

# MONOTONE IMAGES OF CREMER JULIA SETS

ALEXANDER BLOKH AND LEX OVERSTEEGEN

ABSTRACT. We show that if  $P$  is quadratic polynomial with a fixed Cremer point and Julia set  $J$ , then for any monotone map  $\varphi : J \rightarrow A$  from  $J$  onto a locally connected continuum  $A$ ,  $A$  is a single point.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $P : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a complex polynomial of degree  $d$  and let  $J_P$  be its Julia set. The topological structure of connected Julia sets  $J = J_P$  and the dynamics of  $P|_J$  has been studied in a number of papers. The best case, from the topological point of view, is the case when  $J$  is locally connected. Then  $J$  is homeomorphic to the quotient space of the unit circle  $S^1 / \sim = J_\sim$  with respect to a specific equivalence relation  $\sim$ , called an *invariant lamination*. In this case the map  $\sigma : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ , defined by  $\sigma(z) = z^d$  on the unit circle in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ , induces a map  $f_\sim : J_\sim \rightarrow J_\sim$  which is conjugate to the restriction  $P|_J$ . Spaces like  $J_\sim$  are called below *topological Julia sets* while the induced maps  $f_\sim$  on them are called *topological polynomials*. Thus, in the locally connected case, topological polynomials acting on topological (locally

---

*Date:* June 27, 2006.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37B45; Secondary: 37F10, 37F20.

*Key words and phrases.* Complex dynamics; Julia set; Cremer fixed point; monotone decomposition.

The first author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0456748.

The second author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0405774.

connected) Julia sets are good (one-to-one) models for true complex polynomials acting on their Julia sets.

Even if  $J$  is not locally connected this approach works in many cases. Given a polynomial  $P$ , call its irrational neutral periodic points *CS-points*; a CS-point  $p$  is said to be a *Cremer point* if the power of the map which fixes  $p$  is not linearizable in a small neighborhood of  $p$ . Suppose that  $P$  is a polynomial with connected Julia set and no CS-points. In his fundamental paper [Kiw04] Jan Kiwi obtained for such  $P$  an invariant lamination  $\sim_P$  on  $S^1$  such that  $P|_{J_P}$  is semi-conjugate to the induced map  $f_{\sim_P} : J_{\sim_P} \rightarrow J_{\sim_P}$  by a monotone map  $m : J_P \rightarrow J_{\sim_P}$  (by *monotone* we mean a continuous map whose point preimages are connected). In addition Kiwi proved in [Kiw04] that for any  $P$ -periodic point  $p \in J_P$  the set  $J_P$  is locally connected at  $p$  and  $m^{-1} \circ m(p) = \{p\}$ .

Thus, Kiwi's approach allows one to describe the dynamics of these polynomials restricted to their Julia sets by means of a certain monotone map onto a locally connected continuum; this dynamically motivated monotone map is a semiconjugacy between the polynomial and the corresponding induced map (in this case the induced map is a topological polynomial). The aim of this paper is to show that in some cases the entire approach which uses modeling of the Julia set by means of a monotone map onto a locally connected continuum breaks down for topological reasons. By a *basic Cremer polynomial* we mean a quadratic polynomial  $P$  with a *fixed* Cremer point. Our main result is Theorem 2.2.

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $P$  is a basic Cremer polynomial and  $\varphi : J_P \rightarrow A$  is a monotone map onto a locally connected continuum  $A$ , then  $A$  is a single point.*

Let  $K$  be a continuum such that if  $\varphi : K \rightarrow A$  is a monotone map onto a locally connected continuum  $A$  then  $A$  is a single point. We call such continua *incompressible* and show in Theorem 2.2 that if  $P$  is a basic Cremer polynomial then its Julia set  $J_P$  is an incompressible continuum. Thus, in the case of a basic Cremer polynomial, studying the Julia set by means of a monotone map onto a locally connected continuum is impossible, and one needs a different approach (see, e.g., [BO06]).

