

# Stability for the inverse resonance problem for the CMV operator

Rudi Weikard

University of Alabama at Birmingham

OTAMP 2014

July 11, 2014

I am reporting on joint work with

- Roman Shterenberg (UAB) and
- Maxim Zinchenko (New Mexico).

# Inverse resonance problems

- Brown and Eastham (1997 – 2000) found that the points of spectral concentration indicate the presence of resonances in the vicinity.

# Inverse resonance problems

- Brown and Eastham (1997 – 2000) found that the points of spectral concentration indicate the presence of resonances in the vicinity.
- Korotyaev (2000) and Zworski (2001/1988) pointed out that resonances determine potentials of a Schrödinger equation uniquely.

# Inverse resonance problems

- Brown and Eastham (1997 – 2000) found that the points of spectral concentration indicate the presence of resonances in the vicinity.
- Korotyaev (2000) and Zworski (2001/1988) pointed out that resonances determine potentials of a Schrödinger equation uniquely.
- Brown, Knowles, Naboko and myself have some contributions to uniqueness (Schrödinger problems with zero or algebro-geometric backgrounds, Jacobi problems with zero background).

## Inverse resonance problems

- Brown and Eastham (1997 – 2000) found that the points of spectral concentration indicate the presence of resonances in the vicinity.
- Korotyaev (2000) and Zworski (2001/1988) pointed out that resonances determine potentials of a Schrödinger equation uniquely.
- Brown, Knowles, Naboko and myself have some contributions to uniqueness (Schrödinger problems with zero or algebro-geometric backgrounds, Jacobi problems with zero background).
- Iantchenko and Korotyaev (2011) have results for Jacobi problems with periodic background).

## Inverse resonance problems

- Brown and Eastham (1997 – 2000) found that the points of spectral concentration indicate the presence of resonances in the vicinity.
- Korotyaev (2000) and Zworski (2001/1988) pointed out that resonances determine potentials of a Schrödinger equation uniquely.
- Brown, Knowles, Naboko and myself have some contributions to uniqueness (Schrödinger problems with zero or algebro-geometric backgrounds, Jacobi problems with zero background).
- Iantchenko and Korotyaev (2011) have results for Jacobi problems with periodic background).
- Stability of the recovered potential for finite noisy resonance data for the Schrödinger equation (with Marletta, Naboko, Shterenberg; Bledsoe).

# Orthogonal polynomials on the real line

- Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  all of whose moments are finite.



## Orthogonal polynomials on the real line

- Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  all of whose moments are finite.
- By Gram-Schmidt this gives rise to a sequence of orthonormal polynomials  $p_n$  (unique with positive leading coefficient).

## Orthogonal polynomials on the real line

- Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  all of whose moments are finite.
- By Gram-Schmidt this gives rise to a sequence of orthonormal polynomials  $p_n$  (unique with positive leading coefficient).
- It is well known (and easy to see) that they satisfy a three-term recurrence:

$$a_{n-1}p_{n-1}(t) + b_n p_n(t) + a_n p_{n+1}(t) = t p_n(t)$$

where the  $a_n \neq 0$ .

## Orthogonal polynomials on the real line

- Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  all of whose moments are finite.
- By Gram-Schmidt this gives rise to a sequence of orthonormal polynomials  $p_n$  (unique with positive leading coefficient).
- It is well known (and easy to see) that they satisfy a three-term recurrence:

$$a_{n-1}p_{n-1}(t) + b_n p_n(t) + a_n p_{n+1}(t) = t p_n(t)$$

where the  $a_n \neq 0$ .

- Thus, choosing the  $p_n$  as a basis, multiplication by  $t$  is represented by a three-diagonal semi-infinite matrix, i.e., a Jacobi matrix.

## Orthogonal polynomials on the real line

- Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  all of whose moments are finite.
- By Gram-Schmidt this gives rise to a sequence of orthonormal polynomials  $p_n$  (unique with positive leading coefficient).
- It is well known (and easy to see) that they satisfy a three-term recurrence:

$$a_{n-1}p_{n-1}(t) + b_n p_n(t) + a_n p_{n+1}(t) = t p_n(t)$$

where the  $a_n \neq 0$ .

- Thus, choosing the  $p_n$  as a basis, multiplication by  $t$  is represented by a three-diagonal semi-infinite matrix, i.e., a Jacobi matrix.
- Multiplication by  $t$  is a self-adjoint operator.

## Orthogonal polynomials on the real line

- Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  all of whose moments are finite.
- By Gram-Schmidt this gives rise to a sequence of orthonormal polynomials  $p_n$  (unique with positive leading coefficient).
- It is well known (and easy to see) that they satisfy a three-term recurrence:

$$a_{n-1}p_{n-1}(t) + b_n p_n(t) + a_n p_{n+1}(t) = t p_n(t)$$

where the  $a_n \neq 0$ .

- Thus, choosing the  $p_n$  as a basis, multiplication by  $t$  is represented by a three-diagonal semi-infinite matrix, i.e., a Jacobi matrix.
- Multiplication by  $t$  is a self-adjoint operator.
- Spectral theory for Jacobi matrices allows to investigate the polynomials.

# Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle

- Given a probability measure on the unit circle one can construct again orthogonal polynomials.

# Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle

- Given a probability measure on the unit circle one can construct again orthogonal polynomials.
- Interest in these polynomials was triggered during the 1990s by applications in digital signal processing.

# Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle

- Given a probability measure on the unit circle one can construct again orthogonal polynomials.
- Interest in these polynomials was triggered during the 1990s by applications in digital signal processing.
- In 2005 B. Simon published a monumental 2-volume work on these matters (which has by now at least 322 citations according to MathSciNet).



# Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle

- Given a probability measure on the unit circle one can construct again orthogonal polynomials.
- Interest in these polynomials was triggered during the 1990s by applications in digital signal processing.
- In 2005 B. Simon published a monumental 2-volume work on these matters (which has by now at least 322 citations according to MathSciNet).
- The representation of multiplication by the independent variable (using the orthogonal polynomials as a basis) leads only to a Hessenberg matrix.

