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Preface

For millennia humankind has concerned itself with the concepts of patterns, shape, and quantity. Out of these grew mathematics which, accordingly, comprises three branches: algebra, geometry, and analysis. We are concerned here with the latter. As quantity is commonly expressed using real numbers, analysis begins with a careful study of those. Next are the concepts of continuity, derivative, and integral. While at least the ideas, if not the formal definition, of the former two have been rather stable since the advent of the calculus the same is not true for the concept of integral. Initially integrals were thought of as anti-derivatives until, in the 19th century, Augustin-Louis Cauchy (1789 – 1857) and Bernhard Riemann (1826 – 1866) defined the integral of a function over an interval $[a,b]$ by partitioning the interval into shorter and shorter subintervals. However, the Riemann integral proved to have severe shortcomings leading many mathematicians at the end of the 19th century to search for alternatives. In 1901 Henri Lebesgue (1875 – 1941) presented a new idea using more general subsets than subintervals in the sums approximating the integral. In order to make this work he assumed having a concept of the size, i.e., a measure, of such sets compatible with the length of intervals. Clearly, one should at least require that the measure of the union of two disjoint sets equals the sum of their respective measures but it turned out to be much more fruitful to require such a property of countable collections of pairwise disjoint sets, a property which is called countable additivity. One wishes, of course, to assign a measure to any subset; alas this is not always possible and one may have to be satisfied with a domain for the measure smaller than the power set. For this the framework of a $\sigma$-algebra gained widespread acceptance. Lebesgue’s approach to the integral had a tremendous impact on analysis.

It is an important consequence of Lebesgue’s ideas that the mere presence of a countably additive measure defined on $\sigma$-algebra in a set $X$ allows to develop a theory of integration and thus a rather abstract approach to the subject. In this course we will take this abstract approach in the beginning. Later we will define Lebesgue measure and investigate more concrete problems for functions defined on $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{R}^n$.

These notes were informed by the following texts: Bennewitz [1], Folland [2], Gordon [3], Henze [4], Hewitt and Stromberg [5], Kolmogorov and Fomin [6], Riesz and Sz.-Nagy [7], and Rudin [8] and [9].
CHAPTER 1

Abstract Integration

1.1. Integration of non-negative functions

1.1.1 σ-algebras. A collection \( \mathcal{M} \) of subsets of a set \( X \) is called a σ-algebra in \( X \) if \( \mathcal{M} \) has the following three properties: (i) \( X \in \mathcal{M} \); (ii) \( A \in \mathcal{M} \) implies that \( A^c \), the complement of \( A \) in \( X \), is in \( \mathcal{M} \), too; and (iii) \( A_n \in \mathcal{M} \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) implies that \( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \in \mathcal{M} \).

For example, the set \( \{ \emptyset, X \} \) as well as the power set of \( X \) (denoted by \( P(X) \)) are σ-algebras in \( X \).

Any σ-algebra contains the empty set, finite unions, finite and countable intersections (by de Morgan’s law), and differences of sets.

If \( \mathcal{M} \) is a σ-algebra in \( X \) then \( (X, \mathcal{M}) \) (or \( X \) for short, if no confusion can arise) is called a measurable space. The elements of \( \mathcal{M} \) are called measurable sets.

1.1.2 Dealing with infinity. The set \([0, \infty] = [0, \infty) \cup \{ \infty \}\) becomes a totally ordered set after declaring \( x < \infty \) for any \( x \in [0, \infty) \) (and maintaining the usual order in \([0, \infty)\)). Recall that a totally ordered space is a topological space, since intervals of the types \( \{ x : x < b \} \), \( \{ x : a < x < b \} \), and \( \{ x : a < x \} \) (with \( a, b \in [0, \infty] \)) form a base of a topology. In fact, it is sufficient to choose \( a \) and \( b \) in \( \mathbb{Q} \) and this implies that every open set is a union of at most countably many of such basic sets.

We also extend the usual arithmetic from \([0, \infty)\) to \([0, \infty]\) by declaring \( x + \infty = \infty + x = \infty \) for all \( x \) and \( x \cdot \infty = \infty \cdot x = \infty \) unless \( x = 0 \) in which case we set instead \( 0 \cdot \infty = \infty \cdot 0 = 0 \). Addition and multiplication are then associative and commutative and multiplication is distributive over addition.

1.1.3 Measures. Let \((X, \mathcal{M})\) be a measurable space. A measure on \( \mathcal{M} \) is a function defined on \( \mathcal{M} \) with values in either \([0, \infty]\) (but not everywhere equal to \( \infty \)) or \( \mathbb{C} \) which is countably additive or σ-additive, i.e., it has the property that

\[
\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)
\]

provided that the \( A_n \) are pairwise disjoint measurable sets. We call \( \mu \) a positive measure if its values are in \([0, \infty]\) and a complex measure if they are in \( \mathbb{C} \). We may speak of a measure on \( X \), if no confusion about the underlying σ-algebra can arise. A measure space is a measurable space with a measure defined on its σ-algebra.

If \( \mu \) is a measure then \( \mu(\emptyset) = 0 \) and it has the following continuity property: if \( n \mapsto A_n \) is a non-decreasing sequence (i.e., \( A_n \subseteq A_{n+1} \) for all \( n \)) of measurable sets, then \( \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n) \).

Moreover, a positive measure \( \mu \) is monotone, i.e., if \( A \subseteq B \), then \( \mu(A) \leq \mu(B) \).

1.1.4 First examples. The following are simple examples of measures which may be defined for any set \( X \).
1. The Dirac measure (or unit mass measure) is defined on the power set \( \mathcal{P}(X) \) of \( X \): Fix \( x_0 \in X \). Then define, for any subset \( E \) of \( X \),
\[
\mu_{x_0}(E) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } x_0 \in E, \\
0 & \text{if } x_0 \notin E.
\end{cases}
\]

2. The counting measure is also defined on the power set of \( X \): If \( E \) has finitely many elements then \( \mu(E) \) is their number and otherwise infinity. The case where \( X = \mathbb{N} \) and \( \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \) is particularly interesting.

1.1.5 Simple functions. Suppose \((X, \mathcal{M})\) is a measurable space. A simple function is a (finite) linear combination of characteristic functions of measurable sets with coefficients in \([0, \infty)\). A simple function may always be represented as \( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k \chi_{A_k} \) with pairwise distinct values \( \alpha_k \) and pairwise distinct non-empty measurable sets \( A_k \). This representation, being uniquely determined, is called the canonical representation of the function.

Sums and products of simple functions are again simple functions. Moreover, if \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \) are simple functions, then so are \( \max\{s_1, s_2\} \) and \( \min\{s_1, s_2\} \).

1.1.6 Integrals of simple functions. Let \((X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)\) be a measure space. If \( s \) is a simple function with canonical representation \( s = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k \chi_{A_k} \) we define its integral with respect to the measure \( \mu \) to be
\[
\int_{\mu} s = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k \mu(A_k).
\]
If the measure under consideration is known from the context, we will generally drop the corresponding subscript on the integral sign.

For all \( c \in [0, \infty) \) we have \( \int c s = c \int s \) and the function \( \mathcal{M} \to [0, \infty] : E \mapsto \int \chi_E \) is again a measure. It follows that the integral is also additive (i.e., \( \int (s_1 + s_2) = \int s_1 + \int s_2 \)). Moreover, if the measure considered is positive and \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \) satisfy \( s_1 \leq s_2 \), then \( \int s_1 \leq \int s_2 \).

1.1.7 Non-negative measurable functions. Suppose \((X, \mathcal{M})\) is a measurable space. A function \( f : X \to [0, \infty] \) is called measurable, if it is the pointwise limit of a non-decreasing sequence of simple functions.

Theorem. \( f : X \to [0, \infty] \) is measurable, if and only if the preimage of any open set in \([0, \infty)\) is measurable.

Sketch of proof. Assume first that \( f \) is measurable and that \( n \mapsto s_n \) is a non-decreasing sequence of simple functions converging to it. Then note that \( \{x : \alpha < f(x)\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x : \alpha < s_n(x)\} \) and \( \{x : f(x) < \beta\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{x : \beta - 1/k < f(x)\}^c \). Conversely, assume that \( \{x : q < f(x)\} \) is measurable for all non-negative \( q \in \mathbb{Q} \) and let \( k \mapsto q_k \) be an enumeration of these numbers. Then \( s_n = \max\{q_k \chi_{\{t : q_k < f(t)\}} : k \leq n\} \) defines a non-decreasing sequence of simple functions converging to \( f \).

Corollary. Suppose \( n \mapsto f_n \) is a sequence of non-negative measurable functions. Then \( \sup \{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \), \( \inf \{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \), \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} f_n \), and \( \liminf_{n \to \infty} f_n \) are measurable, too.

1.1.8 Integrals of non-negative functions. Assume that \((X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)\) is a measure space with a positive measure \( \mu \). The integral of a measurable function \( f : X \to [0, \infty] \) with
respect to \( \mu \) is defined by
\[
\int f = \sup \left\{ \int s : s \text{ simple and } 0 \leq s \leq f \right\}.
\]
If \( \int f < \infty \), then \( f \) is called integrable.

1.1.9 Basic properties of integrals. Suppose that \((X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)\) is a measure space with a positive measure \( \mu \) and that \( f, g : X \to [0, \infty] \) are measurable. Then the following statements hold:

1. If \( f \leq g \) then \( \int f \leq \int g \).
2. If \( f = 0 \) then \( \int f = 0 \) even if \( \mu(X) = \infty \).
3. If \( c \in [0, \infty] \) then \( \int cf = c \int f \).

1.1.10 The monotone convergence theorem. We now turn to the monotone convergence theorem, the cornerstone of integration theory.

Theorem. Let \((X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)\) be a measure space with a positive measure \( \mu \) and \( n \mapsto f_n \) a sequence of non-negative measurable functions on \( X \) such that \( 0 \leq f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \ldots \leq \infty \) and \( \lim f_n = f \). Then \( f \) is measurable and
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n = \int f.
\]

Sketch of proof. The measurability of \( f \) was shown in Corollary 1.1.7.

The sequence \( n \mapsto f_n \) is non-decreasing and converges to some \( a \in [0, \int f] \). Now it is sufficient to show that \( \int s \leq a \) for any simple function \( s \) such that \( 0 \leq s \leq f \). To do this introduce the measure \( \phi(E) = \int s_{\chi_E} \), fix a \( c \in (0, 1) \), and define \( E_n = \{ x : f_n(x) > cs(x) \} \) or \( s(x) = 0 \). The \( E_n \) are measurable, \( E_n \subset E_{n+1} \), and \( \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n = X \). This implies \( \int s = \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(E_n) \leq a/c \) and, since \( c \) may be arbitrarily close to 1, \( \int s \leq a \). \( \square \)

One important consequence of this theorem is that we may find integrals of non-negative measurable functions by taking limits of integrals of non-decreasing sequences of simple functions.

1.1.11 Fatou’s lemma. If \((X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)\) is a measure space with a positive measure \( \mu \) and \( f_n : X \to [0, \infty] \) are measurable for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), then
\[
\int \liminf_{n \to \infty} f_n \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int f_n.
\]

Sketch of proof. Consider the sequence \( g_k = \inf\{f_k, f_{k+1}, \ldots\} \). \( \square \)

1.1.12 A function with vanishing integral vanishes almost everywhere. Suppose \((X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)\) is a measure space with a positive measure \( \mu \). If \( f \) is non-negative and measurable and if \( \int f = 0 \), then \( \{ x : f(x) > 0 \} \) has measure zero, since each of the sets \( \{ x : f(x) > 1/n \} \) where \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) must have measure zero. We say then that \( f \) vanishes almost everywhere.

Generally, any statement depending on a parameter \( x \in X \) is said to be true for \( almost \ all \ x \in X \) or \( almost \ everywhere \), if it is true for all \( x \in X \) except for those in a set of measure zero. Of course, this notion depends on the measure under consideration.

1.1.13 Measures induced by positive functions. Let \((X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)\) be a measure space with a positive measure \( \mu \) and \( f : X \to [0, \infty] \) a measurable function. Define \( \phi : \mathcal{M} \to [0, \infty] \)
by \( \phi(E) = \int_E \mu \chi_E \). Then \( \phi \) is a positive measure. Moreover, if \( g : X \to [0, \infty] \) is measurable then
\[
\int g = \int \phi g.
\]

### 1.2. Integration of complex functions

Throughout this section \((X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)\) denotes a measure space with a positive measure \(\mu\).

#### 1.2.1 Measurable functions

Suppose \(Y\) is a topological space and \(S\) is a measurable subset of \(X\). Then we say that \(f : S \to Y\) is measurable if the preimage of every open set in \(Y\) is measurable. Note that, in view of Theorem 1.1.7, this definition is compatible with the earlier one when \(Y = [0, \infty]\) and \(S = X\). We are particularly interested in the case where \(Y = \mathbb{C}\) and \(\mu(S^c) = 0\) (e.g., \(S = X\)).

The following statements hold.

1. The characteristic function \(\chi_E\) of a set \(E\) is measurable if and only if \(E\) is.
2. Continuous functions of measurable functions are measurable.
3. \(f : S \to \mathbb{R}^2\) is measurable if and only if its components are.
4. \(h : S \to \mathbb{C}\) is measurable if and only if its real and imaginary part are.
5. If \(f, g : S \to \mathbb{C}\) are measurable so are \(f + g\) and \(fg\).
6. If \(h : S \to \mathbb{C}\) is measurable then so is \(|h|\) and there is a measurable function \(\alpha\) such that \(|\alpha| = 1\) and \(h = \alpha|h|\).

#### 1.2.2 Splitting a complex-valued function into four parts

When \(f\) is a real-valued function on \(X\) we define \(f_{\pm} = \max\{\pm f, 0\}\), the positive and negative parts of \(f\). Note that \(f_+ f_- \geq 0\), \(f_+ f_- = 0\), \(f = f_+ - f_-\), and \(|f| = f_+ + f_-\). Thus, when \(f\) is a complex-valued function on \(X\) we may split it into four parts, viz., the positive and negative parts of both the real and imaginary parts of \(f\). Thus we may write
\[
f = (\text{Re} f)_+ - (\text{Re} f)_- + i(\text{Im} f)_+ - i(\text{Im} f)_-.
\]

A complex-valued function on \(X\) is measurable if and only if the four parts \((\text{Re} f)_\pm\) and \((\text{Im} f)_\pm\) are all measurable.

#### 1.2.3 Integrable functions and definition of the integral

A measurable function \(f : X \to \mathbb{C}\) is called integrable if \(\int |f| < \infty\). It is integrable if and only if each of its four parts \((\text{Re} f)_\pm\) and \((\text{Im} f)_\pm\) are.

If \(f : X \to \mathbb{C}\) is integrable we define
\[
\int f = \int (\text{Re} f)_+ - \int (\text{Re} f)_- + i \int (\text{Im} f)_+ - i \int (\text{Im} f)_-
\]
and note that \(\int f\) is a complex number.

#### 1.2.4 Linearity of the integral

The set of integrable functions is a vector space and the integral is a linear functional on it, i.e.,
\[
\int (\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha \int f + \beta \int g
\]
whenever \(f, g\) are integrable and \(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}\).

**Sketch of proof.** From 1.2.1 it is clear that the measurable functions form a vector space. Any constant multiple of an integrable function is integrable in view of part (3) of 1.1.9. The additivity of the integral for non-negative functions follows from the additivity of the integral for simple functions and the monotone convergence theorem. Thus, taking part
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(1) of 1.1.9 into account, it follows that the sum of two integrable functions is integrable. Next one proves the additivity of the integral for real functions using the fact that then \((f + g)_+ + f_- + g_- = (f + g)_- + f_+ + g_+\). After this the remaining issues are trivial. □

1.2.5 Numerical Series. Suppose \(X\) is a subset of the integers, \(\mathcal{M}\) the corresponding power set, and \(\mu\) the counting measure. In this situation integrals turn into sums. In particular, if \(X = \mathbb{N}\), then \(\int f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)\). The concept of integrability is here equivalent to the absolute convergence of the series.

1.2.6 Generalized triangle inequality. If \(f\) is integrable then

\[
|\int f| \leq \int |f|.
\]

Remark: If \(X = \{1, 2\}\) and \(\mu\) is the counting measure then (1) becomes \(|f(1) + f(2)| \leq |f(1)| + |f(2)|\).

1.2.7 Sequences of measurable functions. Suppose \(n \mapsto f_n : X \to \mathbb{C}\) is a sequence of measurable complex-valued functions. If \(n \mapsto f_n(x)\) converges for every \(x \in S \in \mathcal{M}\), define \(f : S \to \mathbb{C}\) by \(f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)\). Since the convergence of \(f_n\) implies the converges of each of \((\text{Re} f_n)_\pm\) and \((\text{Im} f_n)_\pm\) it follows that \(f\) is measurable.