## 2. MAIN THEOREM

An *unshielded* continuum  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a continuum which coincides with the boundary of the infinite complementary component to  $K$ . Given an unshielded continuum  $K$  we denote by  $R_\alpha$  the external (conformal) ray corresponding to the external angle  $\alpha$  and by  $\Pi_\alpha = \overline{R_\alpha} \setminus R_\alpha$  the corresponding principal set (usually, the continuum is fixed in the beginning of the argument, so we can omit  $K$  from the notation; if we do not want to specify the angle we will omit  $\alpha$  too). A crosscut  $C$  of  $K$  is an open arc in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$  whose closure meets  $K$  in two distinct points. Given an external ray  $R$ , a crosscut  $C$  is said to be  *$R$ -transversal* if  $\overline{C}$  intersects  $\overline{R}$  (topologically transversely) only once; if  $t \in R$  then by  $C_t$  we always denote an  $R$ -transversal crosscut such that  $\overline{C_t} \cap \overline{R} = \{t\}$ . The *shadow of  $C$* , denoted by  $\text{Sh}(C)$ , is the bounded component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus C \cup K$ . Given an external ray  $R$  we define the (*induced*) *order on  $R$*  so that  $x <_R y$  ( $x, y \in R$ ) if and only if the point  $x$  is “closer to  $K$  on the ray  $R$  than  $y$ ”.

Our main aim is to prove Theorem 2.2. However in order to do so we first prove a geometric Lemma 2.1 which could be of independent interest. Given a ray  $R$  we call a family of  $R$ -transversal crosscuts  $C_t$ ,

$t \in R$  an  $R$ -defining family of crosscuts if for each  $t \in R$  there exists a  $R$ -transversal crosscut  $C_t$  such that  $\text{diam}(C_t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow K$  and  $\text{Sh}(C_t) \subset \text{Sh}(C_s)$  if  $t <_R s$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $K$  be an unshielded continuum and  $R$  be an external ray to  $K$ . Then there exists an  $R$ -defining family of  $R$ -transversal crosscuts  $C_t$ ,  $t \in R$ .*

*Proof.* Given a point  $t \in R$ , any  $R$ -transversal crosscut  $C_t$  consists of two semi-open arcs connecting  $t$  to  $K$ . On the uniformization plane one of them will “grow” from the point corresponding to  $t$  in the positive (counterclockwise) direction with respect to the ray; such semi-open arcs will be called *positive arcs at  $t$* . Similarly we define *negative arcs at  $t$* . The infimum of the diameters of all positive arcs at  $t$  is denoted by  $p(t)$ ; similarly we define  $n(t)$  for negative arcs at  $t$ .

By way of contradiction and without loss of generality we may assume that there exists  $\gamma > 0$  and a sequence  $t_i \rightarrow K$  in  $R$  such that  $n(t_i) > \gamma$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . By [Mil00] we can choose a sequence of pairwise disjoint transversal crosscuts  $C_{h_i}$ ,  $h_i \rightarrow K$  so that the area of their shadows  $\text{Sh}(C_{h_i})$  and the diameters  $\text{diam}(C_{h_i})$  converge to 0. Hence we can find a crosscut  $C_{h_j} = C_j$  so that the area of  $\text{Sh}(C_j)$  is less than  $\gamma^2/99$  and  $\text{diam}(C_j) \leq \gamma/99$ . Then the negative “half” of  $C_j$ , the part of the ray  $R$  contained in  $\text{Sh}(C_j)$ , and the set  $K$  enclose an open simply connected domain  $U$  on the plane, the “negative half” of  $\text{Sh}(C_j)$ .

Choose  $t = t_i <_R h_j$ . Then the arc length of the subarc  $[t, h_j]$  in  $R$  is more than  $2\gamma/3$ . Choose a point  $x \in U$  so that there is a straight segment from  $t$  to  $x$  inside  $U$  of length less than  $\gamma/9$  (since  $R$  is a smooth curve such segment exists). Consider all closed balls  $\overline{B}$  contained in  $\overline{U}$  such that  $x \in \overline{B}$ . By compactness this family contains

a ball  $\overline{B} = \overline{B}(y, \varepsilon)$  of maximal radius. Set  $\partial\overline{B} = S$  and show that the set  $A = S \cap \partial U$  has more than one point. Clearly,  $A$  is non-empty (otherwise a ball with the same center and slightly bigger radius will contain  $x$  and will be contained in  $\overline{U}$ , a contradiction). Suppose that  $A = \{z\}$  is a single point. A tiny shift of  $y$  away from  $z$  along the line  $zy$  creates a new point  $y'$ . We are about to construct a ball centered at  $y'$  of bigger than  $\varepsilon$  radius contained in  $\overline{U}$  and containing  $x$  which will contradict the assumptions about  $\overline{B}$ . Consider two cases.

