

# ASYMPTOTIC HOMOTOPICAL COMPLEXITY OF AN INFINITE SEQUENCE OF DISPERSING 2D BILLIARDS

NANDOR SIMANYI

**ABSTRACT.** We investigate the large scale chaotic, topological structure of the trajectories of an infinite sequence of dispersing, hence ergodic, 2D billiards with the configuration space  $Q_n = \mathbb{T}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} D_i$ , where the scatterers  $D_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ) are disks of radius  $r \ll 1$  centered at the points  $(i/n, 0) \bmod \mathbb{Z}^2$ . We get effective lower and upper radial bounds for the rotation set  $R$ . Furthermore, we also prove the compactness of the admissible rotation set  $AR$  and the fact that the rotation vectors  $v$  corresponding to admissible periodic orbits form a dense subset of  $AR$ . We also obtain asymptotic lower and upper estimates for the sequence  $h_{top}(n)$  of topological entropies and precise asymptotic formulas for the metric entropies  $h_\mu(n, r)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In order to make this paper easier to read and more self contained, hereby we cite certain passages of the introductory section of [MS(2019)] essentially verbatim.

The concept of rotation number finds its origin in the study of the average rotation around the circle  $S^1$  per iteration, as classically defined by H. Poincaré in the 1880's [P(1952)], when one iterates an orientation-preserving circle homeomorphism  $f : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ . This is equivalent to studying the average displacement  $(1/n)(F^n(x) - x)$  ( $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ) for the iterates  $F^n$  of a lifting  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of  $f$  on the universal covering space  $\mathbb{R}$  of  $S^1$ . The study of fine homotopical properties of geodesic lines on negatively curved, closed surfaces goes back at least to Morse [M(1924)]. As far as we know, the first appearance of the concept of homological rotation vectors (associated with flows on manifolds) was the paper of Schwartzman [Sch(1957)], see also Boyland [B(2000)] for further references and a good survey of homotopical invariants associated with geodesic flows. Following an analogous pattern, in [BMS(2006)] we defined the (still commutative) rotation numbers of a 2D billiard flow on the billiard table  $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$  with one convex obstacle (scatterer)  $\mathbf{O}$  removed. Thus, the billiard table (configuration space) of the model in [BMS(2006)] was  $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbb{T}^2 \setminus \mathbf{O}$ . Technically speaking, we considered trajectory segments  $\{x(t) | 0 \leq t \leq T\} \subset \mathbf{Q}$  of the billiard flow, lifted them to the universal covering space  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of  $\mathbb{T}^2$  (not of the configuration space  $\mathbf{Q}$ ), and then systematically studied the rotation vectors as limiting vectors of the average displacement  $(1/T)(\tilde{x}(T) - \tilde{x}(0)) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  of the lifted orbit segments  $\{\tilde{x}(t) | 0 \leq t \leq T\}$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . These rotation vectors are still “commutative”, for they belong to the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Despite all the advantages of the homological (or “commutative”) rotation vectors (i. e. that they belong to a real vector space, and this provides us with useful

---

*Date:* January 27, 2025.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37D05.

tools to construct actual trajectories with prescribed rotational behaviour), in our current view the “right” lifting of the trajectory segments  $\{x(t)|0 \leq t \leq T\} \subset \mathbf{Q}$  is to lift these segments to the universal covering space of  $\mathbf{Q}$ , not of  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . This, in turn, causes a profound difference in the nature of the arising rotation “numbers”, primarily because the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\mathbf{Q})$  of the configuration space  $\mathbf{Q}$  is a highly complex hyperbolic group.