1.2.8 Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem. We turn now to the most powerful tool of integration theory.

THEOREM. Let \((X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)\) be a measure space with a positive measure \(\mu\), \(n \mapsto f_n : X \to \mathbb{C}\) a sequence of measurable functions, and \(\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)\) for some function \(f\) and almost all \(x \in X\). If there exists an integrable function \(g\) such that \(|f_n| \leq g\) for all \(n\), then \(f\) is integrable,

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int |f_n - f| = 0
\]

and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n = \int f.
\]

PROOF. Since \(f_n \to f\) one gets that \(|f_n| \to |f|\) and hence that \(|f| \leq g\). Equation (2) follows after applying Fatou’s lemma to \(2g - |f_n - f| \geq 0\). Then linearity and \(|\int (f_n - f)| \leq \int |f_n - f|\) imply equation (3). □

1.2.9 Averages. Suppose \(\mu\) is a positive measure on \(X\) and that \(X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n\) with \(\mu(X_n) < \infty\). Also assume that \(f\) is an integrable function on \(X\) and that \(S\) is a closed subset of \(\mathbb{C}\). If the averages

\[
A_f(E) = \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_E f d\mu
\]

are in \(S\) whenever \(0 < \mu(E) < \infty\), then \(f(x) \in S\) for almost all \(x \in X\).

SKETCH OF PROOF. \(S^c\) is a countable union of closed balls. If \(B\) is one of these balls and \(E = f^{-1}(B) \cap X_n\) has positive measure, then \(A_f(E) \in B\), a contradiction. □
1.3. Convex functions and Jensen’s inequality

1.3.1 Convex functions. A function \( \varphi : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R} \) is called convex on \( (a, b) \) if
\[
\varphi((1-t)x + ty) \leq (1-t)\varphi(x) + t\varphi(y)
\]
for all \( t \in [0, 1] \) and all \( x, y \) for which \( x, y \in (a, b) \). (We allow for \( a = -\infty \) and \( b = \infty \).)

If \( \varphi \) is convex and \( a < u < v < w < b \), then
\[
\frac{\varphi(v) - \varphi(u)}{v - u} \leq \frac{\varphi(w) - \varphi(u)}{w - u} \leq \frac{\varphi(w) - \varphi(v)}{w - v}.
\]
Conversely, if
\[
\frac{\varphi(v) - \varphi(u)}{v - u} \leq \frac{\varphi(w) - \varphi(v)}{w - v}
\]
whenever \( a < u < v < w < b \), then \( \varphi \) is convex.

A convex function is continuous. A differentiable function is convex if and only if its derivative is non-decreasing.

1.3.2 Jensen’s inequality. Let \( \mu \) be a positive measure on a set \( X \) such that \( \mu(X) = 1 \). Suppose \( f : X \to (a, b) \) is integrable and \( \varphi \) is convex on \( (a, b) \). Then
\[
\varphi \left( \int f \right) \leq \int \varphi \circ f.
\]

Sketch of proof. Let \( \beta(v) = \sup \{ (\varphi(v) - \varphi(u))/(v - u) : u \in (a, v) \} \). Then
\[
\varphi(y) \geq \varphi(v) + \beta(y-v)
\]
for all \( v, y \in (a, b) \), in particular for \( y = f(x) \) and \( v = \int f \). Integration establishes the inequality. \( \square \)

1.4. \( L^p \)-spaces

Throughout this section \( (X, \mathcal{M}, \mu) \) denotes a measure space with a positive measure \( \mu \).

1.4.1 Conjugate exponents. If \( p, q > 1 \) and \( p + q = pq \) or, equivalently, \( 1/p + 1/q = 1 \), then \( p \) and \( q \) are called conjugate exponents. We also regard 1 and \( \infty \) as conjugate exponents. We will often denote the conjugate exponent of \( p \) by \( p' \).

1.4.2 Hölder’s inequality. Suppose \( p, q > 1 \) are conjugate exponents. Let \( f, g \) be measurable, nonnegative functions on a measure space \( X \). Then
\[
\int fg \leq \left( \int f^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \int g^q \right)^{1/q}.
\]

Assuming the right hand side is finite equality holds if and only if there exist \( \alpha, \beta \in [0, \infty) \), not both zero, such that \( \alpha f^p = \beta g^q \) almost everywhere.

Sketch of proof. Let \( A \) and \( B \) be the factors on the right of the inequality. The inequality is trivial if either of \( A \) and \( B \) is zero or infinity. Hence consider \( 0 < A, B < \infty \). Defining \( F = f/A \) and \( G = g/B \) we get \( \int F^p = \int G^q = 1 \).

Whenever \( F(x) \) and \( G(x) \) are positive there are numbers \( s, t \) such that \( F(x) = e^{s/p} \) and \( G(x) = e^{t/q} \) which implies that \( F(x)G(x) \leq F(x)^{p/p} + G(x)^q/q \) since the exponential function is convex. Now integrate. \( \square \)
1.4.3 Minkowski’s inequality. Suppose \( p > 1 \) and let \( f, g \) be measurable, nonnegative functions on a measure space \( X \). Then

\[
\left( \int (f + g)^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left( \int f^p \right)^{1/p} + \left( \int g^p \right)^{1/p}.
\]

Assuming the right hand side is finite equality holds if and only if there exist \( \alpha, \beta \in [0, \infty) \), not both zero, such that \( \alpha f = \beta g \) almost everywhere.

**Sketch of proof.** If the left-hand side is in \((0, \infty)\) use that \( (f + g)^p = f(f + g)^{p-1} + g(f + g)^{p-1} \). If it is infinity use the convexity of \( t \mapsto t^p \), i.e., \( ((f + g)/2)^p \leq (f^p + g^p)/2 \). \( \square \)

1.4.4 Essentially bounded functions. If \( f \) is a complex-valued function defined on \( X \) let \( S = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R} : \mu(\{x : |f(x)| > \alpha\}) = 0\} \). Unless \( S \) is empty we call \( f \) essentially bounded and set

\[
||f||_\infty = \inf S.
\]

If \( S = \emptyset \) we define instead \( ||f||_\infty = \infty \).

The function \( f \mapsto ||f||_\infty \) is a semi-norm on the vector space of all essentially bounded functions.

1.4.5 \( p \)-semi-norms. For \( 0 < p < \infty \) and a complex-valued, measurable function \( f \) define

\[
||f||_p = \left( \int |f|^p \right)^{1/p}.
\]

If this is finite, \( f \) is called \( p \)-integrable.

If \( p \geq 1 \) Minkowski’s inequality 1.4.3 allows to show that the set of all \( p \)-integrable functions forms a vector space. The function \( f \mapsto ||f||_p \) is a semi-norm on it.

1.4.6 Cauchy sequences of \( p \)-integrable functions. If \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) and \( n \mapsto f_n \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( p \)-integrable or essentially bounded functions, then there exists a subsequence \( k \mapsto f_{n_k} \) converging pointwise almost everywhere to some measurable function \( f \).

**Sketch of proof.** First consider the case \( p < \infty \). Define \( g_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k} |f_{n_{j+1}} - f_{n_{j}}| \) where \( ||f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_{k}}||_p < 2^{-k} \) and \( g = \lim g_k \). Use Minkowski’s inequality and Fatou’s lemma to show that \( ||g||_p \leq 1 \). Since \( g \) is finite almost everywhere \( f_{n_{k+1}} = f_{n_{k}} + \sum_{j=1}^{k}(f_{n_{j+1}} - f_{n_{j}}) \) converges almost everywhere to some function \( f \) as \( k \to \infty \).

If \( p = \infty \) let \( B_{n,m} = \{ x : |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| > ||f_n - f_m||_\infty \} \). The union of all these sets, \( B \), has measure zero. If \( x \in B^c \) then \( f_n(x) \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( \mathbb{C} \) and hence converges to some number \( f(x) \). \( \square \)

1.4.7 \( L^p \)-spaces. Fix \( p \in [1, \infty] \). If \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) the \( p \)-integrable functions forms semi-normed space. So do the essentially bounded functions when \( p = \infty \). Note that \( ||f - g||_p = 0 \) if and only if \( f \) and \( g \) are equal almost everywhere. Equality almost everywhere is an equivalence relation which partitions the given space into equivalence classes. The class of all functions related to \( f \) is denoted by \( [f] \). The collection of these classes is again a vector space (addition and scalar multiplication interact well the classes) which we denote by \( L^p(\mu) \). The function \( [f] \mapsto ||f||_p \) is well defined and turns \( L^p(\mu) \) into a normed vector space.
1.4.8 Hölder’s inequality. If \( p \) and \( q \) are conjugate exponents, \( f \in L^p(\mu) \), and \( g \in L^q(\mu) \) then \( fg \in L^1(\mu) \) and
\[
\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q.
\]
This follows from Hölder’s inequality 1.4.2 except when the exponents are one and infinity when it follows from basic properties of integrals.

1.4.9 \( L^p(\mu) \) is a Banach space. If \( p \in [1, \infty] \) then \( L^p(\mu) \) is a Banach space.

**Sketch of proof.** Let \( f_n \) be representatives of a Cauchy sequence in \( L^p(\mu) \), and \( f, f_{n_j}, B \) as in Theorem 1.4.6. If \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) then, by Fatou, \( \|f_n - f\|_p \to 0 \). Therefore \( f = f_n + (f - f_n) \) gives rise to an element in \( L^p(\mu) \) and \( \|f_n - f\|_p \to 0 \).

If \( p = \infty \) let \( A_k = \{ x : |f_k(x)| > \|f_k\|_\infty \} \) and \( A = B \cup (\bigcup A_k) \) which still has measure zero. In this case \( \|f_n - f\|_\infty \to 0 \). □

1.4.10 Simple functions are dense in \( L^p(\mu) \). We extend our definition of simple functions to include all complex linear combinations of characteristic functions of measurable sets. Let \( S \) be the set of classes of simple functions which are different from zero only on a set of finite measure. If \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) then \( S \) is dense in \( L^p(\mu) \).

1.5. Exercises

1.1. Find all \( \sigma \)-algebras for \( X = \{1, 2, 3\} \).

1.2. Investigate the cancelation “laws” in \([0, \infty] \) which identify conditions under which either of the statements \( a + b = c + b \) or \( a \cdot b = c \cdot b \) implies \( a = c \).

1.3. Prove that the sequence \( n \to \mu(A_n) \) converges to \( \mu(A) \) provided that \( A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \ldots, A = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty A_n \), and \( \mu(A_1) \) is finite.

1.4. Give an example of a measure space and a sequence \( A_n \) of measurable sets such that \( A_n \supset A_{n+1} \) but \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n) \neq \mu(\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty A_n) \).

1.5. Suppose \( (X, M, \mu) \) is a measure space with a positive measure \( \mu \) and \( f \) is integrable with respect to \( \mu \). If \( \int f \chi_E = 0 \) for every \( E \in M \), prove that \( f = 0 \) almost everywhere on \( X \).

1.6. Suppose \( (X, M, \mu) \) is a measure space with a positive measure \( \mu \) and \( f \) is integrable with respect to \( \mu \). If \( |\int f| = \int |f| \), prove the existence of an \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \) such that \( |f| = \alpha f \) almost everywhere.

1.7 (Convergence in measure). The sequence \( f_n : X \to \mathbb{C} \) of measurable functions is said to converge in measure to \( f : X \to \mathbb{C} \) if \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(\{ x \in X : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \delta \}) = 0 \) for every \( \delta > 0 \). Show that neither \( f_n \to f \) pointwise nor \( f_n \to f \) in measure implies the other statement.

1.8 (Egoroff’s theorem). Suppose \( \mu(X) < \infty \) and \( f_n \) is a sequence of complex-valued measurable functions on \( X \) converging to some function \( f \). Then, for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists a measurable set \( E \subset X \) such that \( \mu(E) < \varepsilon \) and \( f_n \to f \) uniformly on \( E^c \).

1.9. Prove that the geometric mean of \( n \) positive numbers is not larger than their arithmetic mean: \( \sqrt[n]{y_1 \ldots y_n} \leq (y_1 + \ldots + y_n)/n \), in particular \( 2ab \leq a^2 + b^2 \) for real numbers \( a \) and \( b \).
1.10. If \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \) are non-negative real numbers and \( p \in [1, \infty) \), prove that

\[
\left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \right)^p \leq n^{p-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k^p.
\]
CHAPTER 2

Measures

2.1. Types of measures

2.1.1 Generating a $\sigma$-algebra. The intersection of all elements of a collection of $\sigma$-algebras is again a $\sigma$-algebra. Therefore, if $\mathcal{A}$ is any collection of subsets of $X$, there exists a smallest $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ in $X$ containing $\mathcal{A}$. $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is called the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $\mathcal{A}$.

2.1.2 The Borel $\sigma$-algebra. If $X$ is a topological space the $\sigma$-algebra generated by the topology is called the Borel $\sigma$-algebra and is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(X)$. Its elements are called Borel sets. A measure defined on a $\sigma$-algebra containing all the Borel sets is called a Borel measure. All closed sets, all $F_\sigma$ sets (countable unions of closed sets) and all $G_\delta$ sets (countable intersections of open sets) are Borel sets.

2.1.3 Finite and $\sigma$-finite measures. A positive measure on $X$ is called finite, if $\mu(X)$ is finite. It is called $\sigma$-finite if there is countable collection of sets of finite measure whose union is $X$.

2.1.4 Probability measures. A positive measure $\mu$ on $X$ such that $\mu(X) = 1$ is called a probability measure. The measurable sets are then called events. For instance the measure space $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ where $X = \{1, \ldots, 6\}$, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P}(X)$, $\mu(\{k\}) = 1/6$ represents a die. $\mu(A)$ gives the probability that rolling the die yields one of the elements contained in $A$.

2.1.5 Complete measures. A positive measure $\mu$ is called complete if every subset of a set of measure zero is measurable.

2.1.6 Completion of positive measures. If $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ is a measure space with positive measure $\mu$ then,

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \{ E \subset X : \exists A, B \in \mathcal{M} : A \subset E \subset B, \mu(B \setminus A) = 0 \}$$

is a $\sigma$-algebra and $\overline{\mu} : \overline{\mathcal{M}} \to [0, \infty] : E \mapsto \overline{\mu}(E) = \mu(A)$ if $A \subset E \subset B$ and $\mu(B \setminus A) = 0$ is a complete positive measure which extends $\mu$.

$\overline{\mu}$ is called the completion of $\mu$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is called the completion of $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to $\mu$.

2.1.7 Measurability of functions and completion of measures. Suppose $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is the completion of a $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to the positive measure $\mu$ and $f$ is a complex-valued $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$-measurable function. Then there is an $\mathcal{M}$-measurable function $g$ and a set $N \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mu(N) = 0$ and $f = g$ outside of $N$. 
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Sketch of proof. If \( f \geq 0 \) one can find numbers \( c_k \) and sets \( E_k \in \mathcal{M} \) such that \( f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \chi_{E_k} \). For each \( E_k \) there are sets \( A_k, B_k \in \mathcal{M} \) such that \( A_k \subset E_k \subset B_k \) and \( \mu(B_k \setminus A_k) = 0 \). Now define \( g = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \chi_{A_k} \). The general case follows. \( \square \)

2.1.8 Regular positive measures. If \( \mu \) is a positive Borel measure a measurable set \( E \) is called outer regular if \( \mu \) and \( \mu = \mu_{\text{outer}} \) with \( \mu(E) = \inf \{ \mu(V) : E \subset V, V \text{ open} \} \). It is called inner regular if \( \mu \) and \( \mu = \mu_{\text{inner}} \) with \( \mu(E) = \sup \{ \mu(K) : K \subset E, K \text{ compact} \} \). If \( E \) is both outer and inner regular it is called simply regular. The measure \( \mu \) is called outer or inner regular or just regular if every measurable set has the respective property.

2.2. Construction of measures

2.2.1 Outer measure. Let \( X \) be a set. A function \( \mu^* : \mathcal{P}(X) \to [0, \infty] \) is called monotone if \( \mu^*(A) \leq \mu^*(B) \) whenever \( A \subset B \). \( \mu^* \) is called countably subadditive (or \( \sigma \)-subadditive) if always \( \mu^*(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_j) \).

A monotone, countably subadditive function \( \mu^* : \mathcal{P}(X) \to [0, \infty] \) for which \( \mu^*(\emptyset) = 0 \) is called an outer measure on \( \mathcal{P}(X) \).