(1) The angle  $\angle xyz$  is obtuse. Consider the ball  $\overline{B}' = \overline{B}(y', \varepsilon)$ . If  $y'$  is sufficiently close to  $y$ , then  $x \in \overline{B}'$ . Moreover, the boundary  $S'$  of  $\overline{B}'$  consists of two arcs,  $L'$  and  $L''$ , where  $L'$  is outside  $B$  and  $L'' \subset B$ . Then  $L'$  is disjoint from  $\partial U$  because it is very close to the half-circle of  $S$  which is cut off  $S$  by the diameter of  $B$  perpendicular to  $yz$  and hence positively distant from  $\partial U$ . On the other hand,  $L''$  is disjoint from  $\partial U$  because  $L'' \subset B$ . Hence  $\overline{B}' \subset U$  and a slightly bigger ball with the same center will contain  $x$  and will be contained in  $U$ , a contradiction.

(2) The angle  $\angle xyz$  is not obtuse. Let  $H$  be the line segment through  $x$  and perpendicular to the segment  $yz$ . Then the component  $L$  of  $S \setminus H$  not containing  $z$  is positively distant from  $\partial U$ . Let  $p \in S \cap H$ . Since the angle of the triangle  $\triangle y'zp$  at  $p$  is greater than the angle of this triangle at  $z$ , we see that  $d(y', z) > d(y', p) \geq d(y', x)$ . On the other hand, since  $\angle xyz$  is not obtuse then  $\angle pyy'$  is not acute, and so  $d(p, y') = \varepsilon' > d(p, y) = \varepsilon \geq d(x, y)$ . Set  $B' = B(y', \varepsilon')$ . As before, the boundary  $S'$  of  $\overline{B}'$  consists of two arcs,  $L'$  and  $L''$ , where  $L'$  is outside  $B$  and  $L'' \subset B$ . Then  $L'$  is disjoint from  $\partial U$  because it is very close to  $L$  and  $L''$  is disjoint from  $\partial U$  because  $L'' \subset B$ , a contradiction since  $\varepsilon' > \varepsilon$ .

Thus,  $\overline{B}$  must intersect  $\partial U$  at at least two points. Since the area of  $\text{Sh}(C_j)$  is less than  $\gamma^2/99$  then  $\varepsilon < \gamma/17$ . If there is a point  $a \in C_j \cap S$  then there is a negative arc at  $t$  - the concatenation of the straight segment from  $t$  to  $x$ , the segment inside  $\overline{B}$  from  $x$  to  $a$ , and the appropriate part of  $C_j$  - of diameter less than  $\gamma/9 + 2\gamma/17 + \gamma/99 < \gamma$ , a contradiction. If there is a point  $b \in K \cap S$  then there is a negative arc at  $t$  - the concatenation of the straight segment from  $t$  to  $x$  and the segment inside  $\overline{B}$  from  $x$  to  $b$  - of diameter less than  $\gamma/9 + 2\gamma/17 < \gamma$ , a contradiction. Hence  $M = \overline{B} \cap \partial U = S \cap \partial U \subset R$ . On the other hand, by a theorem of Jørgensen (see [Jør56] and [Pom92])  $M$  is connected. Hence  $M$  is a non-degenerate subarc of  $S$ . Since  $d(S, K) > 0$ , we can construct another ball  $\overline{B}'$  which intersects  $M$  only at its endpoints such that  $\overline{B}' \cap K = \emptyset$ . Then  $\overline{B}' \cap R$  cannot be connected since  $\overline{B}' \cap R$  misses the entire arc  $M$  (except for its endpoints) which contradicts the theorem of Jørgensen. Hence  $n(t) \rightarrow 0, p(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow K$  which shows that there is a family of  $R$ -transversal crosscuts  $C_t, t \in R$ , such that  $\text{diam}(C_t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow K$ .