## Cantero, Moral, and Velázquez (CMV)

- In 2003 CMV suggested to use Laurent polynomials, i.e., polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}[z, 1/z]$ .

## Cantero, Moral, and Velázquez (CMV)

- In 2003 CMV suggested to use Laurent polynomials, i.e., polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}[z, 1/z]$ .
- Apply Gram-Schmidt to  $(1, 1/z, z, 1/z^2, z^2, \dots)$  to produce orthonormal Laurent polynomials  $f_n$  (OLPs) instead of the standard OPs  $p_n$ .

## Cantero, Moral, and Velázquez (CMV)

- In 2003 CMV suggested to use Laurent polynomials, i.e., polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}[z, 1/z]$ .
- Apply Gram-Schmidt to  $(1, 1/z, z, 1/z^2, z^2, \dots)$  to produce orthonormal Laurent polynomials  $f_n$  (OLPs) instead of the standard OPs  $p_n$ .
- In terms of this basis multiplication by  $z$  is represented by a five-diagonal matrix.

## Cantero, Moral, and Velázquez (CMV)

- In 2003 CMV suggested to use Laurent polynomials, i.e., polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}[z, 1/z]$ .
- Apply Gram-Schmidt to  $(1, 1/z, z, 1/z^2, z^2, \dots)$  to produce orthonormal Laurent polynomials  $f_n$  (OLPs) instead of the standard OPs  $p_n$ .
- In terms of this basis multiplication by  $z$  is represented by a five-diagonal matrix.
- Multiplication by  $z$  is now a unitary operator denoted by  $U$ .

## Cantero, Moral, and Velázquez (CMV)

- In 2003 CMV suggested to use Laurent polynomials, i.e., polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}[z, 1/z]$ .
- Apply Gram-Schmidt to  $(1, 1/z, z, 1/z^2, z^2, \dots)$  to produce orthonormal Laurent polynomials  $f_n$  (OLPs) instead of the standard OPs  $p_n$ .
- In terms of this basis multiplication by  $z$  is represented by a five-diagonal matrix.
- Multiplication by  $z$  is now a unitary operator denoted by  $U$ .
- The OLPs and the OPs are in a simple relationship:

$$f_{2n}(z) = z^{-n} p_{2n}(z), \quad f_{2n+1}(z) = z^n \overline{p_{2n+1}(1/\bar{z})}.$$

## Cantero, Moral, and Velázquez (CMV)

- In 2003 CMV suggested to use Laurent polynomials, i.e., polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}[z, 1/z]$ .
- Apply Gram-Schmidt to  $(1, 1/z, z, 1/z^2, z^2, \dots)$  to produce orthonormal Laurent polynomials  $f_n$  (OLPs) instead of the standard OPs  $p_n$ .
- In terms of this basis multiplication by  $z$  is represented by a five-diagonal matrix.
- Multiplication by  $z$  is now a unitary operator denoted by  $U$ .
- The OLPs and the OPs are in a simple relationship:

$$f_{2n}(z) = z^{-n} p_{2n}(z), \quad f_{2n+1}(z) = z^n \overline{p_{2n+1}(1/\bar{z})}.$$

- Starting from  $(1, z, 1/z, z^2, 1/z^2, \dots)$  the OLPs are

$$g_n(z) = f_{n,*}(z) := \overline{f_n(1/\bar{z})}.$$

# The 5-term recurrence

- Instead of a Jacobi matrix CMV obtained the matrix

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha_1 & \rho_1 & 0 & & & & & & & & & & 0 \\ -\rho_1\alpha_2 & -\bar{\alpha}_1\alpha_2 & -\rho_2\alpha_3 & \rho_2\rho_3 & & & & & & & & & 0 \\ \rho_1\rho_2 & \bar{\alpha}_1\rho_2 & -\bar{\alpha}_2\alpha_3 & \bar{\alpha}_2\rho_3 & 0 & & & & & & & & \\ & 0 & -\rho_3\alpha_4 & -\bar{\alpha}_3\alpha_4 & -\rho_4\alpha_5 & \rho_4\rho_5 & & & & & & & \\ & & \rho_3\rho_4 & \bar{\alpha}_3\rho_4 & -\bar{\alpha}_4\alpha_5 & \bar{\alpha}_4\rho_5 & 0 & & & & & & \\ & & & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & & & & \\ 0 & & & & & & & & & & & & \dots \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $\rho_n = \sqrt{1 - |\alpha_n|^2}$ .







# Factorization of $U$

Every CMV matrix admits the following factorization

$$U = VW = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ & -\alpha_2 & \rho_2 & & & & \\ & \rho_2 & \bar{\alpha}_2 & & & & \\ & & & -\alpha_4 & \rho_4 & & \\ & & & \rho_4 & \bar{\alpha}_4 & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha_1 & \rho_1 & & & & & \\ \rho_1 & \bar{\alpha}_1 & & & & & \\ & & -\alpha_3 & \rho_3 & & & \\ & & \rho_3 & \bar{\alpha}_3 & & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

## CMV recursion

- Despite  $U$  being 5-diagonal we have a second order problem.