After a bounded modification, trajectory segments  $\{x(t)|0 \leq t \leq T\} \subset \mathbf{Q}$  give rise to closed loops  $\gamma_T$  in  $\mathbf{Q}$ , thus defining an element  $g_T = [\gamma_T]$  in the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\mathbf{Q})$ . The limiting behavior of  $g_T$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  will be investigated, quite naturally, from two viewpoints:

- (1) The direction “ $e$ ” is to be determined, in which the element  $g_T$  escapes to infinity in the hyperbolic group  $\pi_1(\mathbf{Q})$  or, equivalently, in its Cayley graph  $\mathbf{G}$ . All possible directions  $e$  form the horizon or the so called ideal boundary  $\text{Ends}(\pi_1(\mathbf{Q}))$  of the group  $\pi_1(\mathbf{Q})$ , see [CP(1993)].
- (2) The average speed  $s = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} (1/T) \text{dist}(g_T, 1)$  is to be determined, at which the element  $g_T$  escapes to infinity, as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . These limits (or limits  $\lim_{T_n \rightarrow \infty} (1/T_n) \text{dist}(g_{T_n}, 1)$  for sequences of positive reals  $T_n \nearrow \infty$ ) are nonnegative real numbers.

The natural habitat for the two limiting data  $(s, e)$  is the infinite cone

$$C = ([0, \infty) \times \text{Ends}(\pi_1(\mathbf{Q})))/(\{0\} \times \text{Ends}(\pi_1(\mathbf{Q})))$$

erected upon the set  $\text{Ends}(\pi_1(\mathbf{Q}))$ , the latter supplied with the usual Cantor space topology. Since the homotopical “rotation vectors”  $(s, e) \in C$  (and the corresponding homotopical rotation sets) are defined in terms of the non-commutative fundamental group  $\pi_1(\mathbf{Q})$ , these notions will be justifiably called homotopical or noncommutative rotation numbers and sets.

The rotation set arising from trajectories obtained by the arc-length minimizing variational method will be the so called admissible homotopical rotation set  $AR \subset C$ . The homotopical rotation set  $R$  defined without the restriction of admissibility will be denoted by  $R$ . Plainly,  $AR \subset R$  and these sets are closed subsets of the cone  $C$ .

In this paper we study the large scale chaotic topological (actually, homotopic) structure of the trajectories of an infinite sequence of dispersing, hence ergodic,  $2D$  billiards with a configuration space  $Q_n = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \cup_{i=0}^{n-1} D_i = \mathbb{T}^2 \setminus \cup_{i=0}^{n-1} D_i$ , where the scatterers  $D_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ) are disks of radius  $r \ll 1$  centered at  $(i/n, 0)$  modulo  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . In Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 we provide lower and upper radial estimates for the homotopical rotation set of the system. Namely, in Theorem 2.1 we prove

$$R \subset B(0, 2\sqrt{2}),$$

whereas Theorem 2.2 states that

$$B\left(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} - \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \subset AR.$$

Furthermore, in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 we also prove the compactness and the convexity of the admissible rotation set  $AR$  and the property that the set of rotation vectors  $v$  corresponding to periodic admissible orbits form a dense subset of  $AR$ . For all of the above notions, please see [MS(2017)], [MS(2019)].

For the topological entropy  $h_{top}(n)$  of the  $n$ -th system, in Theorem 4.1 we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_{top}(n)}{\log n} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_{top}(n)}{\log n} \leq 2\sqrt{2}.$$

Finally, for the metric entropy  $h_\mu(n, r)$  of the flow, in Section 5 we prove that for any fixed  $n$ ,

$$h_\mu(n, r) = \mathcal{O}(-r \log r)$$

as  $r \rightarrow 0$ , and for  $r = \mathcal{O}(1/n)$

$$h_\mu(n, T) = \mathcal{O}(\log(n)),$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for the Poincaré section map  $T$ .

## 2. RADIAL SIZE ESTIMATES FOR THE ADMISSIBLE ROTATION SET

As described in Section 2 of [GS(2011)], the free generators of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(Q) \cong F_{n+1}$  are  $a, b_1, \dots, b_n$ , so that each of these generators correspond to a specific wall crossing of a trajectory: The generator  $a$  corresponds to a trajectory crossing the wall

$$\{0\} \times [r, 1 - r]$$

(modulo  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ ) in the positive direction, whereas the generator  $b_i$  means that the trajectory crosses the wall

$$\left[ \frac{i-1}{n} + r, \frac{i}{n} - r \right] \times \{0\}$$

(taken again modulo  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ ) in the positive direction. Needless to say, crossing these walls in the opposite, negative directions means the inverses of the above mentioned elements of  $\pi_1(Q)$ .