2.2.2 Constructing outer measures. Let \( X \) be a set. Suppose \( \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{P}(X) \) and \( | \cdot | : \mathcal{E} \to [0, \infty] \) are such that \( \emptyset \in \mathcal{E} \), \( X \) is the countable union of elements of \( \mathcal{E} \), and \( |\emptyset| = 0 \). Then \( \mu^* : \mathcal{P}(X) \to [0, \infty] \) defined by

\[
\mu^*(A) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |E_j| : E_j \in \mathcal{E}, A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \right\}
\]

is an outer measure on \( \mathcal{P}(X) \). If \( A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \) with \( E_j \in \mathcal{E} \) we call \( \{E_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\} \) a countable cover of \( A \) by elements of \( \mathcal{E} \).

Sketch of proof. Obviously, \( \mu^* \) is well-defined, \( \mu^*(\emptyset) = 0 \), and \( \mu^* \) is monotone. To show countable subadditivity note that one may assume that \( \mu^*(A_j) < \infty \) for all \( j \in \mathbb{N} \) and that \( E_{j,k} \) can be chosen so that \( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |E_{j,k}| - \mu^*(A_j) \) is sufficiently small. \( \square \)

2.2.3 Carathéodory’s construction of a measure. Let \( X \) be a set and \( \mu^* \) an outer measure on \( \mathcal{P}(X) \). Define

\[
\mathcal{C} = \{ A \subset X : \forall B \subset X : \mu^*(B) = \mu^*(A \cap B) + \mu^*(A^c \cap B) \}
\]

and \( \mu = \mu^*|_\mathcal{C} \). Then \( \mathcal{C} \) is a \( \sigma \)-algebra and \( \mu \) is a complete positive measure.

Sketch of proof. Obviously \( \mathcal{C} \) contains the empty set and the complement of any of its elements. Thus we need to show closedness of \( \mathcal{C} \) under countable unions but we begin with finite unions. Suppose \( E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{C} \) and \( R \) is any subset of \( \mathbb{R} \). Then one shows that \( \mu^*((E_1 \cup E_2) \cap R) + \mu^*((E_1 \cup E_2)^c \cap R) = \mu^*(R) \) by repeatedly employing Carathéodory’s criterion. Specifically, choose \( B = (E_1 \cup E_2) \cap R \) and \( A = E_1 \) in the first term, then \( B = E_1 \cap R \) and \( A = E_2 \) in the resulting expression, and finally \( B = R \) and \( A = E_1 \). It follows that \( \mathcal{C} \) contains unions of two and, after induction, also unions of finitely many of its elements. Of course, \( \mathcal{C} \) is also closed under finite intersections. Moreover, if \( E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset \),
Caratheodory’s criterion gives \( \mu^*((E_1 \cup E_2) \cap R) = \mu^*(E_1 \cap R) + \mu^*(E_2 \cap R) \) by choosing \( B = (E_1 \cup E_2) \cap R \) and \( A = E_1 \). Induction shows now that
\[
\mu^*((E_1 \cup \ldots \cup E_k) \cap R) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mu^*(E_j \cap R)
\] (4)
when \( E_1, \ldots, E_k \in \mathcal{C} \) are pairwise disjoint, and, in particular, the finite additivity of \( \mu^*|_C \).

Now suppose \( A_k \in \mathcal{C} \) for each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( A = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j \). Define \( R_k = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} A_j \) and \( E_k = A_k \setminus R_{k-1} \). These are in \( \mathcal{C} \). The latter are pairwise disjoint, \( \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} E_j = R_k \), and \( \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j = A \). Therefore we find, using (4) and monotonicity of \( \mu^* \), that
\[
\mu^*(B) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mu^*(E_j \cap B) + \mu^*(R_k \cap B) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mu^*(E_j \cap B) + \mu^*(A^c \cap B)
\]
for every \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Taking the limit and using the countable subadditivity of \( \mu^* \) we get \( \mu^*(B) \geq \mu^*(A \cap B) + \mu^*(A^c \cap B) \). Since the opposite inequality always holds, \( \mathcal{C} \) is closed under countable unions and hence a \( \sigma \)-algebra.

Equation (4) for \( R = \mathbb{R} \), monotonicity, and subadditivity give
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{k} \mu^*(E_j) \leq \mu^*(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_j)
\]
for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) when the \( E_j \) are pairwise disjoint. This proves countable additivity of \( \mu^*|_C \).

Finally, \( \mu \) is complete since \( \mu^*(A^c \cap B) = \mu^*(A \cap B) + \mu^*(A^c \cap B) \geq \mu^*(B) \geq \mu^*(A^c \cap B) \) if \( \mu^*(A) = 0 \) and hence \( \mu^*(A \cap B) = 0 \).

\[ \square \]

2.3. Lebesgue measure on \( \mathbb{R}^n \)

2.3.1 Lengths of open intervals. Let \( \mathcal{E} = \{ (a, b) : -\infty < a < b < \infty \} \) be the set of finite open intervals and note that \( \emptyset = (a, a) \in \mathcal{E} \). We define the length of such an interval \((a, b)\) to be \( b - a \) and denote it by \(|(a, b)|\). By 2.2.2 \( \mathcal{E} \) and the length function \(|·|\) give rise to an outer measure \( \mu^* \) on \( \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \).

2.3.2 \( \mu^* \) is an extension of length. We need to show that \( \mu^*((a, b)) = b - a \). It is obvious that \( \mu^*((a, b)) \leq b - a \) and we assume, by way of contradiction, that \( \mu^*((a, b)) = b - a - 3\delta \) for some positive \( \delta \). Thus there are intervals \((a_k, b_k)\), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), such that \((a, b) \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k, b_k)\) and \( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_k - a_k) < b - a - 2\delta \). Since \([a + \delta, b - \delta]\) is compact there is a \( K \in \mathbb{N} \) such that
\[
[a + \delta, b - \delta] \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{K} (a_k, b_k).
\]
Now \( a + \delta \) is in one of these \( K \) intervals, i.e., there is a \( k_1 \in \{ 1, \ldots, K \} \) such that \( a_k_1 < a + \delta < b_{k_1} \). Unless \( b_{k_1} > b - \delta \) there is a \( k_2 \) such that \( a_k_2 < b_{k_1} < b_{k_2} \). Induction shows now the existence of an \( L \leq K \) such that \( a_{k_1} < b_{k_{L-1}} < b_{k_l} \) for \( l = 2, \ldots, L \) and \( b_{k_L} > b - \delta \). This proves
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_k - a_k) > b - a - 2\delta
\]
which is in contradiction with the previous estimate.
2.3.3 The Lebesgue \( \sigma \)-algebra and Lebesgue measure. The set
\[
\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}) = \{ A : \forall B \subset \mathbb{R} : \mu^*(B) = \mu^*(A \cap B) + \mu^*(B \cap A^c) \}
\]
is a \( \sigma \)-algebra called the Lebesgue \( \sigma \)-algebra. Its elements are called Lebesgue measurable sets.

The restriction of \( \mu^* \) to \( \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}) \) is a complete positive measure. It is called Lebesgue measure and is usually denoted by \( m \), i.e.,
\[
m = \mu^*|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})}.
\]

2.3.4 Important properties of Lebesgue measure. The following statements hold:

(1) A countable set is Lebesgue measurable and has measure zero.
(2) The outer measure of each of the intervals \([a, b], [a, b)\) and \((a, b)\) is \( b - a \).
(3) Finite open intervals are Lebesgue measurable.
(4) The Borel \( \sigma \)-algebra \( \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \) is contained in the Lebesgue \( \sigma \)-algebra \( \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}) \).
(5) Lebesgue measure is translation-invariant, i.e., \( m(A) = m(x + A) \) where \( x + A = \{ x + a : a \in A \} \).

Without proof we state the following facts.

(1) If \( A \subset \mathbb{R} \) is measurable and \( m(A) > 0 \), then there is a non-measurable subset \( A' \) of \( A \).
(2) There is no extension of \( m \) to the power set of \( \mathbb{R} \).
(3) Most Lebesgue measurable sets are not Borel measurable.

2.3.5 Lebesgue measure on \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Instead of open intervals consider rectangular boxes \( R \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) of the form \( R = (a_1, b_1) \times \ldots \times (a_n, b_n) \). Define the volume of such a rectangular box by
\[
|R| = \prod_{j=1}^{n} (b_j - a_j).
\]

Starting from this notion of volume one may construct, just as above, \( n \)-dimensional Lebesgue measure \( m_n \) which is a complete translation-invariant positive measure defined on a \( \sigma \)-algebra, called the Lebesgue \( \sigma \)-algebra \( \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n) \), containing \( \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and extending the notion of volume of rectangular boxes.

2.3.6 Regularity of Lebesgue measure. Recall that Lebesgue measure is a Borel measure since the Borel \( \sigma \)-algebra is contained in the Lebesgue \( \sigma \)-algebra.

**Theorem.** Lebesgue measure on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is regular. Moreover the following statements hold:

(1) If \( E \) is a Lebesgue measurable set and \( \varepsilon \) a positive number then there is a closed set \( C \) and an open set \( V \) such that \( C \subset E \subset V \) and \( m(V \setminus C) < \varepsilon \).
(2) If \( E \) is a Lebesgue measurable set then there is an \( F_\sigma \)-set \( F \) and a \( G_\delta \)-set \( G \) such that \( F \subset E \subset G \) and \( m(G \setminus F) = 0 \).

**Sketch of Proof.** Let \( T_k \) be compact subsets of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) such that \( \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k = \mathbb{R}^n \). Let \( E \) be a measurable set and \( \varepsilon > 0 \) be given. Since the rectangular boxes are open we have an open set \( V_k \) such that \( T_k \cap E \subset V_k \) and \( m(T_k \cap E) + \varepsilon / 2^{k+1} \geq m(V_k) \). Now notice that \( V = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k \) is open, that \( E \subset V \), and that \( m(V \setminus E) \leq \varepsilon / 2 \). This proves outer regularity of Lebesgue measure.

By the same argument we get that there is an open set \( U \) which contains \( E^c \) and satisfies \( m(U \setminus E^c) \leq \varepsilon / 2 \). Let \( C = U^c \) and note that \( U \setminus E^c = E \setminus U^c \) to obtain a closed set \( C \) such
that \( C \subseteq E \) and \( m(E \setminus C) \leq \varepsilon/2 \). Since \( C = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} (T_k \cap C) \) is a countable union of compact sets inner regularity of Lebesgue measure follows.

Statements (1) and (2) are now also immediate. \( \square \)

### 2.3.7 Completion of the Borel \( \sigma \)-algebra.

The Lebesgue \( \sigma \)-algebra \( \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) is the completion of the Borel \( \sigma \)-algebra \( \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) with respect to Lebesgue measure.

**Sketch of proof.** If \( E \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n) \), then \( E \in \overline{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \), the completion of \( \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \), by regularity. For the converse one checks Carathéodory’s criterion. \( \square \)

### 2.4. Comparison of the Riemann and the Lebesgue integral

#### 2.4.1 Partitions.

A partition \( P \) of \([a,b]\) is a finite subset of \([a,b]\) which contains both \( a \) and \( b \). If the number of elements in \( P \) is \( n+1 \) we will label them so that

\[
a = x_0 < x_1 < \ldots < x_{n-1} < x_n = b.
\]

A partition \( P' \) is called a refinement of \( P \) if \( P \subseteq P' \). \( P \cup Q \) is called the common refinement of the partitions \( P \) and \( Q \).

#### 2.4.2 Upper and lower sums.

If \( P \) is a partition of \([a,b]\) with \( n+1 \) elements and \( f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R} \) is a bounded function we define the lower Riemann sum \( L(f,P) \) and the upper Riemann sum \( U(f,P) \) by

\[
L(f,P) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_j(x_j - x_{j-1})
\]

and

\[
U(f,P) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} M_j(x_j - x_{j-1})
\]

where \( m_j = \inf \{ f(x) : x_{j-1} \leq x \leq x_j \} \) and \( M_j = \sup \{ f(x) : x_{j-1} \leq x \leq x_j \} \).

If \( P' \) is a refinement of the partition \( P \) then

\[
L(P,f) \leq L(P',f) \leq U(P',f) \leq U(P,f).
\]

#### 2.4.3 Definition of the Riemann integral.

Let \([a,b]\) be a bounded interval in \( \mathbb{R} \) and \( f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R} \) a bounded function. The numbers \( \sup \{ L(P,f) : P \) is a partition of \([a,b]\) \} and \( \inf \{ U(P,f) : P \) is a partition of \([a,b]\) \} \) are called lower and upper Riemann integrals of \( f \) over \([a,b]\), respectively. Note that the lower Riemann integral is never larger than the upper Riemann integral.

The function \( f \) is called Riemann integrable over \([a,b]\) if its lower and upper Riemann integrals coincide. This common value is then called the Riemann integral of \( f \) over \([a,b]\) and is denoted by \( \int_{a}^{b} f \). We emphasize that, by definition, Riemann integrable functions are bounded and are defined on finite intervals.

#### 2.4.4 Comparison of the Riemann and the Lebesgue integral.

Let \([a,b]\) be a bounded interval in \( \mathbb{R} \). If \( f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R} \) is Riemann integrable over \([a,b]\) then it is Lebesgue integrable and \( \int_{a}^{b} f = \int_{m} f \chi_{[a,b]} \).

**Sketch of proof.** Let \( k \mapsto P_k \) be a sequence of successive refinements of partitions such that \( \lim_{k \to \infty} L(P_k,f) \) equals the lower Riemann integral, and that \( \lim_{k \to \infty} U(P_k,f) \) equals the upper Riemann integral. This uses Proposition 2.4.2.
The lower and upper Riemann sums $L(P_k, f)$ and $U(P_k, f)$ can be represented as Lebesgue integrals of simple functions $\ell_k$ and $u_k$. The sequences $k \rightarrow \ell_k$ and $k \rightarrow u_k$ have pointwise limits defining measurable functions $\ell$ and $u$ such that $\ell(x) \leq f(x) \leq u(x)$.

The monotone convergence theorem implies that $\int_{m}^{\ell} \chi_{[a,b]} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} L(P_k, f)$ equals the lower Riemann integral of $f$. Likewise we have that $\int_{m}^{\ell} u \chi_{[a,b]} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} U(P_k, f)$ equals the upper Riemann integral of $f$.

Now suppose that $f$ is Riemann integrable. Then $\int_{m}^{\ell} \ell \chi_{[a,b]} = \int_{m}^{\ell} u \chi_{[a,b]}$ and this shows that, almost everywhere, $\ell = f = u$. Hence $f$ is measurable and its Lebesgue integral is equal to the Riemann integral. \hfill $\Box$

### 2.4.5 The set of Riemann integrable functions.

The (bounded) function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann integrable if and only if it is almost everywhere continuous.

**Sketch of proof.** We use the notation of the proof of Theorem 2.4.4 and note that we choose the partitions in such a way that the distance of adjacent points of $P_k$ is at most $1/k$. The statement follows from the observation that, if $x$ belongs to none of the points of the partitions $P_k$, then $f$ is continuous at $x$ if and only if $\ell(x) = u(x)$. \hfill $\Box$

### 2.5. Complex measures and their total variation

#### 2.5.1 Complex measures.

Recall that a complex measure is a complex-valued countably additive function on a given $\sigma$-algebra. This includes, of course, the case when all values of the measure are real. Note that when $\lambda$ is a complex measure and $E_1, E_2, \ldots$ are pairwise disjoint and measurable sets, then $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda(E_j)$ converges absolutely.

Given two complex measures $\mu$ and $\lambda$ defined on the same $\sigma$-algebra and a complex number $c$ we may define the complex measures $\mu + \lambda$ and $c\mu$ by $(\mu + \lambda)(E) = \mu(E) + \lambda(E)$ and $(c\mu)(E) = c\mu(E)$, respectively. Thus the set of all complex measures on a given $\sigma$-algebra is a complex vector space.

If $\lambda$ is a complex measure define the **real** and **imaginary part** of $\lambda$ by $(\text{Re} \lambda)(E) = \text{Re}(\lambda(E))$ and $(\text{Im} \lambda)(E) = \text{Im}(\lambda(E))$, respectively. Then both $\text{Re} \nu$ and $\text{Im} \nu$ are measures (assuming only real values).

#### 2.5.2 Total variation of a measure.

If the sets $E_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, are pairwise disjoint and their union is $E$ we call $\{E_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ a partition of $E$.

Let $\mu$ be a complex measure on a $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{M}$. Define a function $|\mu| : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$|\mu|(E) = \sup\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu(E_n)| : \{E_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ is a partition of } E \right\}.$$ 

The function $|\mu|$ is called the **total variation** of $\mu$. The number $|\mu|(A)$ is called the **total variation** of $\mu$ (when the meaning of $\mu$ is clear from the context).

**Theorem.** The total variation of a complex measure is a positive measure which satisfies $|\mu|(E) \geq |\mu(E)|$ for all $E \in \mathcal{M}$. If $\lambda$ is a positive measure satisfying $\lambda(E) \geq |\mu(E)|$ for all $E \in \mathcal{M}$ then $\lambda \geq |\mu|$.