## CMV recursion

- Despite  $U$  being 5-diagonal we have a second order problem.
- Let  $\beta_k = \alpha_k$  or  $\beta_k = \bar{\alpha}_k$  and  $\zeta_k = z$  or  $\zeta_k = 1$  depending on whether  $k$  is odd or even and

$$T(z, k) = \frac{1}{\rho_k} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_k & \zeta_k \\ 1/\zeta_k & \bar{\beta}_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

## CMV recursion

- Despite  $U$  being 5-diagonal we have a second order problem.
- Let  $\beta_k = \alpha_k$  or  $\beta_k = \bar{\alpha}_k$  and  $\zeta_k = z$  or  $\zeta_k = 1$  depending on whether  $k$  is odd or even and

$$T(z, k) = \frac{1}{\rho_k} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_k & \zeta_k \\ 1/\zeta_k & \bar{\beta}_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Then [Gesztesy, Zinchenko 2006]

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}(k) = T(k) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}(k-1), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

if and only if

$$Wu = zv \quad \text{and} \quad Vv = u + (v(z, 0) - u(z, 0))\delta_0.$$

## CMV recursion

- Despite  $U$  being 5-diagonal we have a second order problem.
- Let  $\beta_k = \alpha_k$  or  $\beta_k = \bar{\alpha}_k$  and  $\zeta_k = z$  or  $\zeta_k = 1$  depending on whether  $k$  is odd or even and

$$T(z, k) = \frac{1}{\rho_k} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_k & \zeta_k \\ 1/\zeta_k & \bar{\beta}_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Then [Gesztesy, Zinchenko 2006]

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}(k) = T(k) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}(k-1), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

if and only if

$$Wu = zv \quad \text{and} \quad Vv = u + (v(z, 0) - u(z, 0))\delta_0.$$

- Consequently  $(U - z)u = z(v(z, 0) - u(z, 0))\delta_0$  (not necessarily in the operator sense).

## CMV recursion

- Despite  $U$  being 5-diagonal we have a second order problem.
- Let  $\beta_k = \alpha_k$  or  $\beta_k = \bar{\alpha}_k$  and  $\zeta_k = z$  or  $\zeta_k = 1$  depending on whether  $k$  is odd or even and

$$T(z, k) = \frac{1}{\rho_k} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_k & \zeta_k \\ 1/\zeta_k & \bar{\beta}_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Then [Gesztesy, Zinchenko 2006]

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}(k) = T(k) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}(k-1), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

if and only if

$$Wu = zv \quad \text{and} \quad Vv = u + (v(z, 0) - u(z, 0))\delta_0.$$

- Consequently  $(U - z)u = z(v(z, 0) - u(z, 0))\delta_0$  (not necessarily in the operator sense).
- In particular  $Uu = zu$  if  $v(z, 0) = u(z, 0)$ .



## Initial value problems

- For  $z \neq 0$  introduce the solutions  $\vartheta(z, \cdot)$  and  $\varphi(z, \cdot)$  of

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}(k) = T(k) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}(k-1)$$

with initial conditions  $(-1, 1)^\top$  and  $(1, 1)^\top$ , respectively.

## Initial value problems

- For  $z \neq 0$  introduce the solutions  $\vartheta(z, \cdot)$  and  $\varphi(z, \cdot)$  of

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}(k) = T(k) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}(k-1)$$

with initial conditions  $(-1, 1)^\top$  and  $(1, 1)^\top$ , respectively.

- Note that

$$\varphi(z, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \overline{\varphi(1/\bar{z}, k)} \text{ and } \vartheta(z, k) = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \overline{\vartheta(1/\bar{z}, k)}.$$

## Initial value problems

- For  $z \neq 0$  introduce the solutions  $\vartheta(z, \cdot)$  and  $\varphi(z, \cdot)$  of

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}(k) = T(k) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}(k-1)$$

with initial conditions  $(-1, 1)^\top$  and  $(1, 1)^\top$ , respectively.

- Note that

$$\varphi(z, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \overline{\varphi(1/\bar{z}, k)} \text{ and } \vartheta(z, k) = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \overline{\vartheta(1/\bar{z}, k)}.$$

- Also, if  $\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \varphi$ , we have  $v(z, 0) = u(z, 0)$  and hence  $Uu = zu$ .

## Weyl-Titchmarsh solutions

- Define, for  $|z| \neq 1$ ,

$$u(z, \cdot) = 2z(U - z)^{-1}\delta_0 \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0) \quad \text{and} \quad v(z, \cdot) = \frac{1}{z}Wu \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0).$$

## Weyl-Titchmarsh solutions

- Define, for  $|z| \neq 1$ ,

$$u(z, \cdot) = 2z(U - z)^{-1}\delta_0 \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0) \quad \text{and} \quad v(z, \cdot) = \frac{1}{z}Wu \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0).$$

- Then  $(u, v)^\perp$  satisfies the CMV recursion and

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}(k) = \vartheta(k) + m(z)\varphi(k) =: \omega(k)$$

when  $m(z) = 1 + u(z, 0)$ ; this is the Weyl-Titchmarsh solution.

## Weyl-Titchmarsh solutions

- Define, for  $|z| \neq 1$ ,

$$u(z, \cdot) = 2z(U - z)^{-1}\delta_0 \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0) \quad \text{and} \quad v(z, \cdot) = \frac{1}{z}Wu \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0).$$

- Then  $(u, v)^\perp$  satisfies the CMV recursion and

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}(k) = \vartheta(k) + m(z)\varphi(k) =: \omega(k)$$

when  $m(z) = 1 + u(z, 0)$ ; this is the Weyl-Titchmarsh solution.

- It follows that

$$m(z) = 1 + u(z, 0) = \langle \delta_0, (U + z)(U - z)^{-1}\delta_0 \rangle$$

is a Caratheodory function with representation

$$m(z) = \oint_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\zeta + z}{\zeta - z} d\mu(\zeta).$$

## Caratheodory and Schur functions

- An analytic function  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called a Caratheodory function, if  $f(0) = 1$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(f) > 0$ .

## Caratheodory and Schur functions

- An analytic function  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called a Caratheodory function, if  $f(0) = 1$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(f) > 0$ .
- An analytic function  $g : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called a Schur function, if  $|g| < 1$ .



## Caratheodory and Schur functions

- An analytic function  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called a Caratheodory function, if  $f(0) = 1$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(f) > 0$ .
- An analytic function  $g : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called a Schur function, if  $|g| < 1$ .
- If  $f$  is Caratheodory and  $g$  is Schur, then  $(f - 1)/(f + 1)$  is Schur and  $(1 + g)/(1 - g)$  is Caratheodory.