First we prove the upper radial size estimate:

**Theorem 2.1.**  $R \subset B(0, 2\sqrt{2})$

*Proof.* Let us investigate the symbolic wall-crossing sequence, or associated word,  $W$  of a trajectory segment  $S^{[0, T]}x_0$  of the billiard flow. We write  $W$  as the shortest product of generators  $a, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$  and their inverses, i.e. only the exponents 1 and  $-1$  are permitted. In such a writing, a maximal subsequence of consecutive symbols  $a$  or  $a^{-1}$  of  $W$  will be called an  $a$ -block  $B^a$ , whereas a maximal subsequence of consecutive symbols  $b_j$  or  $b_j^{-1}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) of  $W$  will be called a  $b$ -block  $B^b$ . For just simplifying the notations, we assume that  $W$  begins with an  $a$ -block  $B_1^a$ , and it ends with a  $b$ -block  $B_s^b$ , so that  $W$  is of the form

$$W = B_1^a B_1^b B_2^a B_2^b \dots B_s^a B_s^b.$$

At the end of proving the upper radial size estimate  $2\sqrt{2}$  for such words, we will be clearly pointing out the minor differences in the argument to cover the other cases for the word  $W$ .

Let us denote the total number of the symbols  $a$  and  $a^{-1}$  in  $W$  by  $k$ , whereas the total number of the symbols  $b_j^{\pm 1}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) is denoted by  $m$ . Clearly,  $s \leq \min\{k, m\}$ .

Observe that for our trajectory segment

$$S^{[0,T]}x_0 \{(q(t), v(t)) \mid 0 \leq t \leq T\}$$

the estimate

$$(2.1) \quad \int_0^T |v_1(t)| dt \geq k - s$$

holds true. Indeed, for each  $a$ -block  $B_j^a$  the horizontal position changes at least by the amount  $|B_j^a| - 1$ , where  $|B_j^a|$  is the number of symbols in  $B_j^a$ . Taking the sum of all these lower estimates, we obtain 2.1.

The situation with  $b$ -blocks  $B_j^b$  and the corresponding vertical move

$$\int_0^T |v_2(t)| dt$$

is somewhat different. Namely, we consider not only the block  $B_j^b$ , but also the subsequent two blocks  $B_{j+1}^a$  and  $B_{j+1}^b$ . Elementary inspection shows that, after having at least the amount of  $|B_j^b| - 1$  of vertical motion with  $B_j^b$ , in order to switch from  $B_j^b$  to  $B_{j+1}^b$  through the block  $B_{j+1}^a$ , the orbit has to make at least a unit of vertical motion between  $B_j^b$  and  $B_{j+1}^b$ . (More precisely, this motion is at least  $2 - \mathcal{O}(1/n)$ , if one of  $B_j^b$  and  $B_{j+1}^b$  corresponds to an upward motion and the other one to a downward motion.)

Thus, by taking the sum of all these lower estimates, one obtains the lower bound

$$(2.2) \quad \int_0^T |v_2(t)| dt \geq m - 1.$$

Taking the sum of 2.1 and 2.2, one ends up with the estimates

$$(2.3) \quad m + k - s - 1 \leq \int_0^T \|v(t)\|_1 dt \leq \sqrt{2}T,$$

which, in turn, implies that

$$\max\{m, k\} - 1 \leq \sqrt{2}T,$$

thus

$$(2.4) \quad |W| = m + k \leq 2\sqrt{2}T + 2.$$

Dividing 2.4 by  $T$  and passing to the limit  $T \rightarrow \infty$  yields

$$R \subset B(0, 2\sqrt{2}).$$

As said earlier, here we review the minor changes in the proof that are necessary to make, if the first block of  $W$  is not an  $a$ -block, or the last block of it is not a  $b$ -block. Then, by simply truncating the unwanted block(s) at the two ends of  $W$  (thus also truncating the trajectory segment under investigation), we can reduce the study to the above case. Note that, in this way, we make a bounded error in the right-hand-side of 2.4, but that error vanishes after division by  $T$  and passing to the limit  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *The lower radial estimate*

$$B\left(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} - \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \subset AR$$

holds for the admissible rotation set  $AR$ .