This family can be modified to satisfy the second condition of the lemma so that  $\text{Sh}(C_t) \subset \text{Sh}(C_s)$  if  $t <_R s$ . Observe that  $C_t$  is the union of a negative and a positive arc at  $t$ . We modify negative arcs and positive arcs separately to satisfy the second condition of the lemma, and since it does not matter which side we consider we denote the one-sided arcs we deal with by  $S_t$ . Choose  $C_t$  so that for all  $s \leq_R t$  we have  $\text{diam}(C_s) \leq \varepsilon$  for a small  $\varepsilon$ , follow the ray beyond  $t$  towards  $K$ , and denote the segment of the ray from  $t$  to a point  $s \in R$  with  $s <_R t$  by  $Q(t, s)$ . Let  $\Pi$  be the principal set of  $R$  and consider two cases.

(1) Suppose that for every  $s, s <_R t$  we have  $d(s, t) \leq 3\varepsilon$ . By definition  $S_t$  is positively distant from  $\Pi$ ; let  $\delta = \text{dist}(S_t, \Pi) > 0$  and choose

$u <_R t$  so that for all  $s \leq_R u$  we have  $\text{diam}(S_s) < \min(\varepsilon/9, \delta/99)$  and  $\text{dist}(u, \Pi) < \min(\varepsilon/9, \delta/99)$ . Then  $S_u$  is disjoint from  $S_t$  by the choice of  $\delta$ . Since the ray is smooth, it is easy to see that we can create a family of short pairwise disjoint arcs  $A_v$  from points  $v \in Q(t, u)$  to  $S_t$  of diameter less than  $4\varepsilon$  where each connector ends at a point  $e_v \in S_t$ ; moreover, these arcs can be chosen disjoint from  $S_u$  and each other and such that  $A_v \cap R = \{v\}$ . Denote the union of  $A_v$  and the piece of  $S_t$  from  $e_v$  to  $K$  by  $S'_v$ . Then the family  $S'_v, v \in Q(t, u)$  together with  $S_t = S'_t$  and  $S_u = S'_u$  satisfies the second condition of the lemma and  $\text{diam}(S'_v) < 5\varepsilon$ .

(2) Suppose that there is the first point  $u \in R$ ,  $s <_R t$  such that  $\text{dist}(t, u) = 3\varepsilon$ . Then  $S_u$  is disjoint from  $S_t$ , and we can proceed the same way as before. That is, we get a family of negative arcs  $S'_v, v \in Q(t, u)$  which together with  $S_t = S'_t$  and  $S_u = S'_u$  satisfies the second condition of the lemma and  $\text{diam}(S'_v) < 5\varepsilon$ .

Let us proceed with this construction. If case (1) takes place then on the next step we replace  $\varepsilon$  by  $\varepsilon/9$ . If the case (2) takes place we may need to make several steps until we finally get  $u$  such that for all  $s \leq_R u$  we have  $\text{diam}(S_s) < \varepsilon/9$ . From this time on we proceed with  $\varepsilon$  replaced by  $\varepsilon/9$ . Clearly, this way we complete the construction and thus the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

Given an external ray  $R_\alpha$  and an  $R_\alpha$ -defining family of crosscuts  $C_t$  one can define the impression by  $\text{Imp}(\alpha) = \bigcap_{t \in R_\alpha} \overline{Sh(C_t)}$ . It can be easily shown that this definition is equivalent to the standard one and that  $\text{Imp}(\alpha)$  is independent of the choice of the  $R_\alpha$ -defining family of crosscuts [Pom92].

Let us now state a few facts about basic Cremer polynomials  $P$  (see, e.g., [GMO99]). The notation introduced here will be used from now

on. For convenience, parameterize quadratic polynomials  $P$  as  $z^2 + v$ . Denote the Cremer fixed point of  $P$  by  $p$  and the critical point of  $P$  by  $c$  ( $c = 0$ , however we will still denote the critical point of  $P$  by  $c$ ). Also, denote by  $\sigma$  the angle doubling map of the circle. It is well-known that if  $P'(p) = e^{2\pi i\rho}$  then there exists a special *rotational* Cantor set  $F \subset S^1$  such that  $\sigma$  restricted on  $F$  is semiconjugate to the irrational rotation by the angle  $2\pi\rho$  [BS94]; the semiconjugacy  $\psi$  is not one-to-one only on the endpoints of countably many intervals complementary to  $F$  in  $S^1$  ( $\psi$  maps the endpoints of each such interval into one point). Of the complementary intervals the most important one is the *critical leaf (diameter)* with the endpoints denoted below by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta = \alpha + 1/2$  (for definiteness we assume that  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ ). The limit set  $F = \omega(\alpha)$  is exactly the set of points whose entire orbits are contained in  $[\alpha, \beta]$  where the arc is taken counterclockwise from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$ . By Theorem 4.3 of [GMO99] we have that  $p \in \text{Imp}(\gamma)$  for every  $\gamma \in F$ , and  $\{p, c, -p\} \subset \text{Imp}(\alpha) \cap \text{Imp}(\beta) = K$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $P$  is a basic Cremer polynomial and  $\varphi : J_P \rightarrow A$  is a monotone map onto a locally connected continuum  $A$ , then  $A$  is a single point.*