## Caratheodory and Schur functions

- An analytic function  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called a Caratheodory function, if  $f(0) = 1$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(f) > 0$ .
- An analytic function  $g : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called a Schur function, if  $|g| < 1$ .
- If  $f$  is Caratheodory and  $g$  is Schur, then  $(f - 1)/(f + 1)$  is Schur and  $(1 + g)/(1 - g)$  is Caratheodory.
- Note also that, by Schwarz's lemma,  $z \mapsto g(z)/z$  is a Schur function, if  $g$  is a Schur function and  $g(0) = 0$ .

## Caratheodory and Schur functions

- An analytic function  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called a Caratheodory function, if  $f(0) = 1$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(f) > 0$ .
- An analytic function  $g : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called a Schur function, if  $|g| < 1$ .
- If  $f$  is Caratheodory and  $g$  is Schur, then  $(f - 1)/(f + 1)$  is Schur and  $(1 + g)/(1 - g)$  is Caratheodory.
- Note also that, by Schwarz's lemma,  $z \mapsto g(z)/z$  is a Schur function, if  $g$  is a Schur function and  $g(0) = 0$ .
- The Möbius transform  $z \mapsto S(w, z) = (z + \bar{w})/(1 + wz)$  maps  $\mathbb{D}$  to itself, if  $|w| < 1$ .

## Caratheodory and Schur functions

- An analytic function  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called a Caratheodory function, if  $f(0) = 1$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(f) > 0$ .
- An analytic function  $g : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called a Schur function, if  $|g| < 1$ .
- If  $f$  is Caratheodory and  $g$  is Schur, then  $(f - 1)/(f + 1)$  is Schur and  $(1 + g)/(1 - g)$  is Caratheodory.
- Note also that, by Schwarz's lemma,  $z \mapsto g(z)/z$  is a Schur function, if  $g$  is a Schur function and  $g(0) = 0$ .
- The Möbius transform  $z \mapsto S(w, z) = (z + \bar{w})/(1 + wz)$  maps  $\mathbb{D}$  to itself, if  $|w| < 1$ .
- If  $g$  is a Schur function with  $g(0) = -\bar{w}$  and  $|w| < 1$  then

$$z \mapsto \frac{1}{z} S(w, g(z))$$

is a Schur function.

# The Schur algorithm I

- Define

$$\Phi_{2k}(z) = \frac{1}{z} \frac{\omega_1(z, 2k)}{\omega_2(z, 2k)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{2k+1}(z) = \frac{\omega_2(z, 2k+1)}{\omega_1(z, 2k+1)}$$

# The Schur algorithm I

- Define

$$\Phi_{2k}(z) = \frac{1}{z} \frac{\omega_1(z, 2k)}{\omega_2(z, 2k)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{2k+1}(z) = \frac{\omega_2(z, 2k+1)}{\omega_1(z, 2k+1)}$$

- Initial conditions:  $\Phi_0(z) = \frac{1}{z} \frac{m(z)-1}{m(z)+1}$ .

# The Schur algorithm I

- Define

$$\Phi_{2k}(z) = \frac{1}{z} \frac{\omega_1(z, 2k)}{\omega_2(z, 2k)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{2k+1}(z) = \frac{\omega_2(z, 2k+1)}{\omega_1(z, 2k+1)}$$

- Initial conditions:  $\Phi_0(z) = \frac{1}{z} \frac{m(z)-1}{m(z)+1}$ .
- CMV recursion:  $\Phi_k(z) = \frac{1}{z} S(\alpha_k, \Phi_{k-1}(z)) = \frac{1}{z} \frac{\Phi_{k-1}(z) + \overline{\alpha_k}}{1 + \alpha_k \Phi_{k-1}(z)}$ .

# The Schur algorithm I

- Define

$$\Phi_{2k}(z) = \frac{1}{z} \frac{\omega_1(z, 2k)}{\omega_2(z, 2k)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{2k+1}(z) = \frac{\omega_2(z, 2k+1)}{\omega_1(z, 2k+1)}$$

- Initial conditions:  $\Phi_0(z) = \frac{1}{z} \frac{m(z)-1}{m(z)+1}$ .
- CMV recursion:  $\Phi_k(z) = \frac{1}{z} S(\alpha_k, \Phi_{k-1}(z)) = \frac{1}{z} \frac{\Phi_{k-1}(z) + \overline{\alpha_k}}{1 + \alpha_k \Phi_{k-1}(z)}$ .
- Using the Neumann series

$$m(z) = \langle \delta_0, (U+z)(U-z)^{-1} \delta_0 \rangle = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \delta_0, U^{-n} \delta_0 \rangle = 1 - 2\overline{\alpha_1}z + \dots$$



# The Schur algorithm I

- Define

$$\Phi_{2k}(z) = \frac{1}{z} \frac{\omega_1(z, 2k)}{\omega_2(z, 2k)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{2k+1}(z) = \frac{\omega_2(z, 2k+1)}{\omega_1(z, 2k+1)}$$

- Initial conditions:  $\Phi_0(z) = \frac{1}{z} \frac{m(z)-1}{m(z)+1}$ .
- CMV recursion:  $\Phi_k(z) = \frac{1}{z} S(\alpha_k, \Phi_{k-1}(z)) = \frac{1}{z} \frac{\Phi_{k-1}(z) + \overline{\alpha_k}}{1 + \alpha_k \Phi_{k-1}(z)}$ .

- Using the Neumann series

$$m(z) = \langle \delta_0, (U+z)(U-z)^{-1} \delta_0 \rangle = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \delta_0, U^{-n} \delta_0 \rangle = 1 - 2\overline{\alpha_1}z + \dots$$

- $m(0) = 1$  implies  $\Phi_0$  is a Schur function,  $m'(0) = -2\overline{\alpha_1}$  implies  $\Phi_0(0) = -\overline{\alpha_1} \in \mathbb{D}$ .

