + pf'(p)$$
$$= f(p) \left[ 1 + \frac{pf'(p)}{f(p)} \right] = f(p) [1 - E(p)]$$

So, for a price at which demand is elastic, R'(p) would be negative. Thus, R(p) would be decreasing at that price, and a small increase in p would result in a decrease in R.

$$R(p) = px = pf(p) \implies R'(p) = f(p) + pf'(p)$$
$$= f(p) \left[ 1 + \frac{pf'(p)}{f(p)} \right] = f(p) [1 - E(p)]$$

So, for a price at which demand is elastic, R'(p) would be negative. Thus, R(p) would be decreasing at that price, and a small increase in p would result in a decrease in R. How could you correspondingly interpret unitary and inelastic demands?



Consider the demand equation p(x) = -0.02x + 400( $0 \le x \le 20000$ ) which describes the relationship between





< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

**1** Find the elasticity of demand E(p).

# Example

Consider the demand equation p(x) = -0.02x + 400( $0 \le x \le 20000$ ) which describes the relationship between the quantity demanded, x, and the price p.

**I** Find the elasticity of demand E(p). Solving for x in the demand equation gives x = f(p) = -50p + 20000.

■ Find the elasticity of demand E(p). Solving for x in the demand equation gives x = f(p) = -50p + 20000. Thus, f'(p) = -50,

**1** Find the elasticity of demand E(p). Solving for x in the demand equation gives x = f(p) = -50p + 20000. Thus, f'(p) = -50, and  $E(p) = \frac{p}{400-p}$ .

- **1** Find the elasticity of demand E(p). Solving for x in the demand equation gives x = f(p) = -50p + 20000. Thus, f'(p) = -50, and  $E(p) = \frac{p}{400-p}$ .
- **2** Compute E(100) and E(300) and interpret your results.

- **1** Find the elasticity of demand E(p). Solving for x in the demand equation gives x = f(p) = -50p + 20000. Thus, f'(p) = -50, and  $E(p) = \frac{p}{400-p}$ .
- Compute E(100) and E(300) and interpret your results.  $E(100) = \frac{1}{3}$  and E(300) = 3,

- **1** Find the elasticity of demand E(p). Solving for x in the demand equation gives x = f(p) = -50p + 20000. Thus, f'(p) = -50, and  $E(p) = \frac{p}{400-p}$ .
- **2** Compute E(100) and E(300) and interpret your results.  $E(100) = \frac{1}{3}$  and E(300) = 3, so demand is elastic at p = 300 and inelastic at p = 100.

- **1** Find the elasticity of demand E(p). Solving for x in the demand equation gives x = f(p) = -50p + 20000. Thus, f'(p) = -50, and  $E(p) = \frac{p}{400-p}$ .
- Compute E(100) and E(300) and interpret your results.  $E(100) = \frac{1}{3}$  and E(300) = 3, so demand is elastic at p = 300and inelastic at p = 100. What does this mean for quantity demanded at those prices?

- **1** Find the elasticity of demand E(p). Solving for x in the demand equation gives x = f(p) = -50p + 20000. Thus, f'(p) = -50, and  $E(p) = \frac{p}{400-p}$ .
- **2** Compute E(100) and E(300) and interpret your results.  $E(100) = \frac{1}{3}$  and E(300) = 3, so demand is elastic at p = 300and inelastic at p = 100. What does this mean for quantity demanded at those prices? What does it mean for revenue at those prices?



# Read 3.5-3.7. Do problems 16, 32, 52, 64, 78, 90 in 3.3 and 4, 12, 28, 32, 36 in 3.4.