**Sketch of proof.** Obviously, $|\mu| (\emptyset) = 0$ and $|\mu|(E) \geq |\mu(E)|$. To prove countable additivity choose a partition of $E$ approximating $|\mu|(E)$ to establish one inequality and partitions approximating the $|\mu|(E_n)$ to establish the other. Finally note that $\lambda(E) \geq |\mu|(E)$ follows from $\lambda(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(E_n) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu(E_n)|$. \hfill $\Box$

#### 2.5.3 The total variation of a complex measure is a finite measure.

Let $(X, \mathcal{M})$ be a measurable space and $\mu$ a complex measure on $\mathcal{M}$. Then $|\mu|(X) < \infty$. 

Since \( \|F\|_{L^p} \) is convergent when the \( A_k \) are pairwise disjoint there can be no sequence \( k \mapsto A_k \) of pairwise disjoint sets satisfying \( |\mu(A_k)| > 1 \). However, under the assumption that \( |\mu(E)| \) is infinite one shows by induction and the lemma below that the set of all natural numbers \( n \) for which there are pairwise disjoint sets \( A_1, \ldots, A_n \) satisfying \( |\mu(A_k)| > 1 \) and \( |\mu(X \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k)| = \infty \) is equal to \( \mathbb{N} \). This contradiction proves the claim. \( \square \)

**Lemma.** If \( |\mu|(E) = \infty \) then there are disjoint measurable sets \( A \) and \( B \) such that \( A \cup B = E \), \( |\mu(A)| > 1 \), and \( |\mu|(B) = \infty \).

**Proof.** Since \( |\mu|(E) = \infty \) there are measurable, pairwise disjoint subsets \( E_1, \ldots, E_N \) of \( E \) such that \( \sum_{k=1}^N |\mu(E_k)| > \pi(1 + |\mu(E)|) \). Set \( \mu(E_k) = r_k e^{i\alpha_k} \) and define the function \( f: [-\pi, \pi] \to [0, \infty) \) by

\[
f(t) = \sum_{k=1}^N r_k \cos(\alpha_k - t).
\]

Since \( f \) is continuous it has a maximum attained at a point \( t_0 \). Let \( S = \{k \in \{1, \ldots, N\} : \cos(\alpha_k - t_0) > 0\} \), \( A = \bigcup_{k \in S} E_k \), and \( B = E \setminus A \). We now find that

\[
|\mu(A)| = \left| \sum_{k \in S} \mu(E_k) \right| \geq f(t_0) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) \, dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^N |\mu(E_k)| > 1 + |\mu(A) + \mu(B)|.
\]

Hence both \( |\mu(A)| \) and \( |\mu(B)| \) are larger than one and at least one of them, say \( B \), has infinite variation. \( \square \)

**2.5.4 A norm for complex measures.** Define \( \|\mu\| = |\mu|(X) \). Then \( \|\mu\| = 0 \) implies \( \mu = 0 \), \( \|c\mu\| = |c|\|\mu\| \), and \( \|\mu + \lambda\| \leq \|\mu\| + \|\lambda\| \). Hence the space of complex measures is a normed vector space.

**2.5.5 Positive and negative variations.** Suppose now that \( \mu \) is a real measure (sometimes called a signed measure). Define

\[
\mu^+ = \frac{1}{2}(|\mu| + \mu), \quad \mu^- = \frac{1}{2}(|\mu| - \mu).
\]

Then both \( \mu^+ \) and \( \mu^- \) are finite positive measures called the positive and negative variation of \( \mu \), respectively. The pair \( (\mu^+, \mu^-) \) is called the Jordan decomposition of \( \mu \).

### 2.6. Absolute continuity and mutually singular measures

**2.6.1 Absolute continuity.** A measure \( \lambda \) (complex or positive) is called absolutely continuous with respect to a positive measure \( \mu \) if all sets of \( \mu \)-measure zero also have \( \lambda \)-measure zero. We denote this relationship by \( \lambda \ll \mu \).

**2.6.2 A complex measure is absolutely continuous with respect to its total variation.** Let \( (X, \mathcal{M}, \mu) \) be a measure space with a complex measure \( \mu \). Since \( |\mu(E)| \leq |\mu|(E) \) it follows that \( \mu \ll |\mu| \).

**2.6.3 A criterion for absolute continuity.** Suppose \( \lambda \) is a complex measure and \( \mu \) is a positive measure. Then \( \lambda \ll \mu \) if and only if for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) exists a \( \delta > 0 \) such that for every measurable set \( E \) the condition \( \mu(E) < \delta \) implies \( |\lambda(E)| < \varepsilon \).

**Sketch of proof.** The “if” direction is simple. For the “only if” direction we prove the contrapositive. Thus assume there is an \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and there are sets \( E_n \) such that \( \mu(E_n) < \delta_n \) for each \( n \).
2\(^{-n}\) but \(|\lambda(E_n)| \geq \varepsilon\). If \(F = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n\) we have \(\mu(F) = 0\) but \(|\lambda|(F) \geq \varepsilon\). Finally note that \(\lambda \ll \mu\) if and only if \(|\lambda| \ll \mu\).

### 2.6.4 Mutually singular measures

Suppose \(\mu\) is a measure. If there is a measurable set \(A\) such that \(\mu(E) = \mu(E \cap A)\) for all \(E \in \mathcal{M}\) we say that the measure \(\mu\) is *concentrated* on \(A\). It follows that \(\mu\) is concentrated on \(A\) if and only if \(\mu(E) = 0\) for all measurable \(E \subset A^c\). Lebesgue measure, for instance, is concentrated on the set of irrational numbers.

Two measures \(\mu\) and \(\lambda\) are called *mutually singular* if they are concentrated on disjoint sets. This is indicated by \(\lambda \perp \mu\).

### 2.6.5 Basic properties

Suppose that \(\mu, \lambda, \lambda_1, \text{ and } \lambda_2\) are measures on \(\mathcal{M}\) and that \(\mu\) is positive. Then the following statements hold:

1. \(\lambda\) is concentrated on \(A\) if and only if \(|\lambda|\) is.
2. \(\lambda_1 \perp \lambda_2\) if and only if \(|\lambda_1| \perp |\lambda_2|\).
3. If \(\lambda_1 \perp \mu\), \(\lambda_2 \perp \mu\), and \(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}\), then \(\alpha\lambda_1 + \beta\lambda_2 \perp \mu\).
4. If \(\lambda_1 \ll \mu\), \(\lambda_2 \ll \mu\), and \(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}\), then \(\alpha\lambda_1 + \beta\lambda_2 \ll \mu\).
5. \(\lambda \ll \mu\) if and only if \(|\lambda| \ll \mu\).
6. If \(\lambda_1 \ll \mu\) and \(\lambda_2 \perp \mu\) then \(\lambda_1 \perp \lambda_2\).
7. If \(\lambda \ll \mu\) and \(\lambda \perp \mu\) then \(\lambda = 0\).

### 2.6.6 Discrete and continuous measures

Let \((X, \mathcal{M})\) be a measurable space such that \(\mathcal{M}\) contains all countable subsets of \(X\). A measure \(\mu\) on \(\mathcal{M}\) is called *discrete*, if it is concentrated on a countable set. It is called *continuous*, if \(\mu(\{x\}) = 0\) for all \(x \in X\). Discrete and continuous measures are mutually singular.

A complex measure \(\mu\) can be expressed uniquely as the sum of a discrete and a continuous measure.

### 2.7. Exercises

2.1. Let \(\mathcal{F} = \{\{n\} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}\) and \(\mathcal{G} = \{\{r\} : r \in \mathbb{R}\}\). Find the smallest \(\sigma\)-algebra in \(\mathbb{Z}\) containing \(\mathcal{F}\) and the smallest \(\sigma\)-algebra in \(\mathbb{R}\) containing \(\mathcal{G}\).

2.2. Construct the measure modeling the rolling of two dice. That is identify \(X\), \(\mathcal{M}\), and \(\mu\).

2.3. Determine the regularity properties of the counting measure and the Dirac measure on \(\mathbb{R}^n\).

2.4. Show that there is a set in \(\mathbb{R}\) which is not Lebesgue measurable.

2.5. Let \(A = \{(x, y) : x \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ or } y \in \mathbb{Q}\}\). Is \(A\) Lebesgue measurable? If so, what is its measure?

2.6. Find a function which is Lebesgue integrable but not Riemann integrable.

2.7. Find a function \(f\) on \([0, \infty)\) such that the improper Riemann integral

\[
\int_0^\infty f = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_0^R f
\]

exists and is finite, but \(f\) is not Lebesgue integrable.

2.8. A step function is a simple function \(s : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}\) such that \(\{x : s(x) = \alpha\}\) is a finite union of bounded intervals for all \(\alpha\) (allowing, of course, for the empty set). Show that step functions are dense in \(L^p(\mathbb{R})\).
2.9. Show that the 2.6.3 may fail, if $\lambda$ is a positive measure which is allowed to assume the value $\infty$. 
CHAPTER 3

Integration on Product Spaces

3.1. Product measure spaces

Throughout this section \((X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)\) and \((Y, \mathcal{N}, \nu)\) denote measure spaces with positive measures \(\mu\) and \(\nu\).

3.1.1 Measurable rectangles. For every \(A \in \mathcal{M}\) and \(B \in \mathcal{N}\) the set \(A \times B\) is called a measurable rectangle in \(X \times Y\). The \(\sigma\)-algebra generated by the measurable rectangles is denoted by \(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}\). It is called a product \(\sigma\)-algebra.

3.1.2 Carathéodory’s construction. Both \(\emptyset = \emptyset \times \emptyset\) and \(X \times Y\) are measurable rectangles so that by 2.2.2 the function

\[
\lambda^*(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu(A_j)\nu(B_j) : A_j \in \mathcal{M}, B_j \in \mathcal{N}, E \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty A_j \times B_j \right\}
\]

is an outer measure on \(\mathcal{P}(X \times Y)\). By 2.2.3 the set

\[
\mathcal{C} = \{ E \subset X \times Y : \forall T \in X \times Y : \lambda^*(T) = \lambda^*(E \cap T) + \lambda^*(E^c \cap T) \}
\]

is a \(\sigma\)-algebra and \(\lambda = \lambda^*|_\mathcal{C}\) is a complete positive measure.

The measurable rectangles are contained in \(\mathcal{C}\) and \(\lambda\) is an extension of the map \(A \times B \mapsto \mu(A)\nu(B)\) defined on the measurable rectangles.

3.1.3 Product \(\sigma\)-algebra and product measure. Let \(\mathcal{C}\) and \(\lambda\) be as in 3.1.2 and assume that \(\mu\) and \(\nu\) are \(\sigma\)-finite. Then the completion \(\overline{\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}}\) of \(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}\) with respect to \(\lambda\) is \(\mathcal{C}\).

Sketch of proof. Since the measurable rectangles are in both \(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}\) and \(\mathcal{C}\) we have \(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{C}\) but \(\lambda|_{\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}}\) is, in general, not a complete measure even if \(\mu\) and \(\nu\) are. Suppose \(A, B \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}\), \(A \subset E \subset B\), and \(\lambda(B \setminus A) = 0\). Then \(E\) satisfies Carathéodory’s criterion so that \(\overline{\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}} \subset \mathcal{C}\). To show the converse assume \(\mathcal{M}\) and \(\mathcal{N}\) are finite measure spaces from which the general case will follow. Now let \(E \in \mathcal{C}\). Then for every \(k \in \mathbb{N}\) there are sequences \(A_{k,n} \in \mathcal{M}\) and \(B_{k,n} \in \mathcal{N}\) so that \(E \subset D_k = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_{k,n} \times B_{k,n}\) and \(\lambda(D_k \setminus E) \leq 1/k\).

Let \(D = \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty D_k\). Then \(D \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}\), \(E \subset D\), and \(\lambda(D \setminus E) = 0\). Similarly there is a \(C \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}\) such that \(C \subset E\) and \(\lambda(E \setminus C) = 0\). Thus we have \(E \in \overline{\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}}\). □

The measure \(\lambda\) defined in 3.1.2 (defined on either \(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}\) or \(\overline{\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}}\)) is called a product measure and will henceforth be denoted by \(\mu \otimes \nu\).

3.1.4 Sections of sets. Let \(E\) be a subset of \(X \times Y\) and \(x \in X\) and \(y \in Y\). Then \(E_x = \{ y : (x, y) \in E\} \subset Y\) and \(E^y = \{ x : (x, y) \in E\} \subset X\) are called the \(x\)-section and the \(y\)-section of \(E\), respectively.

Theorem. If \(E \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}\), \(x \in X\), and \(y \in Y\), then \(E_x \in \mathcal{N}\) and \(E^y \in \mathcal{M}\).
Sketch of proof. Let \( \Omega = \{ E \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} : \forall x \in X : E_x \in \mathcal{N} \} \). Show that \( \Omega = \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \). \( \square \)

3.2. Fubini’s theorem

Throughout this section \((X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)\) and \((Y, \mathcal{N}, \nu)\) denote measure spaces with complete, positive, \(\sigma\)-finite measures \(\mu\) and \(\nu\). The proof of Fubini’s theorem is rather involved. Material in 3.2.1 – 3.2.3 serves only as preparatory material.

3.2.1 Monotone classes. Let \( X \) be a set. A monotone class \( \mathcal{A} \) is a collection of subsets of \( X \) with the property that it contains the union of any increasing chain of elements of \( \mathcal{A} \) as well as the intersection of any decreasing chain of elements of \( \mathcal{A} \).

Every \( \sigma \)-algebra is a monotone class and the intersection of monotone classes is again a monotone class. The monotone class generated by a collection \( \mathcal{E} \) of subsets of \( X \) is the intersection of all monotone classes containing \( \mathcal{E} \).

Theorem. \( \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \) is the smallest monotone class containing all finite unions of measurable rectangles.

Sketch of proof. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be the smallest monotone class containing \( \mathcal{E} \), the set of all finite unions of measurable rectangles. Then \( \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \). The claim follows if we can show that \( \mathcal{A} \) contains complements and finite unions of its elements since this turns \( \mathcal{A} \) into a \( \sigma \)-algebra. Thus, for \( P \subset X \times Y \) define \( \Omega(P) = \{ Q \subset X \times Y : P \setminus Q, Q \setminus P, P \cup Q \in \mathcal{A} \} \) and note that (i) \( P \in \Omega(Q) \) if and only if \( Q \in \Omega(P) \) and (ii) \( \Omega(P) \) is a monotone class. One now shows first that \( \mathcal{E} \subset \Omega(P) \) and hence \( \mathcal{A} \subset \Omega(P) \) if \( P \in \mathcal{E} \) and then that \( \mathcal{A} \subset \Omega(Q) \) if \( Q \in \mathcal{A} \). \( \square \)

3.2.2 Fubini’s theorem – baby version. If \( E \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \) then the function \( x \mapsto \nu(E_x) \) is \( \mathcal{M} \)-measurable and the function \( y \mapsto \mu(E^y) \) is \( \mathcal{N} \)-measurable. Moreover \((\mu \otimes \nu)(E) = \int \mu \nu(E_x) = \int \mu \mu(E^y)\) (here we write \( \nu(E_x) \) and \( \mu(E^y) \) as abbreviations for \( x \mapsto \nu(E_x) \) and \( y \mapsto \mu(E^y) \), respectively).

Sketch of proof. Define \( \Omega \subset \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \) to consist of those sets satisfying the three conclusions and show that \( \Omega \) is a monotone class containing all finite unions of measurable rectangles. \( \square \)

3.2.3 Sections of functions. If \( f \) is a function defined on \( X \times Y \) and \( x \in X \) we denote the function \( y \mapsto f(x, y) \) by \( f_x \) and call it the \( x \)-section of \( f \). Similarly \( f^y \) denotes the function \( x \mapsto f(x, y) \) when \( y \) is a fixed element of \( Y \).

If \( f \) is a \( \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \)-measurable function, then \( f = g + h \) where \( g \) is \( \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \)-measurable and \( h = 0 \) almost everywhere with respect to \( \mu \otimes \nu \). Moreover, the following statement are true:

1. If \( x \in X \), then \( g_x \) is \( \mathcal{N} \)-measurable.
2. If \( y \in Y \), then \( g^y \) is \( \mathcal{M} \)-measurable.
3. For almost all \( x \in X \) the function \( h_x \) is \( \mathcal{N} \)-measurable and zero almost everywhere.
4. For almost all \( y \in Y \) the function \( h^y \) is \( \mathcal{M} \)-measurable and zero almost everywhere.