*Proof.* Set  $J = J_P$ . By way of contradiction suppose that  $\varphi : J \rightarrow A$  is a monotone map onto a locally connected non-degenerate continuum  $A$ . Since  $J$  (and hence all its subcontinua) is non-separating then by the Moore Theorem [Moo25] the map  $\Phi$ , defined on the entire complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ , and identifying precisely *fibers* (point-preimages) of  $\varphi$  has  $\mathbb{C}$  as its range. This implies that  $\Phi(J) = \varphi(J) = A$  is a *dendrite* (locally connected continuum containing no simple closed curve).

External (conformal) rays  $R_\alpha$  in the  $J$ -plane are then mapped into continuous pairwise disjoint curves  $\varphi(R_\alpha)$  in the  $A$ -plane; below we call the curves  $\varphi(R_\alpha)$  *A-rays* even though the construction is purely topological. Clearly, if  $R_\alpha = R$  lands then so does  $\varphi(R)$  (i.e.,  $\varphi(R)$  converges to a point). Let us show that in fact  $\varphi(R)$  lands even if  $R$  does not (and, hence, the principal set  $\Pi$  of  $R$  is not a singleton). By Lemma 2.1 there exists an  $R$ -defining family of crosscuts  $C_t$ . Since  $\varphi$  is continuous then  $\text{diam}(\varphi(C_t)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow K$ . Suppose that there is a sequence  $t_n \rightarrow K$  such that  $\overline{\varphi(C_{t_n})}$  is an arc for all  $t_n \in R$  (and hence a crosscut of  $A$ ) and these crosscuts are all pairwise disjoint. Since  $A$  is locally connected then by Carathéodory theory  $\varphi(C_{t_n})$  converges to a unique point  $x \in A$  which implies that in fact  $\varphi(C_t) \rightarrow x$  as  $t \rightarrow K$  and  $\varphi(R)$  lands. Otherwise denote by  $N_t$  the “negative half” of  $C_t$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that there exists  $t \in R$  such that for all  $s <_R t$  in  $R$ , all  $\overline{\varphi(N_s)}$  have the same point, say,  $z$ , in common, which immediately implies that  $\varphi(R)$  lands at  $z$ .

The union  $U$  of  $P$ -preimages of the points  $p$  and  $c$  is countable, and so is the set  $\varphi(U)$ . By Theorem 10.23 of [Nad92]  $A$  has countably many branch points. Hence  $A$  contains uncountably many *cutpoints* of order 2 which do not belong to  $\varphi(U)$ , i.e. points  $x \notin \varphi(U)$  such that  $A \setminus \{x\}$  consists of exactly 2 components. Choose such a cutpoint  $x \in A$  and denote the two components of  $A \setminus \{x\}$  by  $B$  and  $C$ . Let us show that there are at least two  $A$ -rays landing at  $x$  and cutting the entire plane into two half-planes each of which contains a component of  $A \setminus \{x\}$ . Indeed, consider  $A$ -rays  $\varphi(R_{\alpha'})$  and  $\varphi(R_{\beta'})$  landing in  $B$ . Then there are two arcs into which  $\alpha', \beta'$  divide the circle, and exactly one of them contains only angles whose  $A$ -rays land in  $B$ . Hence the entire set of angles whose  $A$ -rays land in  $B$  is contained in an open arc, say,  $Q_B$ .

Similarly, the set of angles whose  $A$ -rays land in  $C$  is contained in an open arc  $Q_C$ . Clearly,  $S^1 \setminus (Q_B \cup Q_C)$  is the union of two closed arcs or points, and two angles - one from each of the components - would give rise to the desired two rays. Denote these angles by  $\alpha''$  and  $\beta''$ .

