

Voting and Fairness 2

In this lecture we will cover the following voting methods and fairness criterion.

- Borda Count Method
- Plurality-with-Elimination Method
- Monotonicity Criterion

Borda Count Method

In the *Borda Count Method* each place on the ballot is assigned points. The alternative receiving the most points wins.

Borda point assignment for n alternatives

Last place	1 point
Next-to-last place	2 points
Third place	$n-2$ points
Second place	$n-1$ points
First place	n points

MAC Election – Borda Count

Nbr of voters	14	10	8	4	1
1 st choice:___	A:	C:	D:	B:	C:
2 nd choice:___	B:	B:	C:	D:	D:
3 rd choice:___	C:	D:	B:	C:	B:
4 th choice:___	D:	A:	A:	A:	A:

Totals

A: _____

B: _____

C: _____

D: _____

Winner

Problems with Borda Count

Math Lovers Club Election

The 11 members of the Math Lovers Club choose a president from among four candidates by preference ballot.

MLC Election – Borda Count

Nbr of voters	6	2	3
1 st choice: 4	A:	B:	C:
2 nd choice: 3	B:	C:	D:
3 rd choice: 2	C:	D:	B:
4 th choice: 1	D:	A:	A:

Totals:

A: _____

B: _____

C: _____

D: _____

Winner:

What does A think of this?

Observations

- Disadvantages of the Borda Count Method
 - Borda count method violates the majority criterion.
 - Consequently, Borda count method violates the Condorcet criterion. (Why? Explain.)
- Advantages of the Borda Count Method
 - Borda Count Method uses all the available voter preferences, not just first choices.
 - Borda Count Method often produces the best compromise winner.

So far, we have two voting methods applied to the MAC election and two different winners!

Voting Method	Winner
Plurality	Alisha
Borda Count	Boris

Plurality-with-Elimination Method

Round 1

- Count 1st place votes for each candidate.
- If a candidate has a majority, then that candidate is the winner.
- Otherwise, eliminate candidate(s) with fewest 1st place votes and simplify preference schedule.

Round 2

- Count 1st place votes for each candidate.
- If a candidate has a majority, then that candidate is the winner
- Otherwise, eliminate candidate(s) with fewest 1st place votes and simplify preference schedule.

Rounds 3, 4, etc.: Repeat above steps; eventually, some candidate will have a majority of 1st place votes.

MAC Election – Plurality-with-Elimination

Number of voters	14	10	8	4	1
1 st choice	A	C	D	B	C
2 nd choice	B	B	C	D	D
3 rd choice	C	D	B	C	B
4 th choice	D	A	A	A	A

1. Candidates	A	B	C	D
1 st place votes				
2. Candidates				
1 st place votes				
3. Candidates				
1 st place votes				

Winner:

Example: Young Liberals Election

The UAB Young Liberals elect a president of their club from among five candidates A, B, C, D, and E using the plurality-with-elimination method. There are 24 preference ballots.

YL Election – Preference Schedule

Number of voters	8	6	2	3	5
1 st choice	A	B	C	D	E
2 nd choice	B	D	A	E	A
3 rd choice	C	E	E	A	D
4 th choice	D	C	B	C	B
5 th choice	E	A	D	B	C

What is the maximum number of rounds that might be needed to decide the winner of this election by the plurality-with-elimination method?

YL Election – Preference Schedule

Number of voters	8	6	2	3	5
1 st choice	A	B	C	D	E
2 nd choice	B	D	A	E	A
3 rd choice	C	E	E	A	D
4 th choice	D	C	B	C	B
5 th choice	E	A	D	B	C

1. Candidates	A	B	C	D	E
1 st place votes					
2. Candidates					
1 st place votes					
3. Candidates					
1 st place votes					
4. Candidates					
1 st place votes					

Winner:

Problems with Plurality-with-Elimination

The UAB Young Conservatives elect a president of their club from among three candidates A, B, and C using the plurality-with-elimination method. There are 29 preference ballots.

YC Election – Preference Schedule

Number of voters	7	8	10	4
1 st choice	A	B	C	A
2 nd choice	B	C	A	C
3 rd choice	C	A	B	B

1. Candidates	A	B	C
1 st place votes			
2. Candidates			
1 st place votes			

<p>Winner:</p>

Because of election irregularities, the original election is declared void. Meanwhile, candidate C convinces the 4 voters represented by the last column of the preference schedule that she is better than candidate A. They switch their preference order to C, A, B. The re-election results are as follows.

YC Re-Election – Preference Schedule

Number of voters	7	8	14
1 st choice	A	B	C
2 nd choice	B	C	A
3 rd choice	C	A	B

1. Candidates	A	B	C
1 st place votes			
2. Candidates			
1 st place votes			

Winner:

This is quite a shock to C!

- C had the original YC election won
- All changes in votes were only in C's favor
- C loses the re-election!
- Is this fair?

Monotonicity Criterion

If an alternative X is the winner of an election, and, in a re-election, all the voters who change their preferences do so in a way that is favorable only to X , then X should still be the winner of the election.

- The plurality-with-elimination method violates the monotonicity criterion.
- The plurality-with-elimination method also violates the Condorcet criterion. (Exercise)

Summary of Voting Methods and Fairness Criteria

Voting Method	Fairness Criterion	
	Satisfied	Violated
Plurality	Majority Monotonicity	Condorcet
Borda Count	Monotonicity	Majority Condorcet
Plurality-with- Elimination	Majority	Monotonicity Condorcet

In the MAC election, we have used three voting methods and have three different winners!

Voting Method	Winner
Plurality	Alisha
Borda Count	Boris
Plurality-with- Elimination	Dave