Sketch of proof. By 2.1.7 there is a \( \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \)-measurable function \( g \) which coincides with \( f \) almost everywhere. Statements and (1) and (2) hold by 3.1.4. Define \( h = f - g \) so that \( h = 0 \) almost everywhere. To prove (3) and (4) let \( P \) be the set where \( h \) does not vanish. Then \( P \subset Q \) where \( Q \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \) and \((\mu \otimes \nu)(Q) = 0 \). From 3.2.2 we get \( 0 = \int \mu \nu(Q_x) \) so
that $0 = \nu(Q_x) = \nu(P_x)$ for all $x$ outside a set $M$ of measure zero. For $x \in M^c$ the function $h_x$ is zero outside $P_x$, i.e., almost everywhere. Hence $h_x$ is $\mathcal{N}$-measurable. □

3.2.4 Fubini’s theorem. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{N}, \nu)$ be measure spaces with complete, positive, $\sigma$-finite measures $\mu$ and $\nu$ and $f$ a $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$-measurable function on $X \times Y$. Define, when it makes sense to do so, \[ \varphi(x) = \int_y f_x, \quad \psi(y) = \int_x f^y, \quad \varphi^*(x) = \int_y |f_x|, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^*(y) = \int_x |f^y|. \]

Then the following statements hold:

1. If $0 \leq f \leq \infty$, then $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are $\mathcal{M}$-measurable and $\mathcal{N}$-measurable, respectively. Moreover, \[ \int_\mu \varphi = \int_{\mu \otimes \nu} f = \int_\nu \psi. \]

2. If $f$ is complex-valued and $\int_\mu \varphi^* < \infty$ or $\int_\nu \psi^* < \infty$, then $f \in L^1(\mu \otimes \nu)$.

3. If $f \in L^1(\mu \otimes \nu)$, then $f_x \in L^1(\nu)$ for almost every $x \in X$, $f^y \in L^1(\mu)$ for almost every $y \in Y$, $\varphi \in L^1(\mu)$, and $\psi \in L^1(\nu)$. Moreover, \[ \int_\mu \varphi = \int_{\mu \otimes \nu} f = \int_\nu \psi. \]

Equation (5) is often written in terms of iterated integrals as \[ \int_{\mu(x)} \left( \int_{\nu(y)} f(x, y) \right) = \int_{\mu \otimes \nu} f = \int_{\nu(y)} \left( \int_{\mu(x)} f(x, y) \right). \]

Sketch of proof. Show (1), in turn, when $f$ is the characteristic function of a measurable set, for simple functions, and then in general. Statement (2) follows from applying (1) to $|f|$. For (3) split $f$ in real and imaginary parts and those in positive and negative parts. □

3.2.5 Counterexamples. Iterated integrals do not coincide in the following situations:

1. Let $X = Y = \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu = \nu$ the counting measure (then $\mu \otimes \nu$ is the counting measure on $X \times Y$). Suppose $f(j, k) = 1$ for $j = k$, $f(j, k) = -1$ if $j = k + 1$, and zero otherwise.

2. Let $X = Y = [0, 1]$, $\mu$ Lebesgue measure, $\nu$ the counting measure, and $f$ the characteristic function of the main diagonal of $[0, 1]^2$.

3.2.6 Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$. If $n = j + k$, then $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^j) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ and $m_n = m_j \otimes m_k$.

Sketch of proof. The cartesian product of rectangular boxes in $\mathbb{R}^j$ and $\mathbb{R}^k$ are measurable rectangles. The outer measures $(m_j \otimes m_k)^*$ and $m_n^*$ coincide on the power set of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Thus Carathéodory’s construction gives rise to the same $\sigma$-algebras. □
4.1. The Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym theorem

4.1.1 The Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym theorem. Let \( \mu \) be a positive \( \sigma \)-finite measure on a \( \sigma \)-algebra \( \mathcal{M} \) in \( X \) and \( \lambda \) a complex measure on \( \mathcal{M} \). Then

1. there exists a unique pair of complex measures \( \lambda_a \) and \( \lambda_s \) on \( \mathcal{M} \) such that
   \[
   \lambda = \lambda_a + \lambda_s, \quad \lambda_a \ll \mu, \quad \lambda_s \perp \mu.
   \]
   If \( \lambda \) is positive and finite then so are \( \lambda_a \) and \( \lambda_s \).

2. There exists a unique function \( h \in L^1(\mu) \) such that
   \[
   \lambda_a(E) = \int \mu h \chi_E
   \]
   for every \( E \in \mathcal{M} \).

The pair \( \lambda_a, \lambda_s \) associated with \( \lambda \) is called the Lebesgue decomposition of \( \lambda \).

**Sketch of proof.** This is a deep theorem. The proof below is due to von Neumann. We shall break it in several parts.

1. Showing uniqueness of \( \lambda_a, \lambda_s \) and \( h \) is straightforward.
2. It is sufficient to prove the theorem for a positive (but finite) measure \( \lambda \).
3. There exists a function \( w \in L^1(\mu) \) such that \( 0 < w < 1 \).
4. Suppose there was a measurable function \( g \) such that \( 0 \leq g \leq 1 \) and
   \[
   \int \lambda (1 - g) = \int \mu gw, \quad (6)
   \]
   for all bounded, nonnegative, measurable functions \( f \). Define \( A = \{ x : 0 \leq g(x) < 1 \} \), \( B = A^c = \{ x : g(x) = 1 \} \), and the finite measures
   \[
   \lambda_a(E) = \lambda( E \cap A), \quad \lambda_s(E) = \lambda( E \cap B).
   \]
   These are positive measures satisfying \( \lambda_a + \lambda_s = \lambda \) and \( \lambda_s \perp \mu \).
5. Now let \( f = (1 + g + \ldots + g^n) \chi_E \) in (6). Then
   \[
   \int_{\lambda} (1 - g^{n+1}) \chi_E \cap A = \int_{\lambda} (1 - g^{n+1}) \chi_E = \int_{\mu} (g + \ldots + g^{n+1})w \chi_E.
   \]
   The monotone convergence theorem shows then that \( \lambda_a(E) = \lambda(E \cap A) = \int_{\mu} h \chi_E \) where \( h = wg/(1 - g) \). Taking \( E = X \) shows \( h \in L^1(\mu) \). It also follows that \( \lambda_a \ll \mu \).
6. It remains to show the existence of \( g \). To this end define the finite measure \( \varphi \) by
   \[
   \varphi(E) = \lambda(E) + \int_{\mu} w \chi_E.
   \]
   Then
   \[
   \int \varphi f = \int_{\lambda} f + \int_{\mu} f w
   \]
   for any nonnegative measurable function \( f \).
4. THE LEBESGUE-RADON-NIKODYM THEOREM

The inequality

$$\left|\int f\right| \leq \int |f| \leq \int \varphi f \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\varphi)}(\varphi(X))^{1/2}$$

holds for all $f \in L^2(\varphi)$. Therefore $T : L^2(\varphi) \to \mathbb{C} : f \mapsto \int f$ is a bounded linear functional. The representation theorem A.2.4 guarantees now the existence of a $g \in L^2(\varphi)$ such that

$$\int f = g$$

Putting here $f = \chi_E$ for any $E$ with $\varphi(E) > 0$ shows, using 1.2.9, that $0 \leq g \leq 1$ almost everywhere with respect to $\varphi$ and hence with respect to both $\lambda$ and $\mu$. One may now choose a representative such that $0 \leq g \leq 1$.

\[\square\]

4.1.2 Allowing for $\sigma$-finite measures. If $\lambda$ is positive and $\sigma$-finite rather than finite the Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym theorem still applies except that $h$ will no longer be integrable. If $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ with pairwise disjoint sets $X_n$ of finite $\lambda$-measure define the finite measures $\lambda_n(E) = \lambda(E \cap X_n)$. We obtain $\lambda_{n,a}$ and $\lambda_{n,s}$ and may now define $\lambda_a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n,a}$ and $\lambda_s = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n,s}$ which have the desired properties. As for the second part we obtain functions $h_n$ supported on $X_n$ and non-negative almost everywhere in view of 1.2.9. Thus we get

$$\lambda_a(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n,a}(E \cap X_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_X h_n \chi_E = \int_X h \chi_E$$

by monotone convergence when $h = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n$.

$\lambda_a$, $\lambda_s$ and $h$ are independent of the partition $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

4.1.3 The Radon-Nikodym derivative. Suppose $\mu$ is a $\sigma$-finite positive measure on $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{M}$ and $\lambda$ is either a complex measure or else a $\sigma$-finite positive measure also defined on $\mathcal{M}$. If $\lambda$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu$, then either 4.1.1 or else 4.1.2 defines a function $h$ through the equation $\lambda_a(E) = \int_X h \chi_E$. This function is called the Radon-Nikodym derivative of $\lambda$ with respect to $\mu$. We denote it by $\lambda/\mu$.

4.1.4 The Radon-Nikodym derivative of a measure with respect to its total variation. Suppose $\lambda$ is a complex measure defined on the $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{M}$. Since it is absolutely continuous with respect to its total variation $|\lambda|$, there is a Radon-Nikodym derivative $h = (\lambda/|\lambda|)$ in $L^1(|\lambda|)$. In fact, $|h| = 1$ almost everywhere with respect to $|\lambda|$. Of course, we may choose $h$ so that $|h| = 1$ everywhere.

4.1.5 The chain rule for Radon-Nikodym derivatives. Suppose $\kappa$, $\lambda$, and $\mu$ are $\sigma$-finite positive measure on $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{M}$ and that $\kappa \ll \lambda \ll \mu$. Then $\kappa \ll \mu$ and

$$(\kappa/\mu) = (\kappa/\lambda)(\lambda/\mu).$$

This statement is also true when $\kappa$ is a complex measure.

4.1.6 The Lebesgue decomposition of a total variation measure. Let $\mu$ be a positive $\sigma$-finite measure on $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{M}$ in $X$ and $\lambda$ a complex measure on $\mathcal{M}$ so that $\lambda(E) = \lambda_a(E) + \lambda_s(E)$ with $\lambda_a \ll \mu$ and $\lambda_s \perp \mu$. Then $|\lambda_a| = |\lambda_a|$ and $|\lambda_s| = |\lambda_s|$. Moreover, if $g = (\lambda_a/\mu)$, then $|g| = (|\lambda_a|/\mu)$. 

(7) The inequality

$$\left|\int f\right| \leq \int |f| \leq \int \varphi f \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\varphi)}(\varphi(X))^{1/2}$$
Sketch of proof. Since \( \lambda_a \perp \lambda_s \) it is easy to see that \(|\lambda_a| \perp |\lambda_s|\) and \(|\lambda| = |\lambda_a| + |\lambda_s|\).

Now suppose \( \lambda_a(E) = \int \mu g \chi_E = \int |\lambda_s| h \chi_E \). Then \(|\lambda_a(E)| \leq \int |g| \chi_E \) where, according to 1.1.13, \( E \mapsto \int \mu |g| \chi_E \) is a positive measure. This implies that \(|\lambda_a|(E) \leq \int \mu |g| \chi_E \) and hence \(|\lambda_a| \ll \mu\). Let \( k \geq 0 \) be the corresponding Radon-Nikodym derivative. Then 4.1.5 gives \( hk = g \) and hence \( k = |g|\). \( \square \)

4.2. Integration with respect to a complex measure

4.2.1 Integration with respect to complex measures. Suppose \((X, \mathcal{M}, \lambda)\) is a measure space with a complex measure \( \lambda \). Let \( h \) be the Radon-Nikodym derivative of \( \lambda \) with respect to \(|\lambda|\) and recall that \(|h| = 1\). Now we define

\[
\int_{\lambda} g = \int_{|\lambda|} gh
\]

whenever \( g \) is either measurable and non-negative or else integrable with respect to \(|\lambda|\).

4.2.2 Integration and the Radon-Nikodym derivative. Let \((X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)\) be a measure space with a \( \sigma \)-finite positive measure \( \mu \). Suppose \( \lambda \) is either a complex measure or else a \( \sigma \)-finite positive measure defined on \( \mathcal{M} \) which is absolutely continuous with respect to \( \mu \) and let \( k \) be the corresponding Radon-Nikodym derivative. Then \( g \in L^1(|\lambda|) \) if and only if \( kg \in L^1(\mu) \). In this case

\[
\int_{\lambda} g = \int_{\mu} gk.
\]
CHAPTER 5

Radon Functionals on Locally Compact Hausdorff Spaces

Throughout this chapter $X$ denotes a locally compact Hausdorff space.

5.1. Preliminaries

5.1.1 Locally compact Hausdorff spaces. Recall that a topological space is called \textit{locally compact} if every point has an open neighborhood with compact closure. A topological space is called a \textit{Hausdorff space} if any two distinct points have disjoint neighborhoods.

Note that $\mathbb{R}^n$ as well as its open and closed subsets are locally compact Hausdorff spaces for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

5.1.2 Compactly supported continuous functions. Let $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$ be a function. The set \( \{x : f(x) \neq 0\} \) is called the \textit{support} of a function $f$. The set of compactly supported continuous functions defined on a topological space $X$ is denoted by $C_0^c(X)$. $C_0^c(X)$ is a normed vector space under the norm $f \mapsto \|f\|_\infty = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in X\}$.

5.1.3 Urysohn’s lemma. The notation $K \prec f$ indicates that $K$ is compact in $X$, that $f \in C_0^c(X)$, $0 \leq f \leq 1$, and that $f(x) = 1$ for all $x \in K$. The notation $f \prec V$ indicates that $V$ is open in $X$, that $f \in C_0^c(X)$, $0 \leq f \leq 1$, and that the support of $f$ is in $V$.

The following theorem is well-known from topology.

\textbf{Theorem.} Suppose $X$ is a locally compact Hausdorff space, $K$ compact, $V$ open, and $K \subset V \subset X$. Then there exists $f \in C_0^c(X)$ such that $K \prec f \prec V$.

5.1.4 Partitions of unity. The following theorem, whose proof depends on Urysohn’s lemma, is well-known from topology. If $U_1, \ldots, U_n$ are open subsets of $X$ and if the compact set $K$ is contained in $\bigcup_{k=1}^n U_k$, then there are functions $h_k$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$, such that $h_k \prec U_k$ and $\sum_{k=1}^n h_k(x) = 1$ for all $x \in K$. The collection \{ $h_1, \ldots, h_n$ \} is called a \textit{partition of unity} on $K$ with respect to the cover \{ $U_1, \ldots, U_n$ \}.

5.2. Approximation by continuous functions

5.2.1 Compactly supported continuous functions in $L^p(\mu)$. Suppose $X$ is a locally compact Hausdorff space and $\mu$ is complete, regular, positive Borel measure on $X$ which is finite on compact sets. If $1 \leq p < \infty$ then $C_0^c(X)$ is dense in $L^p(\mu)$.

\textbf{Sketch of proof.} Suppose $f \in L^p(\mu)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is given. By 1.4.10 there is a simple function $s = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \chi_{A_j}$ such that \( \|f - s\|_p < \varepsilon/2 \). Set $M = \max\{|\alpha_1|, \ldots, |\alpha_n|\}$. For each $A_j$ there is a continuous function $g_j$ such that $\mu(\{x : \chi_{A_j}(x) \neq g_j(x)\}) < (\varepsilon/(2nM))^p$. For $g = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j g_j$ we get $\|s - g\|_p < \varepsilon/2$. \qed
In fact, \( C_0^0(X) \) is a normed vector space using the norm \( \| \cdot \|_p \) for \( 1 \leq p \leq \infty \). Hence, if \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) then \( L^p(\mu) \) is the \textit{completion} of \( C_0^0(X) \) with respect to the metric induced by that norm.

5.2.2 Functions vanishing at infinity. A complex-valued function \( f \) on a locally compact Hausdorff space is said to \textit{vanish at infinity} if for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists a compact set \( K \) such that \( |f(x)| < \varepsilon \) if \( x \notin K \). The class of continuous functions which vanish at infinity is denoted by \( C_0^0(X) \).

Of course, \( C_0^0(X) \subset C_0^0(X) \). The converse is true if \( X \) is compact.

5.2.3 \( C_0^0(X) \) is a Banach space. If \( X \) is a locally compact Hausdorff space then \( C_0^0(X) \) is the completion of \( C_0^0(X) \) with respect to the norm \( \| \cdot \|_{\infty} \).

Sketch of proof. To prove denseness consider the function \( h = fg \) where \( K \prec g \) and \( K \) being such that \( |f| < \varepsilon \) outside \( K \). To prove completeness show that a Cauchy sequence has pointwise limits giving rise to a continuous function vanishing at infinity. \( \square \)

5.3. Riesz’s representation theorem

5.3.1 Radon functionals. A \textit{Radon functional} on \( C_0^0(X) \) is a function \( \phi : C_0^0(X) \to \mathbb{C} \) which is linear and has the property that for every compact set \( K \subset X \) there is a number \( C_K \) such that

\[
|\phi(f)| \leq C_K \| f \|_{\infty}
\]

whenever \( f \in C_0^0(X) \) and \( \text{supp} \, f \subset K \).