It follows that the fiber  $Z = \varphi^{-1}(x)$  contains both principal sets  $\Pi_{\alpha''}$  and  $\Pi_{\beta''}$ . Also,  $Z$  cuts  $J$  into two connected sets ( $\varphi$ -preimages of  $B$  and  $C$ ). Finally, no forward  $P$ -image of  $Z$  contains  $c$  or  $p$ . Let us now study the  $P$ -trajectory of  $Z$ . First we show that there exists no  $n$  such that  $\sigma^n(\alpha'') = \sigma^n(\beta'') \pm 1/2$ . Indeed, otherwise  $P^n(Z)$  contains  $\Pi_{\sigma^n(\alpha'')}$  and  $\Pi_{\sigma^n(\beta'')} = -\Pi_{\sigma^n(\alpha'')}$ . Since  $c = 0 \notin P^n(Z)$  (by the choice of  $x$ ) then there exists  $y \in P^n(Z), y \neq 0$  such that  $-y \in P^n(Z)$  too. Then  $P|_{P^n(Z)}$  is not a homeomorphism. By a theorem of Heath (see [Hea96]) it follows that then  $P^n(Z)$  *must* contain a critical point, a contradiction.

Now, given two angles  $\theta, \theta'$  we define  $d(\theta, \theta')$  as the length of the shortest arc between  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  (we normalize the circle so that its length is equal to 1). It is easy to see that  $d(\sigma(\theta), \sigma(\theta')) = T(d(\theta, \theta'))$  where  $T : [0, 1/2] \rightarrow [0, 1/2]$  is the appropriate scaling of the full tent map. The dynamics of  $T$  shows then that there exists  $m$  such that  $d(\sigma^m(\alpha''), \sigma^m(\beta'')) \geq 1/3$  and by the previous paragraph we may also assume that  $d(\sigma^m(\alpha''), \sigma^m(\beta'')) < 1/2$ . Since the longest complementary arcs to the union of two Cantor sets  $F \cup F + 1/2$  are of length  $1/4$  we see that the shorter open arc complementary to  $\sigma^m(\alpha''), \sigma^m(\beta'')$  contains points of the set  $F$  (or  $F + 1/2$ ) and then since its length is less than  $1/2$  the other arc contains points of the same set too. However the closed connected set  $P^m(R_{\alpha''} \cup Z \cup R_{\beta''})$  does not contain  $p$  (or, respectively,  $-p$ ). Choose an angle of  $F$  (resp.  $F + 1/2$ ) which belongs to the arc of the circle at infinity corresponding to the part of the plane

not containing  $p$  (resp.  $-p$ ). Then its impression does not contain  $p$  (resp.  $-p$ ), a contradiction.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [BO06] A. Blokh and L. Oversteegen, *The Julia sets of quadratic Cremer polynomials*, Topology and its Applications (to appear).
- [BS94] S. Bullett and P. Sentenac, *Ordered orbits of the shift, square roots, and the devill's staircase*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **115** (1994), pp. 451–481.
- [GMO99] J. Grispolakis, J. Mayer, and L. Oversteegen, *Building Blocks for Julia sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), 1203–1225.
- [Hea96] J. Heath, *Each locally one-to-one map from a continuum onto a tree-like continuum is a homeomorphism*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **124** (1996), 2571–2573.
- [Kiw04] J. Kiwi, *Real laminations and the topological dynamics of complex polynomials*, Advances in Math. **184** (2004), no. 2, pp. 207–267.
- [Jør56] V. Jørgensen, *On an inequality for the hyperbolic measure and its application in the theory of functions*, Math. Scand. **4** (1956), pp. 113–124.
- [Mil00] J. Milnor, *Dynamics in one complex variable*, 2nd edition, Vieweg, Wiesbaden (2000).
- [Moo25] R. L. Moore, *Concerning upper semi-continuous collections of continua*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **27** (1925), no. 4, 416–428.
- [Nad92] S. Nadler, Jr, *Continuum theory. An introduction*. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics **158**, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York (1992).
- [Pom92] Ch. Pommerenke, *Boundary behavior of conformal maps*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg (1992).

(Alexander Blokh and Lex Oversteegen) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA AT BIRMINGHAM, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35294-1170

*E-mail address*, Alexander Blokh: [ablokh@math.uab.edu](mailto:ablokh@math.uab.edu)

*E-mail address*, Lex Oversteegen: [overstee@math.uab.edu](mailto:overstee@math.uab.edu)