*Proof.* For any given infinite word  $W_\infty = w_0w_1w_2\dots$  (where each  $w_j$  either a generator or the inverse of a generator, and  $W_\infty$  is in the shortest form) we are going to construct an infinite sequence

$$\left(S^{[0, T_m]}x_m\right)_{m=1}^\infty$$

of admissible orbit segments with  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T_m = \infty$  and such that the symbolic wall crossing sequence of  $S^{[0, T_m]}x_m$  is  $w_0w_1\dots w_m$ . In this process of constructing admissible orbit segments we use the method of anchoring and length minimizing technique, just as described in §3 of [MS(2017)]. We will try to economize time and make  $T_m$  as small as possible, thus making the escape speed

$$\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m}{T_m}$$

as large as possible. We want to prove that

$$(2.5) \quad \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m}{T_m} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} - \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

can be achieved. Then, by “slowing down” the speed of escape to infinity by inserting “idle runs”, just as in the papers [MS(2017)] and [MS(2019)], one gets that any speed  $s$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} - \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$ , is achievable while escaping to infinity in the given (but arbitrary!) direction of  $W_\infty$ , thus proving the desired set inequality

$$B\left(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} - \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \subset AR.$$

In order to prove 2.5, it is enough to show that, when constructing longer and longer orbit segments with the symbolic sequence  $w_0w_1\dots w_m$ , in order to construct the passage  $w_j \rightarrow w_{j+1}$ , it is sufficient to spend at most the time  $\sqrt{5} + \mathcal{O}(1/n)$ . We consider all possible, mutually non-isomorphic passages  $w_j \rightarrow w_{j+1}$  by excluding geometrically symmetric cases and cases equivalent after time reversal. After this reduction, here is the list of the remaining four cases for the passages:

**2.1. Case 1. Passage  $ab_i$ .** Direct inspection shows that here the worst case scenario is when  $i = n$  and the admissible orbit (under construction) enters the unit fundamental cell at the upper end of the left vertical  $a$ -wall. Then, the most efficient admissible construction bounces back at the disk with index  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  at the lower edge of the unit cell, before leaving it upwards through the wall  $b_n$ . The time spent to do this is at most  $\sqrt{5} + \mathcal{O}(1/n^2)$ .

*Remark 2.3.* The possible error  $\mathcal{O}(1/n^2)$  may be caused by the occurrence of an odd value of  $n$ ,  $n = 2q + 1$ , and having to select  $D_q$  or  $D_{q+1}$  at the bottom side of the unit cell.

**2.2. Case 2. Passage  $b_i b_j^{-1}$  ( $i \neq j$ ).** Here again, direct inspection shows that the least favorable (i.e. the most time consuming) situation is when  $|i - j|$  is maximal, i.e.  $i = 1$  and  $j = n$ . (Or the other way around.) Then the most time efficient construction of the admissible trajectory in the unit fundamental cell  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  is to have it bounce back at the disk with index  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  at the ceiling of the unit cell. This takes time  $\sqrt{5} + \mathcal{O}(1/n)$ .

*Remark 2.4.* As opposed to the previous case, the reason why the error is of order  $\mathcal{O}(1/n)$  (and not  $\mathcal{O}(1/n^2)$ ) is that, in the recursive construction of the passages of the admissible trajectory, it can happen that the incoming wall crossing  $b_1$  takes place while bouncing back at the scatterer  $D_1$ . In order to facilitate the admissible passage to  $D_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$  at the ceiling of the unit fundamental cell, the orbit needs to visit the scatterer  $D_0$  first, before shooting towards  $D_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$  at the ceiling. This takes an extra time of  $\mathcal{O}(1/n)$ .