Given two Radon functionals \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) and a complex number \( c \) we may define \( \phi + \psi \) and \( c \phi \) by \( (\phi + \psi)(f) = \phi(f) + \psi(f) \) and \( (c \phi)(f) = c \phi(f) \), respectively. Thus the set of all Radon functionals on \( C_0^0(X) \) is a complex vector space.

Given a Radon functional \( \phi \) we define its \textit{conjugate} \( \overline{\phi} \) by \( \overline{\phi}(f) = \overline{\phi(f)} \). Note that \( \overline{\overline{\phi}} = \phi \).

Define \( \text{Re} \, \phi \) and \( \text{Im} \, \phi \) by \( (\text{Re} \, \phi)(f) = (\phi(f) + \overline{\phi(f)})/2 \) and \( (\text{Im} \, \phi)(f) = (\phi(f) - \overline{\phi(f)})/(2i) \), respectively. Then \( \phi = \text{Re} \, \phi + i \, \text{Im} \, \phi \). A Radon functional \( \phi \) is called real if \( \overline{\phi} = \phi \). This is equivalent with the requirement that \( \phi(f) \) is real whenever \( f \) assumes only real values.

Note, however, that \( \phi \) being real does not mean it is always real-valued.

We emphasize that \( \overline{\phi} \), \( \text{Re} \, \phi \), and \( \text{Im} \, \phi \) are Radon functionals when \( \phi \) is one. Moreover, \( \text{Re} \, \phi \), and \( \text{Im} \, \phi \) are real.

5.3.2 Positive linear functionals. If \( \phi : C_0^0(X) \to \mathbb{C} \) is linear and assumes non-negative values whenever \( \phi \) does, it is called a \textit{positive linear functional} on \( C_0^0(X) \).

Any positive linear functional is a Radon functional.

Sketch of proof. Choose \( g \in C_0^0(X) \) such that \( K \prec g \). Write \( f = \sum_{k=0}^{3} ikf_k \) where \( f_0 = \text{Re} \, f \) etc. If \( \text{supp} \, f \subset K \), then \( f_k \leq \| f \|_{\infty} g \). Choose \( C_K = 4\phi(g) \). \( \square \)

Also note that positive linear functionals are \textit{monotone} in the sense that \( \phi(f) \leq \phi(g) \) if \( f \leq g \).

5.3.3 The representation theorem for positive linear functionals. This major theorem was first proved by F. Riesz in 1909 in the case where \( X = [0,1] \).

Theorem. Suppose \( \phi \) is a positive linear functional on \( C_0^0(X) \). Then there exist a unique positive measure \( \mu \) on \( B(X) \) with the following properties: (i) \( \mu \) is outer regular, (ii) every open set is inner regular, and (iii) \( \phi(f) = \int f \, d\mu \) for all \( f \in C_0^0(X) \).
Moreover, the measure \( \mu \) satisfies \( \mu(K) = \inf\{\phi(f) : K \prec f\} < \infty \) whenever \( K \) is compact and \( \mu(U) = \sup\{\phi(f) : f \prec U\} \) whenever \( U \) is open.

**Sketch of Proof.** If \( K \prec f \prec U \) then, by the monotonicity of \( \mu \), we have \( \mu(K) \leq \phi(f) \leq \mu(U) \). Since \( U \) is inner regular \( \phi \) determines its measure uniquely. Outer regularity establishes now the uniqueness of \( \mu \).

We show existence by constructing the measure explicitly. Let \( \mathcal{E} \) be the set of open sets in \( X \) and define \( |\cdot| : \mathcal{E} \to [0, \infty] \) by \( |U| = \sup\{\phi(f) : f \prec U\} \). By 2.2.2 \( |\cdot| \) defines an outer measure \( \mu^* \) on \( \mathcal{P}(X) \). By Caratheodory’s construction 2.2.3

\[
\mathcal{M} = \{ A \subset X : \forall B \subset X : \mu^*(B) = \mu^*(A \cap B) + \mu^*(A^c \cap B) \}
\]

is a \( \sigma \)-algebra and \( \mu = \mu^*\big|_{\mathcal{M}} \) is a complete positive measure on it. We show that (i) \( \mu^* \) is an extension of \( |\cdot| \), (ii) that \( \mathcal{B}(X) \subset \mathcal{M} \) and that \( \mu \) is outer regular, (iii) that \( \mu(K) = \inf\{\phi(f) : K \prec f\} < \infty \) when \( K \) is compact and that open sets are inner regular, and (iv) that \( \phi(f) = \int_{\mu} f \) for all \( f \in C_0^0(X) \).

For (i) it is sufficient to show that \( |U| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |U_k| \) if \( U \) and the \( U_k \) are open and if \( U \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} U_k \). This proof use a partition of unity on \( \text{supp} \ f \) with respect to the cover \( \{U_1, ..., U_n\} \) when \( f \prec U \) is chosen appropriately.

To prove (ii) note first that, by (i), there is, for any \( B \subset X \) and any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), an open set \( V \supset B \) such that \( \mu^*(B) + \varepsilon \geq \mu^*(V) \). If \( U \) is now any open set, there is an \( f \prec U \cap V \) and a \( g \prec V \setminus \text{supp} \ f \) such that \( \mu^*(U \cap V) \leq \phi(f) + \varepsilon, \mu^*(U^c \cap V) \leq \phi(g) + \varepsilon, \) and \( \phi(f + g) \leq \mu^*(V) \).

We now turn to (iii) which relies on the monotonicity of \( \phi \). If \( K \prec f \) we have that \( g \leq cf \) when \( g \prec V = f^{-1}(1/c, \infty) \) and \( c > 1 \). Since \( c > 1 \) is arbitrary, \( K \subset V \), and \( g \) could be chosen so that \( \phi(g) \) is close to \( \phi(u) \), we get \( \mu(K) \leq \phi(f) \).

Choosing \( f \) so that \( K \prec f \prec U \) with \( \mu(U) \) close to \( \mu(K) \) proves that \( \mu(K) = \inf\{\phi(f) : K \prec f\} \). To show that an open set \( U \) is inner regular, first choose \( g \) such that \( g \prec U \) and \( \phi(g) \) is close to \( \mu(U) \) and then \( f \) so that \( \text{supp} \ g = K \prec f \). It follows that \( f \prec g \) and hence that \( \mu(K) \) is close to \( \mu(U) \).

To prove (iv) note first that we need only consider real-valued \( f \) and that, due to the linearity of \( \phi \), it is sufficient to prove \( \phi(f) \leq \int_{\mu} f \). Now let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) be given and assume \( K = \text{supp} \ f \subset (a, b) \) for suitable \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \). Let \( \Delta = (b-a)/n \) and \( E_j = \{ x \in K : a+(j-1)\Delta < f(x) \leq a+j\Delta \} \) for \( j = 1, ..., n \). Note that the \( E_j \) are pairwise disjoint and that their union is \( K \). For each \( j \) there is an open set \( U_j \) such that \( E_j \subset U_j \subset \{ x : f(x) < a+(j+1)\Delta \} \) and \( \mu(E_j) \geq \mu(U_j) - 1/n^2 \). Let \( \{h_1, ..., h_n\} \) be a partition of unity on \( K \) with respect to \( \{U_1, ..., U_n\} \) so that \( \phi(h_j) \leq \mu(U_j) \). Using these inequalities and the monotonicity of \( \phi \) this implies

\[
\phi(f) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{E_j} f \leq a \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi(h_j) + \int_{K} (f - a + 2\Delta) \chi_{E_j} + \frac{(n+1)\Delta}{n}.
\]

Depending on the sign of \( a \) employ now one or the other of the inequalities \( \mu(K) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi(h_j) \leq \mu(K) + 1/n \) to obtain \( \phi(f) \leq \int_{\mu} f + \frac{1}{n} + 2\Delta(\mu(K) + 1) \).

**5.3.4 Total variation of a Radon functional.** Suppose \( \phi : C^0(X) \to \mathbb{C} \) is a Radon functional. Then there is a positive linear functional \( |\cdot| \) such that \( |\phi(f)| \leq |\phi|(|f|) \) for all \( f \in C^0(X) \). Moreover, if \( \lambda : C^0_c(X) \to \mathbb{C} \) is a positive linear functional such that \( |\phi(f)| \leq \lambda(|f|) \) for all \( f \in C^0_c(X) \), then we have \( |\phi|(|f|) \leq \lambda(|f|) \). \( |\cdot| \) is called the total variation functional of \( \phi \).

**Sketch of Proof.** For non-negative \( f \in C^0_c(X) \) define

\[
|\phi(f)| = \sup\{|\phi(g)| : g \in C^0_c(X), |g| \leq f\}.
\]
Then $|\phi| \geq 0$ and it satisfies $|\phi(cf)| = c|\phi(f)|$ whenever $c \in [0, \infty)$. Since $|g_1| \leq f_1$ and $|g_2| \leq f_2$ implies $|g_1g_2| \leq f_1 + f_2$, we get $|\phi(f_1) + |\phi(f_2)| \leq |\phi(f_1 + f_2)|$. To prove the opposite inequality assume that $|g| \leq f_1 + f_2$ and set $g_j = (f_j g)/(|f_1 + f_2|)$, $j = 1, 2$ if the denominator does not vanish and otherwise 0. Thus $|\phi|$ is additive on the non-negative functions in $C^0_c(X)$. For complex valued $f$ define

$$|\phi|(f) = |\phi|((\text{Re } f)_+) - |\phi|((\text{Re } f)_-) + i|\phi|((\text{Im } f)_+) - i|\phi|((\text{Im } f)_-).$$

Then $|\phi|$ is a positive linear functional such that $|\phi(f)| \leq |\phi(|f|)|$. The minimality property follows since $\lambda$, as a positive functional, is monotone, i.e., $\lambda(g) \leq \lambda(f)$ if $0 \leq g \leq f$.

5.3.5 Regularity for $\sigma$-compact spaces. In addition to our standard assumption that $X$ is a locally compact Hausdorff space suppose now also that $X$ is $\sigma$-compact, i.e., that $X$ is a countable union of compact sets. If $\mu$ is a positive, outer regular Borel measure which is finite on compact sets, then $\mu$ is $\sigma$-finite and inner regular.

**Sketch of proof.** It is clear that $\mu$ is $\sigma$-finite. Inner regularity may be proved by imitating 2.3.6. □

5.3.6 The representation theorem for general Radon functionals.

**Theorem.** Suppose $X$ is a $\sigma$-compact and locally compact Hausdorff space. If $\phi$ is a Radon functional on $C^0_c(X)$, then there exist a unique positive regular measure $\mu$ on $\mathcal{B}(X)$ and a measurable function $h$ of absolute value 1 such that

$$\phi(f) = \int_{\mu} fh$$

whenever $f \in C^0_c(X)$. Additionally, $\mu$ is finite on compact sets.

**Sketch of proof.** Set $\psi_0 = (|\text{Re } \phi| + \text{Re } \phi)/2$, $\psi_2 = (|\text{Re } \phi| - \text{Re } \phi)/2$, $\psi_1 = (|\text{Im } \phi| + \text{Im } \phi)/2$, and $\psi_3 = (|\text{Im } \phi| - \text{Im } \phi)/2$. Then the $\psi_k$ are positive Radon functionals and $\phi = \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \psi_k$. By 5.3.3 and 5.3.5 each of the $\psi_k$ is associated with a unique positive regular and $\sigma$-finite measure $\mu_k$. These measures are absolutely continuous with respect to their sum $\bar{\mu}$ which is also regular. Denote the Radon-Nikodym derivatives of $\mu_k$ with respect to $\bar{\mu}$ by $g_k$. By 1.2.9 we have $0 \leq g_k \leq 1$. With $g = \sum_{k=0}^3 g_k$ we obtain

$$\phi(f) = \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \psi_k(f) = \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \int_{\mu_k} f = \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \int_{\bar{\mu}} f g_k = \int_{\bar{\mu}} f g.$$  

Now define $h(x) = g(x)/|g(x)|$ when $g(x) \neq 0$ and $h(x) = 0$ otherwise. Also define $\mu$ by $\mu(E) = \int_{\bar{\mu}} |g| \chi_E$. Then $\mu$ is again $\sigma$-finite and regular and

$$\phi(f) = \int_{\bar{\mu}} fh|g| = \int_{\mu} fh.$$ □

5.3.7 The dual of $C^0_c(X)$. A linear functional $\phi$ on a normed vector space $V$ is called bounded, if there exists a positive number $C$ such that $|\phi(f)| \leq C\|f\|$ for all $f \in V$. The set of all bounded linear functionals on a normed vector space $V$ is called the dual of $V$.

**Theorem.** If $\phi$ is bounded linear functional on $C^0_c(X)$ then there is a unique regular complex measure $\mu$ on $\mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $\phi(f) = \int_{\mu} f$. Thus, the dual of $C^0_c(X)$ is the space of all regular complex measures on $\mathcal{B}(X)$. 

5.4. Sketch of proof. First suppose that $\phi$ is positive and apply Theorem 5.3.3. Since $\mu(X) = \sup \{\phi(f) : f \prec X\} \leq C\|f\|_\infty \leq C$ we find that $\mu$ is a finite measure. If $B$ is any Borel set there is an open set $U$ such that $\mu(U \setminus B) < \epsilon/2$, an open set $V$ such that $\mu(V) < \mu(U \setminus B) + \epsilon/2 < \epsilon$, and a compact set $K$ such that $\mu(K) \geq \mu(U) - \epsilon$. Then $K \setminus V$ is compact and its measure approximates the measure of $B$.

In general, if $\phi$ is bounded, then so are the positive functionals $(\text{Re} \phi)_{\pm}$ and $(\text{Im} \phi)_{\pm}$. □

5.4. Exercises

5.1 (Lusin’s theorem). Suppose $X$ is a locally compact Hausdorff space and $\mu$ is complete, regular, positive Borel measure on $X$ which is finite on compact sets. Assume that $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$ is measurable, that $A \subset X$ is of finite measure, that $f(x) = 0$ if $x \not\in A$, and that $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists a continuous function $g : X \to \mathbb{C}$ of compact support such that

$$
\mu(\{x : f(x) \neq g(x)\}) < \epsilon
$$

and, if $f$ is bounded,

$$
\sup\{|g(x)| : x \in X\} \leq \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in X\}.
$$
CHAPTER 6

Differentiation

In this chapter we study functions defined on \( \mathbb{R}^d \), \( \mathbb{R} \), or on compact intervals \([a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}\). Throughout \( m \) denotes Lebesgue measure on \( \mathbb{R} \) or \( \mathbb{R}^d \). The open balls of radius \( r \) centered at \( x \) are denoted by \( B(x, r) \). Unless stated otherwise “almost everywhere” means “almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure”.

6.1. Derivatives of measures

6.1.1 A covering lemma. If \( C \) is a collection of open balls in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( c < m(\bigcup_{B \in C} B) \), then there are pairwise disjoint balls \( A_1, \ldots, A_k \in C \) such that \( 3^d \sum_{j=1}^{k} m(A_j) > c \).

Sketch of proof. Inner regularity of Lebesgue measure gives a compact set \( K \subset \bigcup_{B \in C} B \) with \( m(K) > c \). \( K \) will be covered by finitely many of the balls in \( C \). One of those with maximal radius is \( A_1 \). Among the balls disjoint from \( A_1 \) there is again one with maximal radius, \( A_2 \). After the \( k \)-th step of this process no balls disjoint from the chosen ones are left and it comes to an end. Enlarging the radii of the chosen balls by a factor of 3 gives balls which still cover \( K \). □

6.1.2 Hardy-Littlewood’s maximal function. If \( \mu \) is a complex measure on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) define the maximal function

\[
M_\mu(x) = \sup \{|\mu|(B(x, r))/m(B(x, r)) : r > 0\}.
\]

Then \( M_\mu \) is Borel measurable and

\[
m(\{x : M_\mu(x) > \alpha\}) \leq \frac{3^d}{\alpha} |\mu|(\mathbb{R}^d)
\]

whenever \( \alpha > 0 \).

Sketch of proof. We want to show that the set \( V = \{x : M_\mu(x) > a\} \) is open. Hence suppose \( x_0 \in V \) and note that then \( |\mu|(B(x_0, r))/m(B(x_0, r)) = b > a \) for some \( r > 0 \). There is a \( \delta > 0 \) such that \( (r + \delta)^d < br^d/a \). Since \( B(x_0, r) \subset B(x, r + \delta) \) if \( |x - x_0| < \delta \) it follows that \( B(x_0, \delta) \subset V \).

For the second statement choose \( r_x \) such that \( |\mu|(B(x, r_x))/m(B(x, r_x)) > \alpha \) for all \( x \in \{x : M_\mu(x) > \alpha\} \). Now use the covering lemma 6.1.1. □

Recall from 1.1.13 that, when \( 0 \leq f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \), then \( A \mapsto \mu(A) = \int_A f \, dm \) is a finite positive measure on \( \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d) \). We denote the associated maximal function by \( M_f \).