# The Schur algorithm I

- Define

$$\Phi_{2k}(z) = \frac{1}{z} \frac{\omega_1(z, 2k)}{\omega_2(z, 2k)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{2k+1}(z) = \frac{\omega_2(z, 2k+1)}{\omega_1(z, 2k+1)}$$

- Initial conditions:  $\Phi_0(z) = \frac{1}{z} \frac{m(z)-1}{m(z)+1}$ .
- CMV recursion:  $\Phi_k(z) = \frac{1}{z} S(\alpha_k, \Phi_{k-1}(z)) = \frac{1}{z} \frac{\Phi_{k-1}(z) + \overline{\alpha_k}}{1 + \alpha_k \Phi_{k-1}(z)}$ .

- Using the Neumann series

$$m(z) = \langle \delta_0, (U+z)(U-z)^{-1} \delta_0 \rangle = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \delta_0, U^{-n} \delta_0 \rangle = 1 - 2\overline{\alpha_1}z + \dots$$

- $m(0) = 1$  implies  $\Phi_0$  is a Schur function,  $m'(0) = -2\overline{\alpha_1}$  implies  $\Phi_0(0) = -\overline{\alpha_1} \in \mathbb{D}$ .
- Hence  $\Phi_1$  is a Schur function and knowledge about  $m''(0)$  gives  $\Phi_1(0) = -\overline{\alpha_2} \in \mathbb{D}$ .

# The Schur algorithm II

- Deleting 2 rows and 2 columns from the matrix  $U$  gives a similar problem whose Weyl-Titchmarsh function is a multiple of the original one truncated by the first two elements.

# The Schur algorithm II

- Deleting 2 rows and 2 columns from the matrix  $U$  gives a similar problem whose Weyl-Titchmarsh function is a multiple of the original one truncated by the first two elements.
- Thus  $\Phi_2$  and  $\Phi_3$  are Schur functions with  $\Phi_2(0) = -\overline{\alpha_3}$  and  $\Phi_3(0) = -\overline{\alpha_4}$

# The Schur algorithm II

- Deleting 2 rows and 2 columns from the matrix  $U$  gives a similar problem whose Weyl-Titchmarsh function is a multiple of the original one truncated by the first two elements.
- Thus  $\Phi_2$  and  $\Phi_3$  are Schur functions with  $\Phi_2(0) = -\overline{\alpha_3}$  and  $\Phi_3(0) = -\overline{\alpha_4}$
- Conclusion: The  $\Phi_k$  are Schur functions with  $\Phi_k(0) = -\overline{\alpha_{k+1}} \in \mathbb{D}$

# Jost solutions I

- Assume

$$|\alpha_k| \leq \eta e^{-k^\gamma}$$

for some  $\eta > 0$  and  $\gamma > 1$ .

# Jost solutions I

- Assume

$$|\alpha_k| \leq \eta e^{-k\gamma}$$

for some  $\eta > 0$  and  $\gamma > 1$ .

- The Volterra equation [W., Zinchenko 2010]

$$F(z, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_n \zeta_n \\ \overline{\alpha_n} z^{n-k-1} \zeta_{k+1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} F(z, n), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

has a unique solution for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

# Jost solutions I

- Assume

$$|\alpha_k| \leq \eta e^{-k\gamma}$$

for some  $\eta > 0$  and  $\gamma > 1$ .

- The Volterra equation [W., Zinchenko 2010]

$$F(z, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_n \zeta_n \\ \overline{\alpha_n} z^{n-k-1} \zeta_{k+1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} F(z, n), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

has a unique solution for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- Either component of  $F(\cdot, k)$  is entire of growth order 0.



# Jost solutions I

- Assume

$$|\alpha_k| \leq \eta e^{-k\gamma}$$

for some  $\eta > 0$  and  $\gamma > 1$ .

- The Volterra equation [W., Zinchenko 2010]

$$F(z, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_n \zeta_n \\ \overline{\alpha_n} z^{n-k-1} \zeta_{k+1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} F(z, n), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

has a unique solution for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- Either component of  $F(\cdot, k)$  is entire of growth order 0.
- Then

$$\nu(z, k) = 2z^{\lceil k/2 \rceil} \left( \prod_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \rho_j^{-1} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{k+1} F(z, k)$$

satisfies the CMV recursion.

# Jost solutions II

- $|z| < 1$ .

## Jost solutions II

- $|z| < 1$ .
- $\nu(z, \cdot)$  is in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ ; it is then called the Jost solution for CMV.

## Jost solutions II

- $|z| < 1$ .
- $\nu(z, \cdot)$  is in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ ; it is then called the Jost solution for CMV.
- $\nu$  must be a multiple of the Weyl-Titchmarsh solution  $\vartheta + m\varphi$ . Define the Jost function  $\psi_0$  by

$$\nu = \psi_0(\vartheta + m\varphi).$$

## Jost solutions II

- $|z| < 1$ .
- $\nu(z, \cdot)$  is in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ ; it is then called the Jost solution for CMV.
- $\nu$  must be a multiple of the Weyl-Titchmarsh solution  $\vartheta + m\varphi$ . Define the Jost function  $\psi_0$  by

$$\nu = \psi_0(\vartheta + m\varphi).$$

- Since  $\psi_0$  and  $m\psi_0$  are constant multiples of  $(1, -1) \cdot F(z, 0)$  and  $(1, 1) \cdot F(z, 0)$ , respectively, both of these are entire.

## Jost solutions II

- $|z| < 1$ .
- $\nu(z, \cdot)$  is in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ ; it is then called the Jost solution for CMV.
- $\nu$  must be a multiple of the Weyl-Titchmarsh solution  $\vartheta + m\varphi$ . Define the Jost function  $\psi_0$  by

$$\nu = \psi_0(\vartheta + m\varphi).$$

- Since  $\psi_0$  and  $m\psi_0$  are constant multiples of  $(1, -1) \cdot F(z, 0)$  and  $(1, 1) \cdot F(z, 0)$ , respectively, both of these are entire.
- $m$  has a meromorphic extension to all of  $\mathbb{C}$  (denoted by  $M$ ).