**2.3. Case 3. Passage  $aa$ .** By excluding symmetric cases, we may assume that the orbit enters the unit fundamental cell by bouncing back at  $D_0$  at the top of the left wall “a”. Then we can have it exit the fundamental unit cell through the right wall “a” by bouncing back from  $D_0 = D_n$  at the bottom of this wall. This takes time  $\sqrt{2}$ .

**2.4. Case 4. Passage  $b_i b_j$ .** Here again the least favorable case is when  $|i - j|$  is maximal, i.e.  $i = 1$  and  $j = n$  (or, vice versa). Then an admissible trajectory construction in the unit fundamental cell can diagonally traverse the cell, similarly to Case 3, thus spending time not more than  $\sqrt{2} + \mathcal{O}(1/n)$  in the cell. The  $\mathcal{O}(1/n)$  error term should be included here for the same reason as in Case 2, but this does not matter, since  $\sqrt{2} + \mathcal{O}(1/n) < \sqrt{5}$ .

Summarizing all the above, when constructing the admissible orbit segments  $S^{[0, T_m]} x_m$  with the symbolic sequence  $W_m = w_0 w_1 \dots w_m$  (being the  $m$ -th truncation of the given, infinite admissible word  $W_\infty = w_0 w_1 \dots$ ) we can achieve that

$$\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m}{T_m} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{5} + \mathcal{O}(1/n)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} - (1/n).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

### 3. GEOMETRIC PROPERTIES OF THE ADMISSIBLE ROTATION SET $AR$

**Theorem 3.1.** *The set  $AR$  is a convex, compact subset of the infinite cone  $C$  erected upon the topological Cantor set  $\text{Ends}(\pi_1(Q))$ .*

(Here the set  $\text{Ends}(\pi_1(Q))$  denotes the set of all ends, i.e. the horizon of, the hyperbolic group  $\pi_1(Q)$ , see Section 3 of [MS(2017)].)

*Proof.* First we note that the convexity of a compact subset  $K$  of the cone  $C$  is equivalent to the star shaped property of  $K$ . This is a consequence of the fact that the basis  $\text{Ends}(\pi_1(Q))$  of the cone is totally disconnected. Indeed, the shortest path (geodesic line) connecting two points  $(s_1, e_1)$  and  $(s_2, e_2)$  of  $C$  ( $s_1 > 0$ ,  $s_2 > 0$ ,  $e_1 \neq e_2$ ) is the curve  $\gamma$  that first connects  $(s_1, e_1)$  with the vertex  $(0, e_1)$  by a linear change in the radial speed coordinate  $s$ , then it connects the vertex with  $(s_2, e_2)$  by also a linear change in the  $s$  coordinate.

We follow the ideas of the proof of Theorem 3.3 of [MS(2017)]. Indeed, the cone  $C$  is a totally disconnected, Cantor set-type family of infinite rays that are

glued together at their common endpoint, the vertex of the cone. Therefore, the convexity of  $AR$  means that for any  $(s, e) \in AR$  and for any  $t$  with  $0 \leq t \leq s$  we have  $(t, e) \in AR$ . However, this immediately follows from our construction, since we can always insert a suitable amount of idle runs into an admissible orbit segment to be constructed, hence slowing it down to the asymptotic speed  $t$ , as required.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *The rotation vectors  $(s, e) \in AR$  that correspond to periodic admissible trajectories form a dense subset of  $AR$ .*

*Proof.* We adopt the main ideas of the proof of Theorem 3.4 of [MS(2017)]. Consider an arbitrary trajectory segment

$$S^{[0, T]}x_0 = \{S^t x_0 = (q_t, v_t) \mid 0 \leq t \leq T\}$$

with  $q_0, q_T \in \partial Q$  that bounces back from the scatterers with centers at  $C_0, \dots, C_n$  ( $\|C_0 - q_0\| = \|C_n - q_n\| = r$ ) with an admissible symbolic sequence  $(C_0, C_1, \dots, C_n)$ . We want to find a periodic, admissible trajectory near  $S^{[0, T]}x_0$  such that its rotation vector is close to the rotation vector of  $S^{[0, T]}x_0$ .