6.1.3 Lebesgue points. If \( f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C} \) is integrable, then \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) is called a Lebesgue point of \( f \) if

\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{m(B(x, r))} \int_{m} |f - f(x)| \chi_{B(x, r)} = 0.
\]

If \( f \) is continuous at \( x \), then \( x \) is a Lebesgue point of \( f \).
If \( x \) is a Lebesgue point of \( f \), then
\[
  f(x) = \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{m(B(x, r))} \int_{m} f \chi_{B(x, r)}.
\]
Note, however, that the converse is not true.

**Theorem.** If \( f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C} \) is integrable, then almost every point of \( \mathbb{R}^d \) (with respect to \( m \)) is a Lebesgue point of \( f \).

**Sketch of proof.** Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \) be given. By 5.2.1 there is, for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), a \( g_n \in C_c^0(\mathbb{R}^d) \) such that \( \|f - g_n\|_1 \leq 1/n \). Let \( A_n = \{x : |f(x) - g_n(x)| > \varepsilon/3\} \), \( B_n = \{x : M_{|f-g_n|}(x) > \varepsilon/3\} \), and \( E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \cup B_n) \). Then \( m(E) = 0 \) and we may prove the claim when \( x \in E^c \). □

6.1.4 Nicely shrinking sets. Let \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \). We say that Borel sets \( E_j \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) shrink nicely to \( x \) if there exists an \( \alpha > 0 \) and a sequence of balls \( B(x, r_j) \) with \( r_j \to 0 \) such that, for all \( j \), \( E_j \subset B(x, r_j) \) and \( m(E_j) \geq \alpha m(B(x, r_j)) \).

6.1.5 Derivatives of measures. Let \( \mu \) be a complex Borel measure on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with Lebesgue decomposition \( \mu(E) = \int_E f \, dm + \mu_s(E) \) and assume that \( |\mu_s| \) is regular (here \( f \) is the Radon-Nikodym derivative of \( \mu \) with respect to \( m \)). Suppose that, for each \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \), there is a sequence \( E_j(x) \) of measurable sets shrinking nicely to \( x \). Then
\[
  \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{\mu(E_j(x))}{m(E_j(x))} = f(x)
\]
almost everywhere.

In particular, if \( \mu \perp m \) then \( \lim_{j \to \infty} \mu(E_j(x))/m(E_j(x)) = 0 \) almost everywhere.

**Sketch of proof.** Suppose \( \mu \) is positive and \( \mu \perp m \). Let \( A \) be such that \( \mu(A) = m(A^c) = 0 \) and
\[
  F_k = \bigcap_{r>0} \bigcup_{0<s<r} \{x \in A : \frac{\mu(B(x, s))}{m(B(x, s))} > \frac{1}{k}\}.
\]
Since \( \mu \) is regular there is an open set \( U \) such that \( A \subset U \) and \( \mu(U) < \varepsilon \) for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Use the covering lemma 6.1.1 to show that \( m(F_k) = 0 \). Now prove the claim for \( x \in A \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k \).

We are done when \( \mu \) is positive, \( \mu \perp m \), and \( E_j(x) \) is a sequence of balls. The general case follows from this, the definition of nicely shrinking, the fact that \( |\mu_s(E)| \leq |\mu_s|(E) \), and 6.1.3. □

6.2. Exercises

6.1. Give an example of a sequence of sets shrinking nicely and one which does not.
CHAPTER 7

Lebesgue-Stieltjes Measures and Functions of Bounded Variation

In this chapter \((a, b)\) is an interval in \(\mathbb{R}\). We allow \(a = -\infty\) and \(b = \infty\).

7.1. Functions of bounded variation

7.1.1 Variation. Suppose \(f\) is a complex-valued function on \((a, b)\). We define \(V_f(x, x) = 0\) for \(x \in (a, b)\) and

\[ V_f(x, y) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} |f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1})| : x = x_0 < x_1 < \ldots < x_n = y \right\} \]

for \(a < x < y < b\). \(V_f\), called the variation function \(f\), takes values in \([0, \infty]\). For fixed \(x, y\) the number \(V_f(x, y)\) is called the variation of \(f\) on \((x, y)\).

If the set \(\{V_f(x, y) : a < x < y < b\}\) contains only finite elements, we say that \(f\) is locally of bounded variation. If it is even bounded, we say that \(f\) is of bounded variation.

7.1.2 The vector space of functions of bounded variation. The complex-valued functions defined on \((a, b)\) which are locally of bounded variation form a complex vector space which is denoted by \(BV_{\text{loc}}((a, b))\). The set of functions of bounded variation is denoted by \(BV((a, b))\). It is a subspace of \(BV_{\text{loc}}((a, b))\).

7.1.3 Basic properties of functions of bounded variation. Every non-decreasing function \(f\) is locally of bounded variation since, in this case, \(V_f(x, y) = f(y) - f(x)\). A function \(f \in BV_{\text{loc}}((a, b))\) is in \(BV((a, b))\), if \(\lim_{x \downarrow c} f(x)\) and \(\lim_{x \uparrow c} f(x)\) are finite. If \(f\) is (locally) of bounded variation, then so are \(\text{Re}(f)\) and \(\text{Im}(f)\). The function \(V_f(x, \cdot)\), defined on \((x, b)\), is non-decreasing while \(V_f(\cdot, y)\), defined on \((a, y)\), is non-increasing. The variation function is additive in the sense that \(V_f(x, y) + V_f(y, z) = V_f(x, z)\) whenever \(x < y < z\).

7.1.4 Bounded variation and monotonicity. If \(f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}\) is of bounded variation, then there are non-decreasing functions \(g\) and \(h\) such that \(f = g - h\). For instance, one may choose

\[ g(x) = \begin{cases} V_f(c, x) & \text{if } x \geq c, \\ -V_f(x, c) & \text{if } x < c \end{cases} \]

after fixing any \(c \in (a, b)\). Complex-valued functions of bounded variation may be written as a combination of four non-decreasing functions.

Since non decreasing functions are measurable, it follows that all functions of locally bounded variation are measurable.

7.1.5 Discontinuities. Let \(f\) be a complex-valued function on \((a, b)\). If \(f\) is not continuous at the the point \(c \in (a, b)\) but \(\lim_{x \downarrow c} f(x)\) and \(\lim_{x \uparrow c} f(x)\) exist, then \(c\) is called a jump
discontinuity or a discontinuity of the first kind. Otherwise it is called a discontinuity of the second kind.

7.1.6 Bounded variation and continuity. A function in \( BV_{loc}((a,b)) \) has at most countably many discontinuities. Each of these is a discontinuity of the first kind.

7.1.7 Left-continuous and right-continuous functions. If \( F \) is a complex-valued function on \((a,b)\) which has no discontinuities of the second kind we define \( F^\pm : (a,b) \to \mathbb{C} \) by setting \( F^+(x) = \lim_{t \uparrow x} F(t) \) and \( F^-(x) = \lim_{t \downarrow x} F(t) \). \( F^+ \) is right-continuous while \( F^- \) is left-continuous.

In particular, if \( F : (a,b) \to \mathbb{R} \) is a non-decreasing function we have \( F^+(x) = \inf \{ F(t) : t > x \} \) and \( F^-(x) = \sup \{ F(t) : t < x \} \).

7.2. Lebesgue-Stieltjes measures

7.2.1 Positive Lebesgue-Stieltjes measures. Suppose \( F : (a,b) \to \mathbb{R} \) is a non-decreasing function and define \( |0| = 0 \) and \( |(c,d)| = F^-(d) - F^+(c) \) whenever \( [c,d] \subset (a,b) \). Let \( \mathcal{E} \) be the set of all open intervals \((c,d)\) such that \( a < c \leq d < b \) and

\[
\mu_\ast^F(A) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |(c_j,d_j)| : (c_j,d_j) \in \mathcal{E}, A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (c_j,d_j) \right\}.
\]

This is an outer measure which yields, employing Caratheodory’s construction 2.2.3, a complete positive measure on a \( \sigma \)-algebra containing all Borel sets of \((a,b)\). This measure, denoted by \( \mu_F \), is an extension of \( (c,d) \mapsto |(c,d)| = F^-(d) - F^+(c) \). It assumes finite values on compact sets but need not be translation-invariant. \( \mu_F \) is called a positive Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure on \((a,b)\). We shall say that \( \mu_F \) is generated by \( F \).

Sketch of proof. To prove that the outer measure \( \mu^* \) is an extension of \( (c,d) \mapsto F^-(d) - F^+(c) \) note that, given \( \delta > 0 \), there exist \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) such that \( c < \alpha < \beta < d \), \( F(\alpha) - \delta < F^+(c) \leq F(\alpha) \), and \( F(\beta) \leq F^-(d) < F(\beta) + \delta \). To prove that singletons and countable sets are measurable one may begin by showing that \( \mu^*(\{c\}) = F^+(c) - F^-(c) \geq 0 \).

This allows to determine the outer measure of any finite interval and from that one shows that finite open intervals are measurable.

\( \square \)

Note that, if \( C \) is a real number, replacing \( F \) by \( F^- + C \) (or by \( F^+ + C \)) yields the same measure.

7.2.2 Examples. The following basic examples are instructive.

1. If \( F \) is the identity the associate Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure is Lebesgue measure.
2. If \( F = \chi_{(x_0,\infty)} \) the associate Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure is the Dirac measure concentrated on \( \{x_0\} \).

7.2.3 Cumulative distribution functions. If \( \mu \) is a Borel measure, which is finite on compact sets, and \( c \) a point in \((a,b)\), we call the function

\[
F_\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 
\mu([c,x)) & \text{if } x \geq c, \\
-\mu([x,c)) & \text{if } x \leq c.
\end{cases}
\]

a cumulative distribution functions (cdf) or distribution function for short. This function is left-continuous and locally of bounded variation. If \( \mu \) is positive, it is also non-decreasing.
7.2. LEBESGUE-STIELTJES MEASURES

If \( \mu \) is a finite Borel measure (in particular a probability measure) it is possible (and customary) to define the cumulative distribution function by

\[
x \mapsto \mu((a, x))
\]

which is also left continuous and of bounded variation.

If \( \mu \) is a positive Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure generated by the non-decreasing function \( F \) we have \( F_\mu = F^- - F^-(c) \). Thus we see that there is a one-to-one correspondence between positive Borel measures, which are finite on compact sets, and non-decreasing, left-continuous functions which vanish at given point \( c \in (a, b) \).

7.2.4 Regularity of Lebesgue-Stieltjes measures. An argument very similar to that in 2.3.6 shows that positive Lebesgue-Stieltjes measures are regular.

7.2.5 Complex Lebesgue-Stieltjes measures. If \( F \) is of bounded variation, then there is a complex Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure \( \mu_F \) whose cumulative distribution function equals \( F^- \) up to an additive constant. The total variation of \( \mu_F \) satisfies

\[
|\mu_F| \leq \mu V_F.
\]

Sketch of proof. For the last statement let \( G(x) = |\mu_F|((a, x)) \). Then \( V F \leq G \).

Next prove that \( |\mu_F(E)| \leq \mu V_F(E) \) in turn for intervals of the type \( [c, d) \), for open intervals, for open sets and finally for measurable sets. □

7.2.6 Notation for Lebesgue-Stieltjes integrals. If \( \mu \) is a Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure on \( (a, b) \) generated by the function \( F \in BV_{loc}((a, b)) \) and \( g \in L^1(|\mu|) \) it is customary to write

\[
\int g = \int g dF.
\]

In particular, thinking of \( x \) as the identity function which generates Lebesgue measure, an integral with respect to Lebesgue measure may be written as \( \int g dx \).

7.2.7 Integration by parts. Suppose \( F \) and \( G \) are in \( BV_{loc}((a, b)) \). Then the following integration by parts formulas hold whenever \([c, d] \subset (a, b)\).

\[
\int \chi_{[c, d]} F^+ dG + \int \chi_{[c, d]} G^- dF = (FG)^+ - (FG)^-(c)
\]

and

\[
\int \chi_{(c, d]} F^+ dG + \int \chi_{(c, d]} G^- dF = (FG)^- - (FG)^+(c).
\]

Sketch of proof. First suppose that \( F \) and \( G \) are non-decreasing and note that \( \mu_G \otimes \mu_F \) is a product measure on \([c, d] \times [c, d]\). Let \( Q = \{(t, u) \in [c, d] \times [c, d] : t \geq u\} \) so that \( Q = [u, d] \) and \( Q_t = [c, t] \). Then the baby version of Fubini’s theorem 3.2.2 gives

\[
\int (G^+(d) - G^-(u))dF(u) = \int \mu_G([u, d])dF(u)
\]

\[
= \int \mu_F([c, t])dG(t) = \int (F^+(t) - F^-(c))dG(t),
\]

i.e., the first formula. The complex case follows by an obvious computation. The second formula (or other similar ones) are proved in a similar way. □

7.2.8 Consequences of the Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym theorem for bounded variation functions. Suppose \( F \) is a left-continuous function of bounded variation. Then the following statements are true.

1. \( F \) is almost everywhere differentiable and \( F' \in L^1((a, b)) \).
7.3. Absolutely continuous functions

7.3.1 Absolutely continuous functions. A complex-valued function defined on an interval $I \subset (a, b)$ is called absolutely continuous on $I$ if, for every positive $\varepsilon$, there is a positive $\delta$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{n} |f(y_j) - f(x_j)| < \varepsilon$ whenever $\{(x_j, y_j) : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ is a collection of pairwise disjoint intervals in $I$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{n}(y_j - x_j) < \delta$. If $I$ is a bounded interval, then $f$ is absolutely continuous on $I$ if and only if $f$ is absolutely continuous on the closure of $I$. If $f$ is absolutely continuous on every bounded subinterval of $(a, b)$ it is called locally absolutely continuous on $(a, b)$.

The set of locally absolutely continuous functions on $(a, b)$ is a complex vector space denoted by $AC_{\text{loc}}((a, b))$. In fact, since the product of two locally absolutely continuous functions is again locally absolutely continuous, $AC_{\text{loc}}((a, b))$ is an algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. $AC(I)$ is the space of absolutely continuous functions on $I$.

7.3.2 Basic properties of absolutely continuous functions. Suppose $I$ is an open interval in $(a, b)$. If $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is absolutely continuous, then it is uniformly continuous and of bounded variation on $I$. In particular, absolutely continuous functions are differentiable almost everywhere.

If $f$ is absolutely continuous, then so are $\text{Re}(f)$ and $\text{Im}(f)$.

7.3.3 The variation function of an absolutely continuous function. If $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is locally absolutely continuous, then so are its variation function $V_f(c, \cdot)$ and $V_f(\cdot, c)$ for any fixed $c \in (a, b)$.

7.3.4 Absolutely continuous functions and absolutely continuous measures. Suppose $F$ is a function of bounded variation. Then $F$ is locally absolutely continuous if and only if $\mu_F \ll \mu$.

Sketch of proof. Recall that $\mu_F \ll \mu$ if and only if $|\mu_F| \ll \mu$. For the “if” direction of the claim use 2.6.3. For the “only if” direction use that $V_F$ is locally absolutely continuous and the outer regularity of $\mu$.

7.3.5 The fundamental theorem of calculus. Let $F : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a measurable function. Then $F$ is locally absolutely continuous if and only if $F$ is differentiable almost
everywhere, $F'$ is integrable over any bounded subinterval of $(a, b)$, and
\[
F(x) - F(x_0) = \int_{x_0}^{x} F'(x_0, x)
\]
whenever $a \leq x_0 < x \leq b$.

### 7.4. Singular functions

**7.4.1 Singular functions.** Suppose $F$ is a function of bounded variation. Then $F' = 0$ almost everywhere if and only if $\mu_F \perp m$. A function whose derivative is zero almost everywhere is called a **singular function**. Continuous functions of bounded variation for which $\mu_F \perp m$ are called **singular continuous function**.

The most famous example of a singular continuous function is the Cantor function, also called the devil’s staircase. It maps $[0, 1]$ onto $[0, 1]$, is non-decreasing and continuous and its derivative is zero almost everywhere. The set where the derivative is not zero is the famous Cantor set. Its image under the Cantor function is the whole interval $[0, 1]$. The graph of the Cantor function adorns the front of these notes.

**7.4.2 Jump functions.** A **jump function** is a function $F: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ of the form
\[
F(x) = c + \begin{cases} \sum_{x_n \geq 0} (g_n \chi(x_n, \infty)(x) + h_n \chi(x_n, \infty)(x)) & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ -\sum_{x_n < 0} (g_n \chi(-\infty, x_n)(x) + h_n \chi(-\infty, x_n)(x)) & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}
\]
where $c \in \mathbb{C}$, $x_n$ is a sequence of real numbers, and $g_n, h_n$ are sequences of complex numbers such that $\sum_{x_n \in [c, d]} (|g_n| + |h_n|) < \infty$ whenever $a < c < d < b$. Loosely speaking one may say that a jump function is a function which only changes through jump discontinuities. Note that $F(x_n) - \lim_{x \uparrow x_n} F(x) = h_n$ and $\lim_{x \downarrow x_n} F(x) - F(x_n) = g_n$.