## Jost solutions II

- $|z| < 1$ .
- $\nu(z, \cdot)$  is in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ ; it is then called the Jost solution for CMV.
- $\nu$  must be a multiple of the Weyl-Titchmarsh solution  $\vartheta + m\varphi$ . Define the Jost function  $\psi_0$  by

$$\nu = \psi_0(\vartheta + m\varphi).$$

- Since  $\psi_0$  and  $m\psi_0$  are constant multiples of  $(1, -1) \cdot F(z, 0)$  and  $(1, 1) \cdot F(z, 0)$ , respectively, both of these are entire.
- $m$  has a meromorphic extension to all of  $\mathbb{C}$  (denoted by  $M$ ).
- $\psi_0$  cannot have zeros in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Those outside are called resonances.

## Jost solutions III

- For the sequence  $\tilde{\nu}(z, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \overline{\nu(1/\bar{z}, k)}$  is also a solution of the CMV recursion (and square integrable for  $|z| > 1$ ).



## Jost solutions III

- For the sequence  $\tilde{\nu}(z, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \overline{\nu(1/\bar{z}, k)}$  is also a solution of the CMV recursion (and square integrable for  $|z| > 1$ ).
- $\det T(z, k) = -1 \Rightarrow \det(\nu(z, 2k), \tilde{\nu}(z, 2k))$  is independent of  $k$ .

## Jost solutions III

- For the sequence  $\tilde{\nu}(z, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \overline{\nu(1/\bar{z}, k)}$  is also a solution of the CMV recursion (and square integrable for  $|z| > 1$ ).
- $\det T(z, k) = -1 \Rightarrow \det(\nu(z, 2k), \tilde{\nu}(z, 2k))$  is independent of  $k$ .
- From asymptotics

$$\det(\nu(z, 2k), \tilde{\nu}(z, 2k)) \approx \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2z^{-k} \\ 2z^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -4.$$

## Jost solutions III

- For the sequence  $\tilde{\nu}(z, k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \overline{\nu(1/\bar{z}, k)}$  is also a solution of the CMV recursion (and square integrable for  $|z| > 1$ ).
- $\det T(z, k) = -1 \Rightarrow \det(\nu(z, 2k), \tilde{\nu}(z, 2k))$  is independent of  $k$ .
- From asymptotics

$$\det(\nu(z, 2k), \tilde{\nu}(z, 2k)) \approx \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2z^{-k} \\ 2z^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -4.$$

- But

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\nu(z, 0), \tilde{\nu}(z, 0)) &= \psi_0(z) \overline{\psi_0(1/\bar{z})} \det \begin{pmatrix} M(z) - 1 & \overline{M(1/\bar{z})} - 1 \\ M(z) + 1 & \overline{M(1/\bar{z})} + 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 2\psi_0(z) \overline{\psi_0(1/\bar{z})} (M(z) - \overline{M(1/\bar{z})}). \end{aligned}$$

## Jost solutions IV

- Recall  $4 = 2\psi_0(z)\overline{\psi_0(1/\bar{z})}(M(z) - \overline{M(1/\bar{z})})$ .

## Jost solutions IV

- Recall  $4 = 2\psi_0(z)\overline{\psi_0(1/\bar{z})}(M(z) - \overline{M(1/\bar{z})})$ .
- For  $|z| = 1$ , i.e.,  $z = 1/\bar{z}$  this gives

$$1 = |\psi_0(z)|^2 \operatorname{Re}(M(z)).$$

## Jost solutions IV

- Recall  $4 = 2\psi_0(z)\overline{\psi_0(1/\bar{z})}(M(z) - \overline{M(1/\bar{z})})$ .
- For  $|z| = 1$ , i.e.,  $z = 1/\bar{z}$  this gives

$$1 = |\psi_0(z)|^2 \operatorname{Re}(M(z)).$$

- An analytic function in the unit disk is (up to an additive constant) determined by its real part on the unit circle.

$$m(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} \operatorname{Re}(M(e^{it})) dt.$$

## Jost solutions IV

- Recall  $4 = 2\psi_0(z)\overline{\psi_0(1/\bar{z})}(M(z) - \overline{M(1/\bar{z})})$ .
- For  $|z| = 1$ , i.e.,  $z = 1/\bar{z}$  this gives

$$1 = |\psi_0(z)|^2 \operatorname{Re}(M(z)).$$

- An analytic function in the unit disk is (up to an additive constant) determined by its real part on the unit circle.

$$m(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} \frac{1}{|\psi_0(e^{it})|^2} dt.$$

# The inverse resonance problem

## Theorem

*The location of the resonances (accounting for multiplicities) determine the Verblunsky coefficients uniquely.*



## Sketch of proof

- The Verblunsky coefficients are given by the Schur functions as  $\alpha_{k+1} = -\overline{\Phi_k(0)}$ .

## Sketch of proof

- The Verblunsky coefficients are given by the Schur functions as  $\alpha_{k+1} = -\overline{\Phi_k(0)}$ .
- The Schur functions are determined recursively from  $\Phi_0$  and hence from  $m$ .

## Sketch of proof

- The Verblunsky coefficients are given by the Schur functions as  $\alpha_{k+1} = -\overline{\Phi_k(0)}$ .
- The Schur functions are determined recursively from  $\Phi_0$  and hence from  $m$ .
- $m$  is determined by  $|\psi_0(e^{it})|$ .

## Sketch of proof

- The Verblunsky coefficients are given by the Schur functions as  $\alpha_{k+1} = -\overline{\Phi_k(0)}$ .
- The Schur functions are determined recursively from  $\Phi_0$  and hence from  $m$ .
- $m$  is determined by  $|\psi_0(e^{it})|$ .
- $\psi_0(z) = \psi_0(0) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - z/z_k)$  where the  $z_k$  are the resonances.