A direct inspection shows that the symbolic sequence  $(C_0, C_1, \dots, C_n)$  has a bounded extension to a longer admissible sequence  $(C_0, C_1, \dots, C_m)$ , i.e.  $m > n$  and  $m - n$  has an upper bound  $K$ , independent of  $S^{[0, T]}x_0$  and  $n$ , such that

- (1)  $C_m = C_0 + (a, b)$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,
- (2)  $(C_{m-1}, C_m, C_1 + (a, b))$  is admissible.

Then, by anchoring the trajectory segment at  $C_0$  and  $C_m$  with the configuration points  $q_0$  (with  $\|q_0 - C_0\| = r$ ) and  $q_0 + (a, b)$ , and performing the usual length minimization, we obtain a periodic, admissible orbit  $S^{[0, T]}x'_0$  whose rotation vector is close to the rotation vector of  $S^{[0, T]}x_0$ .  $\square$

#### 4. TOPOLOGICAL ENTROPY

Here we prove

**Theorem 4.1.**

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_{top}(n)}{\log(n)} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_{top}(n)}{\log(n)} \leq 2\sqrt{2}.$$

*Proof.* It turns out that the estimates of this theorem are corollaries of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. Indeed, following the ideas of Section 5 of [MS(2017)], we construct a generating partition  $\mathcal{P}$  for the topological entropy of the billiard flow, as follows:

Define

$$(4.1) \quad D_k^+ = \left\{ (q, v) \in M \mid \frac{k}{n} < q_1 < \frac{k+1}{n}, \{q_2\} < \epsilon_0 \right\},$$

$$(4.2) \quad D_k^- = \left\{ (q, v) \in M \mid \frac{k}{n} < q_1 < \frac{k+1}{n}, 1 - \epsilon_0 < \{q_2\} \right\}$$

for some  $0 < \epsilon_0 \ll r$  (i.e.  $\epsilon_0 = o(r)$  is small ordo of  $r$ ),  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ,

$$(4.3) \quad S^+ = \{(q, v) \in M \mid \{q_1\} < \epsilon_0\},$$

$$(4.4) \quad S^- = \{(q, v) \in M \mid \{q_1\} > 1 - \epsilon_0\},$$

where  $\{x\} = x - \lfloor x \rfloor$  denotes the fractional part of  $x$ . By definition, the partition  $\mathcal{P}$  consists of all sets in 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4 and the rest of the phase space

$$(4.5) \quad B = M \setminus \left( \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} D_k^+ \cup \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} D_k^- \cup S^+ \cup S^- \right).$$

Just like in Section 5 of [MS(2017)], an easy geometric inspection shows that the  $\mathcal{P}$ -itinerary  $(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$  of a trajectory segment  $S^{[a,b]}x_0$  uniquely determines the homotopy type of  $S^{[a,b]}x_0$ .

The lower radial estimate of Theorem 2.2 allows us to construct admissible orbit segments  $S^{[0,T]}x$ , with a given time span  $T$ , with at least as many as

$$(4.6) \quad (2n+1)^{(5^{-1/2} - \mathcal{O}(1/n))T}$$

different  $\mathcal{P}$ -itineraries. By taking the natural logarithm of 4.6, dividing by  $T$ , and passing to the limit as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , one concludes that

$$h_{top}(n) \geq (5^{-1/2} - \mathcal{O}(1/n)) \log(2n+1).$$

Finally, dividing by  $\log(n)$ , then passing to the limit inferior as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain the lower bound of Theorem 4.1.