In particular, $h_n = 0$ if and only if $F$ is left-continuous at $x_n$ and $g_n = 0$ if and only if $F$ is right-continuous at $x_n$. Every jump function is locally of bounded variation.

**7.4.3 Jump functions and discrete measures.** Suppose $F$ is a left-continuous function and either of bounded variation or else non-decreasing. Then $\mu_F$ is discrete if and only if $F$ is a jump function.

**7.4.4 Lebesgue decomposition of functions of bounded variation.** If $F: (a, b) \to \mathbb{C}$ has finite variation on $(a, b)$ then there are functions $F_{ac}, F_{sc}, F_d: (a, b) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that
\[
F = F_{ac} + F_{sc} + F_d,
\]
with $F_{ac}$ is absolutely continuous, $F_{sc}$ is singular continuous, and $F_d$ is a jump function. $F_{ac}$, $F_{sc}$, and $F_d$ are unique except for additive constants. In particular, if $F$ is an absolutely continuous and singular function, then $F$ is constant.

**7.4.5 Bounded variation and differentiability.** A function of bounded variation is almost everywhere differentiable.

**Sketch of proof.** This was proved in 7.2.8 for left-continuous functions of bounded variation. A slight modification of that proof shows that right-continuous functions of bounded variation are also almost everywhere differentiable. Any function of bounded variation is a sum of a left-continuous one and a right-continuous one. ∎
7.5. Exercises

7.1. Determine the $\sigma$-algebra and the measure when $F$ is the Heaviside function (which equals 0 on $(-\infty, 0]$ and 1 on $(0, \infty)$).

7.2. Show that $V_f(a, b) = |f(b) - f(a)|$ if $f$ is monotone.

7.3. Show that the characteristic function of $Q$ is not of bounded variation not even locally.

7.4. Show that the sine function is not of bounded variation but that it is locally of bounded variation.

7.5. Suppose that $f : (a, b) \rightarrow (\alpha, \beta)$ and $g : (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are absolutely continuous. Show that $f \circ g$ is absolutely continuous if and only if it is of bounded variation.
CHAPTER 8

Additional Topics

8.1. The substitution rule

8.1.1 Images of measures. Suppose $X$ and $Y$ are topological spaces, $T : X \to Y$ is a measurable function with respect to $\mathcal{B}(X)$, and $\mu : \mathcal{B}(X) \to [0, \infty]$ is a positive measure. Then $\tau : \mathcal{B}(Y) \to [0, \infty] : E \mapsto \mu(T^{-1}(E))$ is also a positive measure.

Moreover, if $g : Y \to \mathbb{C}$ (or $g : Y \to [0, \infty]$) is an integrable (or a positive measurable) function with respect to $\mathcal{B}(Y)$, then $g \circ T$ is a measurable function with respect to $\mathcal{B}(X)$ and

$$\int \tau g = \int \mu g \circ T.$$  

Sketch of proof. Show this, in turn, for $g$ being a characteristic function, a simple function, a positive function, and an integrable function. □

8.1.2 The area under the Gaussian bell curve.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} = \sqrt{\pi}.$$  

Sketch of proof. By Fubini’s theorem we are done if we can show that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2-y^2} = \pi.$$  

Now let $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \to [0, \infty) : (x, y) \mapsto \pi(x^2 + y^2)$ and use 8.1.1. □

8.1.3 Transformation of Lebesgue-Stieltjes measures. Suppose that $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a left-continuous function and either of bounded variation or non-decreasing. Also assume that $T : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is surjective and strictly increasing. Then $G = F \circ T$ is left-continuous and either of bounded variation or non-decreasing. Moreover, if $f \in L^1(\mu_F)$, then $f \circ T \in L^1(\mu_G)$ and

$$\int_{\mu_F} f = \int_{\mu_G} f \circ T.$$  

Sketch of proof. Let $\tau(E) = \mu_G(T^{-1}(E))$ as in 8.1.1. Let $H = F_\tau$ as defined in 7.2.3. Then $H(y) = F(y) - F(0)$ which proves that $\tau = \mu_F$. □

8.1.4 The substitution rule (general version). Suppose $X$ and $Y$ are topological spaces, $\mu$ and $\nu$ are positive measures defined on $\mathcal{B}(X)$ and $\mathcal{B}(Y)$, respectively, and $T : X \to Y$ is a surjective measurable function. We also require the following

1. $\mu$ and $\nu$ are $\sigma$-finite, in fact there exist $A_n \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = X$ and $\mu(T^{-1}(T(A_n))) < \infty$.
2. $\mu(E) = 0$ implies $\nu(T(E)) = 0$ for all $E \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. 
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Then there is a measurable function $w : X \to [0, \infty]$ such that $(g \circ T)w \in L^1(\mu)$ and

$$\int_\nu g = \int_\mu (g \circ T)w$$

whenever $g \in L^1(\nu)$. Here $w = h \circ T$ where $h$ is the Radon-Nikodym derivative of $\nu$ with respect to the measure given by $E \mapsto \mu(T^{-1}(E))$.

**Sketch of proof.** Let $\tau$ be the measure introduced in 8.1.1. Since $T$ is surjective requirement (2) shows that $\nu \ll \tau$. Requirement (1) implies that $\tau$ is $\sigma$-finite. Hence, by 4.2.1, $\int_\nu g = \int_\tau gh$ for some measurable function $h : Y \to [0, \infty]$. Thus $\int_\nu g = \int_\tau gh = \int_\mu (gh) \circ T$ by 8.1.1. \qed

**8.1.5 Linear Transformations in $\mathbb{R}^d$.** Let $T$ be a linear invertible transformation from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $g$ a Borel measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$\int_{m_d} g = |\det(T)| \int_{m_d} g \circ T$$

whenever $g : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ is integrable. This holds, in particular, for translations and rotations with $\det(T) = 1$.

**Sketch of proof.** In view of 8.1.4 we have to determine the Radon-Nikodym derivative of $m$ with respect to $\tau = m(T^{-1}(\cdot))$. By 6.1.5

$$h(y) = \lim_{a \to 0} \frac{m(C(y, a))}{m(T^{-1}(C(y, a)))} = \lim_{a \to 0} \frac{m(C(0, a))}{m(T^{-1}(C(0, a)))}$$

where $C(y, a) = \sum_{k=1}^d (y_k, y_k + a)$. To compute this let $e_1, \ldots, e_d$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and consider the following three cases.

1. $Te_1 = te_1$ where $t \neq 0$ and $Te_k = e_k$ for $k \geq 2$.
2. $Te_1 = e_1 + e_2$ and $Te_k = e_k$ for $k \geq 2$.
3. $Te_k = e_{\pi(k)}$ where $\pi$ is a permutation of $\{1, \ldots, d\}$.

Then note that every linear transformation is a composition of these types and that the determinant of a composition of linear transformations is the product of the respective determinants. \qed

**8.1.6 Differentiable Transformations in $\mathbb{R}^d$.** Let $T$ be a map from an open set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ to $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $x_0$ a point in $V$. If there is a linear transformation $A(x_0) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\lim_{x \to x_0} \frac{|T(x) - T(x_0) - A(x_0)(x - x_0)|}{|x - x_0|} = 0$$

then $T$ is called differentiable at $x_0$ and $T'(x_0) = A(x_0)$ is called the derivative of $T$ at $x_0$.

If $T$ is an injective transformation which is differentiable everywhere on $V$ then

$$\int_m g \chi_{T(V)} = \int_m (g \circ T)|\det(T')| \chi_V$$

whenever $g \in L^1(m_d)$.

We shall not prove this theorem but see 8.1.7 below for the case $d = 1$. 

8.3. EXERCISES

8.1.7 The classical substitution rule. Suppose \( T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is injective and locally absolutely continuous. Then \( T \) is either strictly increasing or strictly decreasing and \( T(\mathbb{R}) \) is an interval. Moreover,

\[
\int_{m} g \chi_{T(\mathbb{R})} = \int_{m} (g \circ T) |T'| \tag{1}
\]

whenever \( g \in L^1(m) \).

**Sketch of proof.** We want to apply 8.1.4 and we assume without loss of generality that \( T \) is strictly increasing. Hypothesis (2) is satisfied since \( E \mapsto m(T(E)) = \mu_T(E) \) and \( \mu_T \ll m \) by 7.3.4. It remains to compute \( w = h \circ T \). Recall that \( T(y) = T(x) + (y-x)(T'(x) + r(y)) \) if \( T \) is differentiable at \( x \) and \( r \) is an appropriate continuous function vanishing at \( x \). Let \( y_n = x + 1/n \) then \( h_n = (y_n-x)(T'(x) + r(y_n)) > 0 \) so that \( (T(x), T(x) + h_n) \) is nicely shrinking. Now apply 6.1.5. \( \square \)

8.2. Convolutions

8.2.1 Convolutions. Let \( f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) and \( g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) be two Lebesgue measurable functions. By 2.1.7 there are Borel functions \( f_0 \) and \( g_0 \) which are equal to \( f \) and \( g \), respectively, almost everywhere. Thus we may assume that \( f \) and \( g \) are Borel and hence that \( (x,t) \mapsto F(x,t) = f(x-t)g(t) \) is also Borel.

We may now define the convolution \( f * g \) of \( f \) and \( g \) by

\[
(f * g)(x) = \int_{m,t} f(x-t)g(t) = \int_{m,t} f(t)g(x-t)
\]

whenever the integrals exists. The second equality is a consequence of 8.1.7.

8.2.2 Young’s inequality. If \( f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}), \ g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}), \ 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \) then

\[
\int_{m,t} |f(x-t)g(t)| < \infty
\]

for almost every \( x \in \mathbb{R}, \ f * g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}) \) and

\[
\|f * g\|_p \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_p.
\]

**Sketch of proof.** First assume \( p = 1 \). Since, by 8.1.7,

\[
\int_{m,t} \int_{m,x} |F(x,t)| \leq \|g\|_1 \|f\|_1
\]

we have \( F \in L^1(m \otimes m) \). An application of Fubini’s theorem gives the result for \( p = 1 \).

If \( p > 1 \), note that \( \mu(E) = \int_{m} \|f\|/\|f\|_1 \chi_E \) is a probability measure and apply Jensen’s inequality. \( \square \)

8.3. exercises

8.1. Why does the formula in 8.1.7 involve \( |T'| \) instead of the expected \( T' \) in the classical substitution rule?
APPENDIX A

Background

A.1. Topology

A.1.1 Topological spaces. Let $X$ be a set. A subset $\tau$ of the power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of $X$ is called a topology in $X$ if it has the following three properties: (i) $\emptyset, X \in \tau$; (ii) if $\sigma \subset \tau$, then $\bigcup_{A \in \sigma} A \in \tau$; and (iii) if $A, B \in \tau$, then $A \cap B \in \tau$.

If $\tau$ is a topology in $X$, then $(X, \tau)$ (or simply $X$, if no confusion can arise) is called a topological space. The elements of $\tau$ are called open sets. Their complements are called closed sets.

A neighborhood of $x \in X$ is an open set containing $x$.

A.1.2 Base of a topology. A subset $\beta$ of the power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of $X$ is called a base of a topology, if (i) $\bigcup_{B \in \beta} B = X$ and (ii) for each $x \in B_1 \cap B_2$ there is an element $B \in \beta$ such that $x \in B \subset B_1 \cap B_2$ whenever $B_1, B_2 \in \beta$. The set of all unions of elements of $\beta$ is then a topology. It is the smallest topology containing $\beta$.

$\beta \subset \tau$ is a base of a given topology $\tau$, if for every $x \in X$ and every $U \in \tau$ which contains $x$ there is a $V \in \beta$ such that $x \in V \subset U$.

A.1.3 Metric and pseudo-metric spaces. Let $X$ be a set. If the function $d : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ satisfies

1. $d(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$,
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
3. $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$,

it is a called a pseudo-metric on $X$ and $(X, d)$ (or simply $X$, if no confusion can arise) is called a pseudo-metric space.

If instead of property (1) we have

1. $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
then $d$ is a called a metric on $X$ and $(X, d)$ is called a metric space.

Every metric space is a pseudo-metric space and every pseudo-metric space is a topological space whose topology is generated by the base consisting of the open balls $B(x, r) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < r\}$, $x \in X$, $r \geq 0$.

Suppose $(X, d)$ is a pseudo-metric space. If we call $x$ and $y$ related if $d(x, y) = 0$ we obtain an equivalence relation. Denoting the equivalence class of $x$ by $[x]$ one may show that $(x, y) \mapsto d(x, y)$ is well defined and determines a metric on the set of equivalence classes.

A.1.4 Sequences in pseudo-metric spaces. Let $(X, d)$ be a pseudo-metric space. A sequence $x : \mathbb{N} \to X$ is said to converge to $x_0 \in X$, if for every positive $\varepsilon$ there is a number $N$ such that $d(x(n), x_0) < \varepsilon$ whenever $n > N$. The point $x_0$ is then called a limit of the sequence $x$. Limits in metric spaces are unique. In pseudo-metric spaces, however, they need not be unique.
A sequence \( x : \mathbb{N} \to X \) is called a **Cauchy sequence** if for every positive \( \varepsilon \) there is a number \( N \) such that \( d(x(n), x(m)) < \varepsilon \) whenever \( n, m > N \).

A pseudo-metric space \( X \) is called **complete** if every Cauchy sequence in \( X \) converges.

### A.2. Functional Analysis

#### A.2.1 Semi-normed, normed, and Banach spaces. Let \( X \) be a complex vector space. If the function \( n : X \to [0, \infty) \) satisfies

1. \( n(\alpha x) = |\alpha|n(x) \) for all \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \) and all \( x \in X \),
2. \( n(x + y) \leq n(x) + n(y) \) for all \( x, y \in X \),

then it is called a **semi-norm** on \( X \). Note that these properties imply \( n(0) = 0 \) and \( n(x) \geq 0 \) for all \( x \in X \).

If a semi-norm also satisfies

3. \( n(x) = 0 \) only if \( x = 0 \)

then it is called a **norm** and \((X, n)\) (or simply \( X \), if no confusion can arise) is called a **normed vector space**.

Note that every vector space with a semi-norm \( n \) is a pseudo-metric space with the pseudo-metric \( d(x, y) = n(x - y) \). If \( n \) is even a norm, then \((X, d)\) is a metric space. A complete normed vector space is called a **Banach space**.

Suppose \((X, n)\) is a vector space with a semi-norm \( n \). If we call \( x \) and \( y \) related if \( n(x - y) = 0 \) we obtain an equivalence relation. Denoting the equivalence class of \( x \) by \([x]\) one may show that \([x] \mapsto n(x)\) is well defined and determines a norm on the set of equivalence classes (which is a vector space upon proper definition of addition and scalar multiplication). Of course, the set of these equivalence classes is also a metric space. We obtain the same metric space, if we first introduce the pseudo-metric space induced by \( n \) and then turn it into a metric space as in A.1.3.

#### A.2.2 Inner product and Hilbert spaces. Let \( X \) be a complex vector space. If the function \( p : X \times X \to \mathbb{C} \) satisfies

1. \( p(x, x) > 0 \) for all \( 0 \neq x \in X \),
2. \( p(\alpha x + \beta y, z) = \alpha p(x, z) + \beta p(y, z) \) for all \( x, y, z \in X \) and all \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \),
3. \( p(x, y) = \overline{p(y, x)} \) for all \( x, y \in X \),

then it is called an **inner product** on \( X \) and \((X, p)\) is called an **inner product space**.

Every inner product space is a normed space with the norm \( n(x) = \sqrt{p(x, x)} \) and hence a metric space. A complete inner product space is called a **Hilbert space**.

#### A.2.3 Linear functionals. A linear functional is a complex-valued function \( \phi \) defined on a complex vector space \( V \) satisfying \( \phi(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha \phi(f) + \beta \phi(g) \) for all \( f, g \in V \) and all \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \). A linear functional \( \phi \) on a normed vector space \( V \) is called bounded, if there is a constant \( C \) such that \( |\phi(x)| \leq C\|x\| \) for all \( x \in V \).

#### A.2.4 Riesz’ representation theorem for linear functionals in a Hilbert space.

This is one of the major facts about Hilbert spaces.

**Theorem.** Let \( H \) be a complex Hilbert space and \( L \) a bounded linear functional on \( H \). Then there exists a unique \( y \in H \) such that \( Lx = \langle x, y \rangle \) for all \( x \in H \). In fact, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the elements of \( H \) and the bounded linear functionals on \( H \).
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