## Sketch of proof

- The Verblunsky coefficients are given by the Schur functions as  $\alpha_{k+1} = -\overline{\Phi_k(0)}$ .
- The Schur functions are determined recursively from  $\Phi_0$  and hence from  $m$ .
- $m$  is determined by  $|\psi_0(e^{it})|$ .
- $\psi_0(z) = \psi_0(0) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - z/z_k)$  where the  $z_k$  are the resonances.
- $|\psi_0(0)|$  is determined since

$$1 = m(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{|\psi_0(e^{it})|^2} dt.$$



# Zinchenko's improvements

- Growth order:  $\rho(\psi) = \inf\{\tau > 0 : |\psi(z)| \leq e^{|z|^\tau} \text{ eventually}\}$

# Zinchenko's improvements

- Growth order:  $\rho(\psi) = \inf\{\tau > 0 : |\psi(z)| \leq e^{|z|^\tau} \text{ eventually}\}$
- Decay rate:  $\delta(\alpha) = \sup\{\tau : |\alpha_k| \leq e^{-\tau k \log(k)} \text{ eventually}\}$

# Zinchenko's improvements

- Growth order:  $\rho(\psi) = \inf\{\tau > 0 : |\psi(z)| \leq e^{|z|^\tau} \text{ eventually}\}$
- Decay rate:  $\delta(\alpha) = \sup\{\tau : |\alpha_k| \leq e^{-\tau k \log(k)} \text{ eventually}\}$
- Our condition on  $\alpha$  gives  $\delta(\alpha) = \infty$



# Zinchenko's improvements

- Growth order:  $\rho(\psi) = \inf\{\tau > 0 : |\psi(z)| \leq e^{|z|^\tau} \text{ eventually}\}$
- Decay rate:  $\delta(\alpha) = \sup\{\tau : |\alpha_k| \leq e^{-\tau k \log(k)} \text{ eventually}\}$
- Our condition on  $\alpha$  gives  $\delta(\alpha) = \infty$
- Theorem [Zinchenko 2014]:

$$\delta(\alpha) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \psi_0 \text{ has entire extension and } \rho(\psi_0) = 1/\delta(\alpha)$$

# Zinchenko's improvements

- Growth order:  $\rho(\psi) = \inf\{\tau > 0 : |\psi(z)| \leq e^{|z|^\tau} \text{ eventually}\}$
- Decay rate:  $\delta(\alpha) = \sup\{\tau : |\alpha_k| \leq e^{-\tau k \log(k)} \text{ eventually}\}$
- Our condition on  $\alpha$  gives  $\delta(\alpha) = \infty$
- Theorem [Zinchenko 2014]:

$$\delta(\alpha) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \psi_0 \text{ has entire extension and } \rho(\psi_0) = 1/\delta(\alpha)$$

- Theorem [Zinchenko 2014]:  
If  $\psi_0$  is entire, of finite growth order and without zeros in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , then it is the Jost function of a unique CMV operator.

## Distribution of resonances

- Assume  $|\alpha_k| \leq \eta e^{-k^\gamma}$  for some  $\eta > 0$  and  $\gamma > 1$  and  $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - |\alpha_j|) \geq 1/Q$  for some  $Q > 1$ .

## Distribution of resonances

- Assume  $|\alpha_k| \leq \eta e^{-k^\gamma}$  for some  $\eta > 0$  and  $\gamma > 1$  and  $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - |\alpha_j|) \geq 1/Q$  for some  $Q > 1$ .
- From Jensen's formula

$$N(r) \leq A_1 + \frac{(4 \log r)^p}{2}$$

where  $p = \gamma/(\gamma - 1)$  and  $A_1$  depends only on  $Q$ ,  $\eta$ , and  $\gamma$ .

## Distribution of resonances

- Assume  $|\alpha_k| \leq \eta e^{-k^\gamma}$  for some  $\eta > 0$  and  $\gamma > 1$  and  $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - |\alpha_j|) \geq 1/Q$  for some  $Q > 1$ .
- From Jensen's formula

$$N(r) \leq A_1 + \frac{(4 \log r)^p}{2}$$

where  $p = \gamma/(\gamma - 1)$  and  $A_1$  depends only on  $Q$ ,  $\eta$ , and  $\gamma$ .

- This implies

$$\sum_{|z_n| > R} \frac{1}{|z_n|} = \int_R^\infty \frac{dN(t)}{t} \leq \frac{A_1}{R} + \frac{4^p}{2} \Gamma(p + 1, \log R).$$

## Distribution of resonances

- Assume  $|\alpha_k| \leq \eta e^{-k^\gamma}$  for some  $\eta > 0$  and  $\gamma > 1$  and  $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - |\alpha_j|) \geq 1/Q$  for some  $Q > 1$ .
- From Jensen's formula

$$N(r) \leq A_1 + \frac{(4 \log r)^p}{2}$$

where  $p = \gamma/(\gamma - 1)$  and  $A_1$  depends only on  $Q$ ,  $\eta$ , and  $\gamma$ .

- This implies

$$\sum_{|z_n| > R} \frac{1}{|z_n|} = \int_R^{\infty} \frac{dN(t)}{t} \leq \frac{A_1}{R} + \frac{4^p}{2} \Gamma(p + 1, \log R).$$

- Asymptotics of  $\Gamma(p + 1, \cdot)$  give

$$\sum_{|z_n| > R} \frac{1}{|z_n|} \leq A_2 \frac{(\log R)^p}{R}$$

where  $A_2$  depends only on  $Q$ ,  $\eta$ , and  $\gamma$ .

# Stability

Suppose  $\alpha$  and  $\check{\alpha}$  are two sequences of Verblunsky coefficients with super-exponential decay as before. Assume that the resonances in some ball of radius  $R$ , if there are any, are respectively  $\varepsilon$ -close. Then there is a constant  $A_0$ , depending only on  $\gamma$ ,  $\eta$ , and  $Q$ , such that

$$|\alpha_n - \check{\alpha}_n| \leq A_0 \left( \varepsilon + \frac{(\log R)^p}{R} \right)^{1/\log(6eQ^2)}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## Sketch of proof

- $|\alpha_k - \check{\alpha}_k| \leq |\Phi_{k-1}(0) - \check{\Phi}_{k-1}(0)| \leq \|\Phi_{k-1} - \check{\Phi}_{k-1}\|_1$  by the mean value theorem ( $\|f\|_p^p = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f|^p dt / (2\pi)$ ).