On the other hand, Theorem 2.1 shows that the orbit segments  $S^{[0,T]}x$ , with a given time span  $T$ , cannot form more than

$$(2n+1)^{2\sqrt{2}T}$$

different  $\mathcal{P}$ -itineraries. Again, by taking natural logarithm, dividing by  $T$ , passing first to the limit  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , then to the limit superior as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain the upper bound of Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

## 5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this brief section we make a quick comparison between the topological entropy  $h_{top}(n)$  of the flow and its metric entropy  $h_\mu(n) = h_\mu(n, r)$ , where  $\mu = \mu_n$  is the unique, absolutely continuous invariant measure of the billiard flow. As it turns out, if the radius  $r = r(n)$  of the disks is a sufficiently fast decreasing function of  $n$ , then  $h_\mu(n)$  is dramatically smaller than  $h_{top}(n)$ . Indeed, first fix the value of  $n$ , and study the behaviour of the metric entropy  $h_\mu(n, r)$  of the flow as a function of  $r$ , when  $r \rightarrow 0$ . As it follows from the Marklof-Strömbergsson theory of the distribution of the free path length in a dilute Lorentz gas [Ma-St(2010)], the expected value of the free path length is  $\mathcal{O}(1/r)$ . After a typical collision with a scatterer of radius  $r$ , an expanding (convex) local orthogonal manifold collects a curvature of the order of  $\mathcal{O}(1/r)$ , and undergoes an expansion of the order  $\mathcal{O}(1/r^2)$  until the next collision. Thus the positive Lyapunov exponent will be of the order  $\mathcal{O}(-r \log(r))$ , therefore  $h_\mu(n, r) = \mathcal{O}(-r \log(r))$  for any fixed  $n$ , while  $r \rightarrow 0$ . This immediately implies that, if  $r = r(n)$  is a sufficiently fast decreasing function of



$n$ , then the ratio  $\frac{h_\mu(n)}{h_{top}(n)}$  can be made to converge to zero as fast as we wish, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

On the other hand, if  $r = \mathcal{O}(1/n)$  and we consider the billiard map  $T$  instead of the flow  $\{S^t\}$ , then the metric entropy  $h_\mu(n, T)$  will be of the order  $\mathcal{O}(\log(n))$ , i.e. of the order of the topological entropy of the map. Indeed, the iterate  $T^k$  produces asymptotically the same amount of dilation of the local unstable manifolds (as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ) as the iterate  $S^{k/r} \approx S^{kn}$  of the flow so, after rescaling, we gain an extra multiplying factor  $n$  in the metric entropy, i.e.  $h_\mu(n, T) = \mathcal{O}(-nr \log(r)) = \mathcal{O}(\log(n))$ .

## REFERENCES

- B(2000). P. Boyland, *New dynamical invariants on hyperbolic manifolds*, Israel J. Math. **119**, 253–289 (2000).
- BMS(2006). A. Blokh, M. Misiurewicz, and N. Simanyi, *Rotation sets of billiards with one obstacle*, Commun. Math. Phys. **266**, 239–265 (2006).
- CP(1993). M. Coornaert, and A. Papadopoulos, *Symbolic dynamics and hyperbolic groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- GS(2011). L. M. Goswick, and N. Simanyi, *Homotopical complexity of 2D billiard orbits*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. **48(4)**, 540–562 (2011).
- Ma-St(2010). Jens Marklof, and Andreas Strömbergsson, *The distribution of free path lengths in the periodic Lorentz gas and related lattice point problems*, Annals of Mathematics, **172**, 1949–2033 (2010).
- M(1924). M. Morse, *A fundamental class of geodesics on any closed surface of genus greater than one*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **26**, 25–60 (1924).
- MS(2017). Caleb C. Moxley, Nandor J. Simanyi, *Homotopical complexity of a 3D billiard flow*, Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. **698**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017, pp. 169–180.
- MS(2019). Caleb C. Moxley, Nandor J. Simanyi, *Homotopical complexity of a billiard flow on the 3D flat torus with two cylindrical obstacles*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, Vol. **39**, No. 4, April 2019, pp. 1071–1081.
- P(1952). H. Poincaré, *Oeuvres complètes*, Tome **1**, Gauthier–Villars, Paris, 137–158 (1952).
- Sch(1957). S. Schwartzman, *Asymptotic cycles*, Annals of Math. **66**, 270–284 (1957).

1402 10TH AVENUE SOUTH, BIRMINGHAM AL 35294-1241  
 Email address: simanyi@uab.edu