## Sketch of proof

- $|\alpha_k - \check{\alpha}_k| \leq |\Phi_{k-1}(0) - \check{\Phi}_{k-1}(0)| \leq \|\Phi_{k-1} - \check{\Phi}_{k-1}\|_1$  by the mean value theorem ( $\|f\|_p^p = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f|^p dt / (2\pi)$ ).
- $\|\Phi_{k-1} - \check{\Phi}_{k-1}\|_1 \leq 6Q^2 \|\Phi_{k-2} - \check{\Phi}_{k-2}\|_1$  by the Schur algorithm.

## Sketch of proof

- $|\alpha_k - \check{\alpha}_k| \leq |\Phi_{k-1}(0) - \check{\Phi}_{k-1}(0)| \leq \|\Phi_{k-1} - \check{\Phi}_{k-1}\|_1$  by the mean value theorem ( $\|f\|_p^p = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f|^p dt / (2\pi)$ ).
- $\|\Phi_{k-1} - \check{\Phi}_{k-1}\|_1 \leq 6Q^2 \|\Phi_{k-2} - \check{\Phi}_{k-2}\|_1$  by the Schur algorithm.
- $\Phi_0(z) - \check{\Phi}_0(z) = \frac{2}{z} \frac{M(z) - \check{M}(z)}{(1+M(z))(1+\check{M}(z))}$ .

## Sketch of proof

- $|\alpha_k - \check{\alpha}_k| \leq |\Phi_{k-1}(0) - \check{\Phi}_{k-1}(0)| \leq \|\Phi_{k-1} - \check{\Phi}_{k-1}\|_1$  by the mean value theorem ( $\|f\|_p^p = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f|^p dt / (2\pi)$ ).
- $\|\Phi_{k-1} - \check{\Phi}_{k-1}\|_1 \leq 6Q^2 \|\Phi_{k-2} - \check{\Phi}_{k-2}\|_1$  by the Schur algorithm.
- $\Phi_0(z) - \check{\Phi}_0(z) = \frac{2}{z} \frac{M(z) - \check{M}(z)}{(1+M(z))(1+\check{M}(z))}$ .
- $|1 + M(z)| \geq \operatorname{Re}(1 + M(z)) \geq 1$ .

## Sketch of proof

- $|\alpha_k - \check{\alpha}_k| \leq |\Phi_{k-1}(0) - \check{\Phi}_{k-1}(0)| \leq \|\Phi_{k-1} - \check{\Phi}_{k-1}\|_1$  by the mean value theorem ( $\|f\|_p^p = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f|^p dt / (2\pi)$ ).
- $\|\Phi_{k-1} - \check{\Phi}_{k-1}\|_1 \leq 6Q^2 \|\Phi_{k-2} - \check{\Phi}_{k-2}\|_1$  by the Schur algorithm.
- $\Phi_0(z) - \check{\Phi}_0(z) = \frac{2}{z} \frac{M(z) - \check{M}(z)}{(1+M(z))(1+\check{M}(z))}$ .
- $|1 + M(z)| \geq \operatorname{Re}(1 + M(z)) \geq 1$ .
- If  $|\operatorname{Re} f(0)| = |\operatorname{Im} f(0)|$  then  $\operatorname{Re} f$  and  $\operatorname{Im} f$  have the same 2-norm.

## Sketch of proof

- $|\alpha_k - \check{\alpha}_k| \leq |\Phi_{k-1}(0) - \check{\Phi}_{k-1}(0)| \leq \|\Phi_{k-1} - \check{\Phi}_{k-1}\|_1$  by the mean value theorem ( $\|f\|_p^p = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f|^p dt / (2\pi)$ ).
- $\|\Phi_{k-1} - \check{\Phi}_{k-1}\|_1 \leq 6Q^2 \|\Phi_{k-2} - \check{\Phi}_{k-2}\|_1$  by the Schur algorithm.
- $\Phi_0(z) - \check{\Phi}_0(z) = \frac{2}{z} \frac{M(z) - \check{M}(z)}{(1+M(z))(1+\check{M}(z))}$ .
- $|1 + M(z)| \geq \operatorname{Re}(1 + M(z)) \geq 1$ .
- If  $|\operatorname{Re} f(0)| = |\operatorname{Im} f(0)|$  then  $\operatorname{Re} f$  and  $\operatorname{Im} f$  have the same 2-norm.
- We need to estimate  $\|\operatorname{Re} M - \operatorname{Re} \check{M}\|_2 = \||\psi_0|^{-2} - |\check{\psi}_0|^{-2}\|_2$ .

## Sketch of proof

- $|\alpha_k - \check{\alpha}_k| \leq |\Phi_{k-1}(0) - \check{\Phi}_{k-1}(0)| \leq \|\Phi_{k-1} - \check{\Phi}_{k-1}\|_1$  by the mean value theorem ( $\|f\|_p^p = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f|^p dt / (2\pi)$ ).
- $\|\Phi_{k-1} - \check{\Phi}_{k-1}\|_1 \leq 6Q^2 \|\Phi_{k-2} - \check{\Phi}_{k-2}\|_1$  by the Schur algorithm.
- $\Phi_0(z) - \check{\Phi}_0(z) = \frac{2}{z} \frac{M(z) - \check{M}(z)}{(1+M(z))(1+\check{M}(z))}$ .
- $|1 + M(z)| \geq \operatorname{Re}(1 + M(z)) \geq 1$ .
- If  $|\operatorname{Re} f(0)| = |\operatorname{Im} f(0)|$  then  $\operatorname{Re} f$  and  $\operatorname{Im} f$  have the same 2-norm.
- We need to estimate  $\|\operatorname{Re} M - \operatorname{Re} \check{M}\|_2 = \| |\psi_0|^{-2} - |\check{\psi}_0|^{-2} \|_2$ .
- Hence we need to compare

$$\psi_0(z) = \psi_0(0) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - z/z_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \check{\psi}_0(z) = \check{\psi}_0(0) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - z/\check{z}_n).$$